

Policy Steward Compliance Report – SN2018-0009 Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy



Policy Steward's Compliance Report
SN2018-0009: Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy
September 6, 2018

Proposed Roadway Name(s): Aldersyde, Longview, Hartell, Naptha, Cayley, Town, Township, Settlement

Municipal Naming, Sponsorship and Naming Rights Policy (CP2016-01) Requirements:
Schedule 1 Requirements for Municipal Naming of Communities and Roadways
Section 2 Municipal Naming of Public Roadways

Policy Requirement	Compliant (Y/N)	Comments
2.1.1 Skeletal roadways shall be named after historically significant individuals and/or historically significant cultural groups, including indigenous people and groups.	N/A	
2.1.2 Arterial roadways shall either be numbered or named after historically significant individuals/events or their geographic locations. Roadways that serve more than one Community shall not bear the name of the Communities through which they pass.	N/A	
2.1.3 Residential roadways within a Community shall either be numbered or named. Roadway names should meet at least one of the following naming requirements: a. Follow a theme, preferably connected to the Community name; b. Reflect local geographic feature(s), including flora and fauna; c. Reflect Community/Calgary Heritage; or d. Be words using the first several letters of the Community name.	Y	
2.2.1 Roadways, once named, shall not normally be renamed. Council may consider renaming a roadway in exceptional circumstances.	Y	
2.2.2 Proposed roadway names shall be distinct from names used for roadways or Communities elsewhere in Calgary. Similar sounding roadway names shall be avoided due to confusion it may create for citizens or emergency services. Duplicated or similar sounding roadway names within the boundaries of deployment of regional emergency services shall be avoided.	Y	
2.2.3 Wherever possible, there should be only one name along a roadway's entire length to avoid driver confusion and due to directional signage requirements.	N/A	
2.2.4 Proposed roadway names that are offensive, misleading or otherwise deemed ineffective/unacceptable will not be permitted.	N	Concerns were expressed by Calgary 911 about use of the word "Township" that could be misleading due to a possible confusion with the Alberta Township Survey (ATS) system terminology. Use of generic terms such as "town" and "settlement" is discouraged as it may lead to confusion that the reference is being made to

**Policy Steward Compliance Report – SN2018-0009
Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy**



Policy Steward's Compliance Report
SN2018-0009: Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy
September 6, 2018

		a different municipality outside of Calgary.
2.2.5 When naming roadways after individuals: a. The practice shall be to use names of individuals who are retired (and therefore no longer active in their field of expertise) or deceased; and b. Where appropriate, a written consent from the individual or his/her estate shall be obtained.	N/A	
2.2.6 Prefixes within roadway names are generally not permitted, unless integral for theme naming.	Y	
2.2.7 Abbreviations within roadway names are not permitted.	Y	
2.2.8 Names containing special characters (non-alphabetic and non-numeric characters), with the exception of a hyphen, are not permitted.	Y	
2.2.9 Roadway names (not including a roadway type or quadrant identifier) shall not exceed 14 characters in length. Every number, letter, hyphen or space used in a roadway name shall be counted as one character in the 14-character limit (e.g., 100 Lake Erie Place (13 characters), 16A Street SE (3 characters), Beny-Sur-Mer Road (12 characters)).	Y	
2.2.10 Dual naming of roadways is not normally permitted. However, secondary names may be used on signage in cases of historical, Heritage or community value at the direction of Council.	N/A	
2.2.11 Roadway names consisting of only alphabetic designations are not permitted (e.g., "A Street", "M Street", etc.).	Y	
2.2.12 Roadway names in the areas newly annexed into the city of Calgary shall be subject to conditions set out in this policy (e.g., if a roadway within the annexed area has the same name as an existing roadway within the city of Calgary, renaming may be warranted as per section 2.2.1 of this policy).	N/A	
2.2.13 Numbered roadways oriented in a predominantly north/south fashion shall be assigned a roadway type "Street" only, and numbered east/west roadways shall have the roadway type "Avenue" only. Roadway types "Street" and "Avenue" may also be used with named roadways. The Administration shall try, wherever possible, to apply roadway type "Street" to north/south roadways (named or numbered) and "Avenue" to east/west roadways (named or numbered).	N/A	
2.2.14 Where a roadway deviates from its theoretical grid alignment, the roadway shall be named, as opposed to being numbered, commencing with a major intersection.	N/A	
2.2.15 Roadways within new Communities shall be numbered only if a roadway traverses a significant and meaningful distance along	N/A	

**Policy Steward Compliance Report – SN2018-0009
Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy**



Policy Steward's Compliance Report
SN2018-0009: Proposed Roadway(s) Naming in the Community of Legacy
September 6, 2018

specified alignments with minimal deviations and the roadway intersects with major roadways or other numbered roadways.		
2.2.16 Naming of roadways using a defined theme shall be permitted if the proposed names meet the following requirements: a. Themes must be simple and commonly understood, unless reflecting a theme of national or local significance; b. When there are many names in use throughout Calgary that could be associated with a proposed theme the proposed theme naming shall not be permitted; and c. Where words used within a theme are not easily recognized as being associated with the theme, prefixes may be used (e.g., Lake Bonavista, Lake Lucerne, Lake Tahoe, Lake Wasa, etc.).	Y	
2.2.17 Roadways shall utilize valid roadway types maintained by the Administration's division responsible for addressing in order to: a. Reflect the hierarchy, topography and configuration of roadways; and b. Enable one roadway name to be used for several roadways, thus reducing the number of roadway names required for new Communities.	N/A	
2.2.18 Cul-de-sac roadway types are to be used solely for non-through roadways: a. Where appropriate, all culs-de-sac accessible from the same through roadway shall share the same name as the through roadway; b. The practice of qualifying with numbers culs-de-sac sharing the same name and type (e.g., 100 James Place, 200 James Place, etc.) is endorsed where the culs-de-sac are accessed from the same roadway; and c. Generally, where a through roadway terminates across an intersection and into a cul-de-sac, the roadway type of the cul-de-sac shall be different from the roadway type of the through roadway.	N/A	
2.2.19 Roadway types "Way" and "Bay" shall not be used with the same roadway name (e.g., "Marquis Way" and "Marquis Bay").	N/A	
2.2.20 Words used as roadway types (e.g., "Green", "Park", "View", etc.) shall not be permitted for use in compound names selected as roadway names (e.g., Coventry Park Drive, Skyview View).	N/A	

Prepared by: *SMS*
Business Services, Leader: *R. Lewis*
Policy Steward: *[Signature]*