Possible tax shift scenario
Drafted March 15, 2019
DISCLAIMER: This is a hypothetical scenario, based on publicly available information.
This scenario keeps assessment amounts and accounts fixed at 2018 levels,
This scenario does not include the final $\$ 44$ million needed for concluded Business Tax Consolidation.
This scenario does not delve into the nuances of removing growth, achieving revenue neutral numbers and other calculations typically performed.
This is intended to demonstrate how Council could set direction on a $50 / 50$ split, from which further calculations would become part of the budget

Example of 2018 baseline

|  | \# of accounts | Total Assessment |  | Proportion |  | onal Value | Mill Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ | 214,765,997,149 | 0.445 | \$ | 830,904,000 | 0.0038689 |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ | 65,304,394,665 | 0.555 | \$ | 1,036,296,000 | 0.0158687 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 1,867,200,000 | 4.101626277 "Ratio" |


|  | \# of accounts | Total Assessment | Proportion |  | oportional Value | MIIII Rate | Shift |  | Percentage change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ 214,765,997,149 | 0.49 | \$ | 956,137,000 | 0.0044520 | \$ | 125,233,000 | 15.07\% |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ 65,304,394,665 | 0.51 | \$ | 995,163,000 | 0.0152388 | \$ | (41,133,000) | -3.97\% |
|  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 1,951,300,000 | 3.422923639 "Ratio" |  |  |  |


|  | \# of accounts | Total Assessment |  | Proportion | Proportional Value |  | Mill Rate | Shift | Percentage change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ | 214,765,997,149 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,017,500,000 | 0,0047377 | \$ | 186,596,000 | 6.42\% |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ | 65,304,394,665 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,017,500,000 | 0.0155809 | \$ | $(18,796,000)$ | 2.24\% |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 2,035,000,000 | 3.288691339 |  |  |  |

## Example of 2021 maintaining $50 / 50$

|  | \# of accounts | Total Assessment |  | Proportion | Proportional Value |  | Mill Rate | Shift |  | Percentage change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ | 214,765,997,149 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,059,150,000 | 0,0049316 | \$ | 41,650,000 | 4,09\% |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ | 65,304,394,665 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,059,150,000 | 0.0162187 | \$ | 41,650,000 | 4,09\% |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 2,118,300,000 | 3.288691339 "Ratio" |  |  |  |
| Example of 2022 maintaining 50/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# of accounts |  | Assessment | Proportion |  | nal Value | Mill Rate | Shift |  | Percentage change |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ | 214,765,997,149 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,103,750,000 | 0.0051393 | \$ | 44,600,000 | 4.21\% |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ | 65,304,394,665 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,103,750,000 | 0.0169016 | \$ | 44,600,000 | 4.21\% |

future

|  | \# of accounts | Total Assessment |  | Proportion | Proportional Value |  | Mill Rate | Shift | Percentage change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 496,358 | \$ | 214,765,997,149 | 0.5 | \$ | 1,103,750,000 | 0.0051393 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| Non-Residential | 13,815 | \$ | 65,304,394,665 | 0,5 | \$ | 1,103,750,000 | 0.0169016 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |



