Applicant's What We Heard Report





Bridlewood Affordable Housing Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard
October 2018

Project overview

Affordable Housing is now a priority at every level of government. Currently, Calgary's private housing market meets the needs of 78% of the city's households. Of the remaining households, 3.6% are supported by government and non-profit intervention, but 19% struggle with their housing costs. As of 2016, 88,000 Calgary households earning less than \$60,000 annually are currently in need of affordable housing.

To meet the ongoing need for affordable housing in Calgary, The City of Calgary has developed an ambitious and innovative approach to building new units. The City of Calgary's *Foundations for Home* Affordable Housing Strategy 2016-2025 includes the design and construction of new Affordable Housing units as a key priority, increasing the supply of homes to those in need.

Affordable housing sites are chosen based on specific criteria including proximity to amenities such as transit, and grocery stores. Developments are informed by research on tenant preferences, innovative industry practices and consultation with community stakeholders and citizens to ensure the developments are well integrated into existing neighbourhoods.

As part of the 2016-2025 Corporate Affordable Housing Strategy and to continue work to increase the supply of affordable housing, Calgary City Council has asked Calgary Housing to initiate a housing development at 2375 162 Avenue SW in the community of Bridlewood (Location Map on following page).

The build is proposing approximately 62 townhouses/stacked townhomes units. Currently, there are no Non-Market Housing (NMH) units available in the community of Bridlewood.

Final numbers and form for home sizes and quantities will be determined through the engagement and design process. After construction, the building will be owned by The City of Calgary and managed by The City's operator of affordable housing; Calgary Housing Company.



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

Location Map





Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

Engagement overview

At the City of Calgary engagement means, purposeful dialogue between The City and stakeholders to gather information to influence decision making. Engagement is:

- Citizen-centric focusing on hearing the needs and voices of both directly impacted and indirectly impacted citizens;
- Accountable upholding the commitments that The City makes to its citizens and stakeholders by demonstrating that the results and outcomes of the engagement processes are consistent with the approved plans for engagement;
- Inclusive making best efforts to reach, involve and hear from those who are impacted directly or indirectly;
- Committed allocating sufficient time and resources for effective engagement of citizens and stakeholders;
- Responsive acknowledging citizen and stakeholder concerns;
- Transparent providing clear and complete information around decision processes, procedures and constraints.

The City's commitment to transparent and inclusive engagement processes is outlined in the *Engage Policy* (CS009).

Bridlewood community engagement activities are comprised of stakeholder discussions, three community/public meetings, on-line project website and interactive tools and ongoing project email/calls.

These activities are distributed across three phases of engagement. Each phase incorporating various activities to ensure the highest quality of interaction and feedback. The phases are:

- Phase 1 (March September 2018)
 - o Targeted Stakeholder meetings with Community Associations, Schools and local businesses
 - o Public Meeting #1 (overview of project process, details and timelines)
 - o Online interaction
 - Ongoing project emails/calls
- Phase 2 (November December 2018)
 - Public Meeting #2 (project progress, opportunity to provide input on design)
 - Online interaction
 - Ongoing project emails/calls
- Phase 3 (March April 2019)
 - o Public Meeting #3 (review final project design, outcome and next steps)
 - o Online interaction
 - o Ongoing project emails/calls

The first of the public meetings was held on September 25, 2018, at Cardel Rec South in Calgary. Doors were open to the event from 6:30 P.M. to 8:30 P.M. Approximately 120 members of the public attended.



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

The overarching goals of the meeting were to:

- Educate the public on general information about The City's Corporate Affordable Housing Strategy;
- Share with the community what type of development is being proposed in their community and associated land use changes; and
- · Provide an opportunity to gather initial community questions and feedback/input on the proposal.

The public had an opportunity to interact with key members of the project team and ask all questions.

The public meeting provided a platform for meaningful conversations to take place with local community members and anyone interested in the development to provide input on how to optimize the development for future occupants, as well as the surrounding community.

What we asked

The focus for this phase of engagement was education, sharing of information and meaningful dialogue. To solicit feedback, 4 simple questions were asked:

- 1. What questions do you have on affordable housing generally and specific to your community?
- 2. What do we need to consider as this site develops?
- 3. What concerns do you have regarding the site?
- 4. Do you see any unique opportunities for this project?

What we heard

Feedback was gathered at the public meeting by display/information boards with sticky notes, feedback forms (exit survey), general conversation and an online survey.

The most common response we heard was:

• Consider use of site as park / community garden / recreation.

The 4 main areas for concern were:

- Concern with safety / increased crime;
- Concern with property value reducing;
- Concern with increased parking challenges; and
- · Concern with increased density / overcrowding.

The most commonly considered opportunities of the project were:

- A place where tenants can belong / a home for less fortunate members of the community;
- A mix of people is good for the community;



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

- There is not enough affordable housing in Calgary / should be spread throughout;
- The project can become a showcase for well developed affordable housing.

For a detailed summary of the input that was provided, please see the <u>Summary of Input</u> section. For a verbatim listing of all the input that was provided, please see the <u>Verbatim Responses</u> section.

Next steps

The collected feedback will be made available to the project team for review, distributed to stakeholders, and posted publicly on line.

The information gathered will be used to inform the design of the development and site plan where possible.

The next stage of engagement will be a second public meeting on November 20th, 2018, at Cardel Rec South. This event will allow attendees to review project progress and provide further input into a more detailed site plan and building design.

A third and final public meeting to review the final design will be held in early spring of 2019.

In addition to these engagement activities, opportunities for further public input will be presented as the project enters the approvals stage of the process. It is anticipated that the application to redesignate the land use district on the site will occur in November/December 2018. The review of this application will incorporate open houses, circulation to external stakeholders, public notices and a public hearing before Council. The development permit application is subsequently planned for submission in early 2019. Similarly, the review of this application will be subject to public notification, circulation to external stakeholders, and an appeal period.





Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

Summary of Input

The below tables set out the most common themes from each of the questions and a brief summary of the comments made. The final table considers all themes within the cumulative data and depicts the frequency of their appearance.

1. What questions do you have on affordable housing generally and specific to your community?

Theme	Summary of comments
Concern with safety / crime	Concerns the development will result in increased crime rates - how will the safety of the existing community be guaranteed?
Consider use of site as park / community garden / recreation	Not enough playgrounds – develop green space and recreation facilities for existing community members
Concern with density / overcrowding	The area is too crowded – too small and congested
Generally unsupportive of project	Why was this location chosen – would somewhere closer to the city centre and employment be better?
Concern with property value reducing	Concern for property value – how can the community support this?
Concern with traffic congestion / site access	Traffic and access to 162 Avenue – how will this work?
Consider on-site management of property and tenants	Will there be on-site management to address immediate issues with tenants?
Concern/query on future funding of project (reference existing unmaintained properties)	Concerns that projects like this in the past are currently struggling to fund repairs – how will this development continue to be funded?

2. What do we need to consider as this site develops?

Theme	Summary of comments
Consider use of site as park / community garden / recreation	General agreement that the area is lacking in greenspace and parks for children – there should be a community garden or playground
Concern with parking	Parking space for the new development – the area is already congested
Concern with property value reducing	Property values will decrease – use the site for market value instead
Concern with safety / crime	Safety of the surrounding communities and school children in the area



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

Concern with density / overcrowding	The area is already overcrowded – there are too many people and too many condos
Concern with traffic congestion / site access	Transportation and access concerns – busy road already, not safe
Improve pedestrian / bike accessibility in and around site (concern this will be removed)	Include the existing path in the design, improve crossing of 162 Ave to access Sobeys
Increase local amenities to support new residents (shops, services, day-cares etc.)	Need to increase local shops that are within walking distance, a community hub would benefit the area

3. What concerns do you have regarding the site?

Theme	Summary of comments
Concern with safety / crime	Increased crime and safety of residents, property damage, keep the bad guys out
Concern with property value reducing	Property values will go down, residents will lose money, the city should reimburse the loss of value
Concern with parking	There will not be enough parking unless there is underground parking, 1 lot per unit is not enough and the snow route removes on street parking
Concern with density / overcrowding	The lot is too small for that many units, it is too close to other condos, there is not enough medical clinics for that many people.
	Concerns the building will block the views and sunlight for the neighbouring properties
Consider use of site as park / community garden / recreation	Children already use the site as a park as there are no other recreation facilities in the area
Concern with traffic congestion / site access	There is not sufficient access in and out of the site, it is already a congested neighbourhood
Concern with pressure on transit	The buses are already overcrowded, bring the LRT to Bridlewood



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

4. Do you see any unique opportunities for this project?

Theme	Summary of comments
Consider use of site as park / community garden / recreation	Gathering spaces, community hubs, more accessible green spaces/parkland, community gardens, playgrounds for kids
Generally unsupportive of project	No opportunities – don't bring the project to Bridlewood
Concern with architectural design (suggestion for high quality integration)	Good design will enhance the community, accessibility and universal design should be considered in the new project
Generally supportive of project	This could be a great help for less fortunate people, if done well it could showcase placement of affordable housing throughout the city
Consider support systems for tenants of affordable housing	More than just a roof over their heads, support systems should help integration with community



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard
October 2018

Verbatim Comments

1. What questions do you have on affordable housing generally and specific to your community?

In Person Feedback:

62 units x average of 2 children = 124 students. School need to receive support for increase in size (additional funding) to meet the educational needs of the students i.e. special education, psychologically challenged. Teacher training in meeting needs. Impact on existing school design and classroom capacity

How do you control who is let in after your applicant is approved? Trash, drugs, domestic abuse, theft robbery, stolen vehicles?

According CHC report [indecipherable] has a lot of spots for low income people to live but not enough funding to maintain the houses. How is CHC going to maintain this new development?

The city already has properties that aren't maintained. Why not upgrade them rather than having them condemned

Forget about all the concerns people have about the density of people. We do not have enough low-income housing in Calgary.

Is this new project designed specifically for the deserving members of the Bridlewood community?

Access to 162 avenue

Traffic

Unacceptable

Why Bridlewood?

Why such housing is planned in SW when work is mostly downtown?

Noise and dust

We have condos behind our house. As many are tenants and transient, there are many times loud aggressive people who make the neighbourhood unpleasant.

Will it be condos or townhouses?

No playgrounds

How about a community rec centre for low income families already living there

Its already too crowded

This project will make this area too crowded

This is not the site for this - too small and congested

No parking

Historically property prices have dropped with less buyers when affordable housing exists in a community. How is this convincing to our community?

These may be people with issues and this will lead to increase in crime rate

This project will bring more crime to our community. Our cars already got two times broken-in

Currently we live in a safe community with no affordable housing existing - what guarantee of safety will be provided when building such housing?

This would bring more crime in to our area that is already struggling with an increased crime rate

Rental at higher price - is housing affordability not part of just living in a city?



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard
October 2018

What about development looking run down?

Live-in super?

What about property value?

Online Feedback:

Consider developing green space/park for EXISTING community benefit instead

ON SITE MANAGEMENT - understand from Engagement session this will be lacking? Provide info re comparable projects and impacts on other communities. Introduce us to the people who will be living there - share their stories

Rather then building more affordable housing I would like to see the city do something to fix the Westbrook LRT station. 10-acre lot of mud surrounding a crime hub

Will there be enough community no cost before/after school activities for the families

2. What do we need to consider as this site develops?

In Person Feedback:

A step toward smooth project development

Access

Transportation

Safe traffic scheme

Move the site. No access

Traffic impact, already busy arterial road

The building will block my view

The opinions of the current tenants and owners who oppose this build, as you have made your decision and leave us with how we can make your project look pretty.

Is there any chance that this project will not go through? If the housing must be built it needs to be aesthetically pleasing, modern low-rise lower number of units

Engage with Community association to disseminate information. There was no mention of this project in recent newsletter although could be due to deadlines

The area should be used for green space

Save a green zone for children. Main reason we bought was for the green zone

Its by a gas station, already so crowded in the areas

High density already

The area is already crowded

Parking space for new housing

Lack of parking



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

My property value

Property value of apartments will go down - who is city going to compensate people?

Drop in property values

My property value will go down

Sell to developer for marketable multi-family - not affordable

Property values around the area decrease

Security

We have chosen this neighbourhood for its child friendly community, with elementary and middle school nearby. Don't want to make it a risky place.

Crime in the area will increase

There are 3 schools in this area, another one is planning. This project will bring unsafety to the students.

Safety of surrounding areas

Crime to businesses and residential properties in and around the area

Ranking for schools - how will affordable housing impact rankings?

Ensure community support for new Canadians

Concern about waitlist screening process - immediate placement? Why?

Screen for employment and family structure

Why not create an advisory committee of residents who can vet applicants?

Recently it was reported that there are units that are vacant due to budgetary constraints in terms of needed repairs. How can we be assured that this won't be the situation with this site in 10 or 20 years?

How is this funded over long term?

Lighting of path

Making the existing path included in design

Preserve pedestrian path

Pedestrian crossing on 162 avenue

Path is currently awkward during inclement weather - rough path. Drainage in winter - path is slippery

Access to Sobeys will be impacted

I showed up today to show support for the project

A place where tenants can belong

A community hub will benefit the area

Why still a snow route?

Access concerns

How will traffic be impacted by the housing and new school development? Will there be traffic lights?

Don do it

Unacceptable

Short length of tenancy does not help build community

Concerned about noise close to other building

Whose interests are being represented in the process?

Prefer stacked town home

Consult with Transit, #14 route highly used

Clarity around why this particular spot was chosen

Would like to see Cllr Colley Urqhart at future events



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

General lack of green space for youth in the area. Kids already play in the park	
Open woodland area south of proposed site. Family friendly space, community gardens	
Green space	
Playground	
Playground! School playground is fenced off	
Build a park	
Playground	
Zero playgrounds	
Forested area values, would like a park / playground	
Park with parking	
What about parks for kids?	
How much did this cost?	
Density	
Density	
Too many people, so many condos already	
How did you decide the number of units for this site? This is more than other sites	
Parking in adjacent neighbourhood	
Over parking congestion in area	
Parking	
Parking - where will everyone park?	
Parking concerns	
Zero parking	
Enough Parking?	
Underground parking doesn't add to parking issues	
Property values decrease	
What about my market value?	
Increase police presence	

Online Feedback:

Managing construction traffic/noise/disturbance and pedestrian safety/access to shopping centre during build

ON SITE MANAGEMENT for project if/when completed

Having a secure location by going in and out
nice development

Ease of access for supportive services



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

3. What concerns do you have regarding the site?

In Person Feedback:

I do hope this site will be for the qualified members of Bridlewood community

Construct bike paths

 $I\ personally\ do\ not\ have\ any\ concerns,\ although\ I\ think\ that\ people\ living\ in\ the\ nearby\ condos\ will\ be\ upset$

How close the building to condo

What would a handicapped person do for garbage/compost recycling?

I thought it was going to be further down on 162nd ave closer to the interchange

Youth Centre. Environmental Studies Centre

Too congested already

No good access in and out

Traffic

Congestion in traffic

This is a high-density neighbourhood filled with starter homes with small children. The traffic is already high, there is parking on the streets. Additional 62 units will further clog it

Lack of egress - 162 major thoroughfare and already busy

Area is already crowded and lots of traffic

Increase in garbage

Not fair to impose what will affect the majority based on the minority

Don't do it

Concerned about height - overlooking site

Height of the building - current open space $\mbox{{\bf view}}$

Concerned about height - 3rd floor of condo

Blocked views for the condo west of this project

Concerned about height (2 storeys)

Town home - same height as houses preferred - not higher

Concern about height

Impact on view - 3rd storey across the way. Been there since it was built

Blocked sunlight for apartments behind

Owners take pride in their homes, this is rental

Concern about upkeep of properties

Maintenance of site

Being rentals, there then becomes little to no pride of ownerships. Lack of respect for area around development

Damage properties - people who get in free don't value properties

Concerned about noise

Bridlewood gets a bad rap

Those who move in won't care about the community values

This project will bring too many people to this area, the community will be cheaper and turning to slum $\,$



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard
October 2018

That the CoC will just let this slip through w/o our feedback

Wildlife impact

Concern about forested land and wildlife

Transit impact - bus already busy

Congested transit

Not close to LRT, need good bus system

Bring LRT to Bridlewood

The site is currently used for open space, walking, deer, kids playing, dogs

Why not a park? Why this site? Really need a playground

It will be better if you make new green zone in this place

People use the site - kids. Connectivity used through the site

Bridlewood has very few parks and public spaces

Build kids park

Leave green space large parks (where people gather as a community - lawn bowling, cricket like Stanley park, centennial park, princes island)

There is no recreation centre in Bridlewood, children's play area. Use site for this

Please set aside 1 unit for a resident property manager. Essential for onsite troubleshooting especially with children and families

City should be addressing needs of existing residents before bringing in more people

Feeling that lot is not appropriate size for number of units

Density - already high density in area

Density concerns

of units in the property

Density - no families

Very bad idea, crowdy place

This project will bring more people to this crowded area

Overpopulation of the area that doesn't have enough medical clinics and doctors

Small

Parking - number of units

Ample on-site parking - underground?

Parking

Parking for tenants

No parking

We hope there will be plenty of parking. If people have 2 vehicles I hope they can get a 2nd spot

Parking issues

Underground parking

Current street parking is very tight - will this add to congestion?

Currently one parking per unit in other condo buildings = no parking on street. Snow route - no parking

Parking - too many people already

Lack of parking space



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

If you build underground parking (and I highly recommend you do) you need to offer 2 spaces for those with 2 vehicles

Concern about loss in property value

Property values

Property values of the buildings around the area

Property value concerns

Property values decrease

Property value concerns - bought for retirement

The price of existing property goes down

Decreased property values

Property prices go down

Property values of the neighbour going down

If the city wants to build a property to devalue my condo, the city should buy my property or pay me my loss

I will lose property value in my condo. Will the government reimburse me for that \$20000

I will lose my property value

How will the development affect property values in Bridlewood area?

Our property value may fall.

How about the owners who have put their life savings in to owning and selling a property of their own that now watch as their property value plummets as buyers now see low income housing instead of a clean, family friendly, safe walk at night neighbourhood

Decreased property values

Increase of crime

Concern about safety and lighting in lots

Can't do enough screening to keep out the bad guys

Security on doors to protect residents

Please stop we don't need crime in Bridlewood STOP STOP

The crime rate will go up it is not good for us, too bad

Safety concerns to residents in bridlewood

correlation of affordable housing and increased crime found online which makes me concerned. I won't let my kids walk down the street

Increased crime in the area

Concerned about the types of people moving in - safety

Increased crime rates

Worked in rental property business for 15 years, no matter how much screening it is proven that crime rate increases and increased property damage

Crime rate goes up

Crime rate will go up close to Point of View Buildings

It means more crimes, there are 3 schools in the area - it is not safe for the children

Experiencing crime already, concerned with the group of people who don't care and impact

Concern about increased crime



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard
October 2018

The crime rate will go up, we don't need crime. Too bad

The crime rate will go up every year

What sort of statistics are available with regards to crime (increase or decrease) with developments like this? Increased density could lead to increased crime

Online Feedback:

Pedestrian pathways/access (currently desire lines) being paved/maintained/respected

Site drainage issues to be addressed

Existing woodland to be protected/maintained

Sensitivity of arch. design to existing neighbours

Additional pressure on transit - rush hour is already standing room only

I am concerned that the city takes my money and gives it to people who make poor life choices. It's not the City of Calgary's job to build houses

No Concerns

Distance from services and train

4. Do you see any unique opportunities for this project?

In Person Feedback:

Kindergarten

Must be done correctly - important to have supports in place for those in affordable housing

More than just a roof over their head - integrate in to community. Nice mix of people to support everyone

Pedestrian walkway and public space

If done well it can be a vehicle to showcase placement of affordable housing throughout Calgary. I think that affordable housing should be spread throughout the city

It can be a great help to the less fortunate members of the community

None

None

Don't want project on Bridlewood

The only opportunities I see are all negative and detracts from quality of life in this neighbourhood

If something has to be built then nothing at all. Townhouses would be ok. I'm on the 3rd floor of POV condos, I don't want my view blocked

Bad rap

Include tenants and owners on project that's being forced on them with no choice in saying no to it. Just build and ask questions later?

Design enhance the community



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

If you have truly challenged people on site, they would need to be on main floor. Their apartments would need to accommodate wheelchairs and have roll-in showers, lower light access and wheelchair accessible cupboards

Playground or park

Park

Playground, sport centre

Park

Green space for kids to play

I'd like to see instead of this project: Park and playground / sports course

A park

A park for children

Parking concern in the area

Online Feedback:

YES! Something at the forefront of sustainable urban community living and design - gathering spaces, community hubs, more accessible green spaces/parkland, community gardens, priorities given to pedestrians not cars - nature embracing architecture, not housing on a piece of land. VISION!!!

Get the community involved in developing the project..what do the families need, develop and fundraiser for a playground, volunteers to welcome newcomers. List of ESL volunteers



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

Letter from Bridlewood Pointe of View Condominium Corporation

To: Councillor Ward 13 Diane Colley-Urquhart and Mayor Naheed Nenshi. Re: Bridlewood Affordable Housing Project. CC: All Councillors

I am writing to you today on behalf of the Board of Directors and the residents of the Bridlewood Pointe of View Condominium Corporation located at 16320 24^{th} St. S.W. in Calgary's Ward

13. It is with great dismay we learned last week second hand, that there is an affordable housing project planned and already funded for the former Fire Hall land that is directly adjacent to our property at 2375 162 Ave. S.W.

In past discussions we had been promised that we would be consulted should any change to this land be proposed but there has been a shocking lack of any information or even notice to our board on this project. We note that it is claimed that local businesses have been consulted, but the only group that really matters, the board of the adjoining property has received no notice whatsoever. This is a massive failure to communicate to the key stake holder and as a result this proposal came as a major and unwelcome shock to us.

Our board discussed this issue in detail at our meeting last week and unanimously agreed to oppose this project. This project is not in our best interests and it is not in the best interests of this area, which must come before any other consideration. We are the people that have invested our life savings and time into establishing a home and a place for our families in this area, and our concerns need to be considered paramount.

This area does not have room for another high-density housing project. We already have 7 very large high-density housing projects within several blocks of each other in this area. All these projects are highly under served by city resources despite the millions upon millions of tax dollars that are taken from them each year with little if any return from the city in terms of facilities. We do not need another project competing for limited resources in this area. There must be a limit to how many of these projects are permitted to be crammed into one area.

This proposal will have a major negative impact on parking in the immediate area. Parking is already a daily nightmare for our residents. The city's constant approval of condominium projects with insufficient parking has resulted in the streets being clogged with cars. Several years ago, the city took away about half our street parking making the situation even worse. We expect to lose the other half when the ring road opens.

The addition of this project on top of the other buildings in the immediate area will result in a huge parking headache for everyone and diminished quality of life. It is already necessary for people to sometimes walk several blocks from an available parking spot back to their condo, that is not right. I can see quite clearly that condo owners will have to start parking in among the houses 3 or 4 blocks away when this new project opens, and you will have to deal with the complaints from those single detached house residents as well.

The current untenable situation with parking on 24^{th} St. S.W. with it being a designated Snow Route is also adding to the problem and will be greatly exacerbated by the addition of this project. 24^{th} St is now a dead-end road and will always be that way with the ring road construction underway. The Snow Route designation for this street needs to be dropped and the time restrictions on parking as well, there is no reason for either of these parking barriers to remain in place.

Another major consideration for us is the complete lack of facilities for children in the area. Our children currently seem to end up playing in parking lots at great risk to them because there is literally no where for them to go. Despite the millions in tax dollars we have paid in just our own complex in the last 15 years, the city has made no effort to build a tot lot or anything else in our direct area. It seems our money is spent everywhere but here and that simply must stop. We are very



Stakeholder Report Back: What we Heard October 2018

tired of receiving next to nothing for our money from the city.

An excellent use for this land would be the construction of a tot lot on part of it. The rest of the land should be paved over for open area parking. It is high time the city acknowledged and takes responsibility for their massive development errors in this area. Some additional parking on this land would go a long way to addressing the city's grievous mistakes in allowing all these projects to be built with totally insufficient parking that has led to quality of life issues for our residents.

Our residents and board are well aware of and quite concerned about the inevitable impact this project will have on our direct property values. This is not Nimbyism. This is not an in your backyard issue. This proposal is falling directly on our heads and it will be our residents that will pay the price in lost investment and it will make it harder to sell our property. Like it or not no one wants to share land with a heavily subsided housing project, and renters who have no vested interest in the property or the area.

Many of us who bought into this area did check into zoning of land in the immediate vicinity as this is a major part of the decision-making process. We acknowledged the presence of the Fire Hall and accepted the extra noise from it for about 12 years. We were told the new fire hall would be built on the same land. When that was pulled out from under our feet we had every expectation of a similar City use for the land in the future. We consider this proposed use a worst-case scenario that is not acceptable and impacts the life we have built in this area.

For these reasons we respectfully requesting the following from your office(s):

- 1. Please send a letter on our behalf to the City's Affordable Housing Corporation informing them they must find a new location for this project.
- 2. Please act on our behalf as your constituents at Council and with the other councillors to vote against and block the intended rezoning of this land adjacent to our property formerly occupied by the Fire Hall.
- 3. Please send a letter on our behalf to the City's Parks and Recreation Department requesting they consider the development of a tot lot and playground on this property.

Thank you for reading our concerns and acting on them, we look forward to hearing from you. We represent directly 500 voters and in combination with other complexes in this area, thousands of voters, who are all watching for the city to do the right thing to protect the quality of life in this area for the people who built this area and invested their hard-earned money in Bridlewood's future.

David Reid
Bridlewood Pointe of View Condominium Corporation 16320 – 24 St.
S.W. Calgary Alberta.
Email: dave@bwpov.com