

To whom it may concern

Jeet Shergill (JS) got involved/started the cell d saddleridge project as a team of a total of 16 parcels landowners.

1. JS started the project I was involved from the initial stage. Momentum and I feeling was very good. Harman Arora lot # 4 or 5 specifically told me not to get involved as the management fees will be thru the roof. Now the same guy who never paid initial cash calls on numerous requests is now the most favourable one.
2. JS told us a couple of times in meeting that he will not charge gurudwara lot 13 & 14 no fees ie zero fees but who knows how true is this.
3. JS asked me at least 4 times on different occasions that I should not worry about development Avtar Klair his nephew will buy all the lots from me. Frankly on 4 times, I asked him did I ever mention or asked him or anyone to buy my lots so I told him to back off.
4. I was the one who paid all cash calls timely \$ 28,000.00 so that we don't get behind. So far I missed only one meeting because my mom was in the hospital. I was honestly willing to give my hard earned money into a good cause that will benefit all of us but later I got a lollipop.
5. In November 2016 we all landowners had our last meeting in JS office on 12 Ave Sw. He came to the conclusion all 8 parcels north of 84 Ave NE are doing the project as a separate group. It looked like a was pre-planned decision so he had left all of us in a dilemma. Especially lot number 18 me because I was trying to pool all the guys together even some of them would not willing to participate because they had known JS because they had known of Js work ethics for a long time. He still had not given me the reason as to why I was left out of the group even though whenever money was required I had always paid my fair share of it.
6. B&A associates came to JS office a couple of times excitement and good vibes were there. They use to come for an hour or so, once we had gone their office downtown. The principle of B&A showed 3000 sq ft off retail space in lot # 3. I asked if it is 3000 sq ft per acre, he said no I asked if the 3000 sq ft retail was for all 16 parcels of land he said yes. Even the plan showed all the 16 parcels having 3000 sq ft now they're applying for 12000 sq meter (129166.925 sq ft) of commercial land.
7. The suggestion should take all 16 parcels as one application or two applications simultaneously.

When you work in a team there should be honestly, transparency, and accountability, etc. I understand there was a lot of repetition at the meetings, who is going to fix this? How is the city going to make sure this issue does not happen again in the future.

One of the engineering consultants told me JS is doing the favour to his nephew Avtar Klair and which is against the ethics of a professional consultant.

DHALIWAL SIKANDER SINGH

500 whiteland Dr NE

Calgary AB

Ph- 587-700 8890 cell.

jd bioguy@gmail.com

LOT # 18. - sikander Singh Dhalwal

Representing me + my consultant

on 25 Feb 2019

All 8 landowners south of 84 ave NE

Leslie

- was given the document.

FEB 19 2019 11:44 AM LP