March 3, 2019

Application: LOC2016-0193

Submitted by: Derek Chapman

Contact Information

Address: 805-46 9 St NE

Phone: (403) 700-9710

Email: prs5708a@gmail.com

Feedback:

This project needs more parking spaces allocated. There is already no parking available in this area and adding all of these new residents with .3 parking spots per dwelling is a terrible idea.

From:Youni KimTo:Public SubmissionsSubject:[EXT] Comments for Proposed Bylaw 64D2019Date:Monday, March 11, 2019 12:51:34 AM

Office of City Clerk The City of Calgary 700 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' Calgary AB T2P 2M5

Hello,

I am writing to express my concern and utter disappointment regarding the proposed bylaw (Bridgeland Riverside Bylaw 64D2019). My main concern is still the maximum building height of 50 metres.

I have reviewed the Calgary Planning Commission Report available at <u>http://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Pages/Public-notices/Public-hearing-on-Planning-Matters.aspx?redirect=/planningmatters</u>, including the proposed bylaw and other attachments for the application.

The community has expressed their concern regarding the height issue through both the Cityled and the Applicant-led engagements:

• CPC Report (2019-0070), on pages 9-10: 17 out of the 36 comments were concerns regarding height.

• Attachment 3 – Applicant-led Engagement Summary, Pages 1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13.

Yet, the proposed bylaw completely fails to address this concern. I am disappointed and confused on how the City could just ignore the community and propose a bylaw that's against the community's views.

If approved and completed, this project will stick out like a sore thumb or diminish Bridgeland's unique characteristics with more high-rises to follow. It will be regretted.

Please review the decision again.

Regards,

Young Kim yykim322@gmail.com 2360 East Mall Vancouver BC V6T 1Z3 March 4, 2019

Application: LOC2016-0193

Submitted by: Vikki Timler

Contact Information

Address: 518 38 9 St NE

Phone: (403) 819-2431

Email: vtimler@gmail.com

Feedback:

Parking is already an issue in this neighbourhood. The provision requirements, if approved, will only demand 0.3 parking stalls per domestic unit and none for retail units. I'm concerned about the increasing impact on the existing scarcity of parking.