Dandelion Control Report (CPS2016-0825) - Q & A

2016 October 13

- 1. Dandelions are not considered to be a weed by the province, and I understand that they were prior to 2010. Can you explain the rationale behind this?
 - The provincial Weed Act was amended in 2010. One of the changes was to drop a number of species that didn't meet the new criteria as being a threat from an ecological or economic perspective. Dandelions would be acknowledged as a species that are i) non-native and ii) invasive in disturbed areas (which includes lawns), but unlikely to spread beyond those areas
- 2. Why did you propose mowing in the first place if you didn't know it was going to be an effective control technique?
 - In conversations with members of Council last year, we were asked to identify ways to address what was seen as an immediate concern – namely a short-term strategy to help reduce the visual impact of dandelions and therefore reduce the number of complaints we receive about dandelions. It was identified as a short-term strategy only
 - We presented a number of options, one of which was to look at whether or not increased mowing would help this. This is a reasonable question to ask – complaints tend to come in the form of a request for mowing and/or weed control, it would follow that additional mowing could help address the situation
 - The budgeted amount included funds for a late summer/fall mow. Dandelions typically will flower then as well. After reviewing the results of the early mow, we elected not to continue
- 3. Was the \$1M wasted on mowing? Did you learn anything?
 - The intent of the mowing was a short-term strategy to address citizen complaints. It was clear that this was not a control strategy, but an approach to addressing Citizen SRs
 - In order to answer that question (does mowing reduce SR volume), it's necessary to mow the entire Parks and Roads inventory (just under 3900 hectares), which costs about \$770K. We didn't think it would be effective in answering the question if we only mowed a portion of the city – we were concerned that we wouldn't be able to readily compare two regions effectively
 - We rejected the idea of only mowing parks that we get complaints on you can then only show how
 effective you are at addressing complaints, you can't say anything about how extra mowing helps
 reduce complaints overall
 - We considered mowing one part of the City and not the other we elected to do an entire system mow so that we'd have good data to compare with other years
 - Lessons Learned (to be outlined in presentation):
 - Growing Degree Day model for dandelion growth may be a useful way to be more strategic with when we start mowing
 - 311 analysis completed and informed decision to not mow in late summer/fall
 - Citizen survey completed
 - Pilots (goat grazing, alternative weed control methods, trial grasses, etc) are useful, science-based ways to inform advancements in weed management
 - Lessons to be applied in revision of Integrated Pest Management Plan
- 4. Are dandelions a larger concern on private property or on public land?
 - This has not been evaluated it is difficult to equate the volume of calls with the magnitude of the issue.
 They're present everywhere, and a number of factors influence population on any given site
 - Good horticultural practices are the most effective long-term control

5. Is there an education opportunity here?

- Absolutely. One of the best outcomes that came from the grazing pilot was the interest and excitement from the public. It was a great opportunity to show that the City is actively exploring alternative means to control weeds
- The survey work showed that most Calgarians' knowledge of weed control tends to be herbicide applications. We can talk about other ways of managing our landscape including naturalization, biocontrol, grazing, and alternative products

RECEIVED IN COUNCIL CHAMBER OCT 1 4 2016 ITEM: CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT