Urban Design Review Panel Comments

Date: June 13, 2018 1:00 pm Time:

Panel Members: Present: Absent:

Chad Russill (co-chair) Janice Liebe (chair) Gary Mundy Glen Pardoe Jack Vanstone Robert LeBlond Amelia Hollingshurst Terry Klassen Ben Bailey Yogeshwar Navagrah Bruce Nelligan

Eric Toker

David Down, Chief Urban Designer Advisor:

LOC2018-0021/DP2018-0390, Application number: LOC2017-0127/DP2017-1699

Municipal address: 230 7A ST NE, 438 8 ST NE

Community: Bridgeland

Project description: Multi-Residential Development (1 building, 4 units.)

Multi-Residential Development (1 building, 4 units.)

Review: File Manager: Sara Kassa City Wide Urban Design: Angela Kiu

Applicant: 02 Planning and Design Architect: Davignon Martineau Architects Owner:

Ranking: Support

Summary

The Panel recognizes that this development does not require UDRP review and feedback, however commends the applicant for voluntarily seeking input on this innovative proposal for a new residential typology within the neighbourhood of Bridgeland.

Overall, the Panel is excited by the applicant's desire to address a corner residential lot differently than an infill lot and activate both the avenue and street facades through the residential unit configurations and the exterior design. The Panel also commends the intent of the design to provide more variety within this community with regards to scale and cost, ultimately supporting a diverse community fabric and demographic.

The Panel encourages further study of the contrasting expression of the third level and garage exterior treatment. Although it is understood that the current proposal attempts to accentuate the two-storey massing of the building, the graphic illustrations seem to highlight both the third level and garage, as oppose to diminishing their presence.

Although this application does not align exactly with the current ASP for this community, the Panel strongly supports this application and the change in zoning to Direct Control for both sites.

Applicant Response

(18.06.21)

We appreciate the panels comments interest in the project, their time commitment and feedback. We have carefully combed through the comments and are providing responses for each sections of the document. We can confirm that we are taking the commentary to heart and have revised the

Page 1 of 7

third level and garage exterior treatment. These elevations have been adjusted to add character that is more compatible with the current proposed two level lower massing.

	an Vitality			
	Topic	Best Practice	Ranking	
1	Retail street diversity	Retail streets encourage pedestrians along sidewalk with a mix and diversity of smaller retail uses. Retail wraps corners of streets. Space for patios and cafe seating is	N/A	
	UDRP Commentary	provided.		
	Not Applicable.			
	Applicant Response			
	N/A			
2	Retail street	Retail street maximizes glazing - 70% and more. Maintains	N/A	
	transparency,	view into and out of retail, avoids display-only windows.	107	
	UDRP Commentary			
	Not Applicable.			
	Applicant Response			
	N/A			
	Pedestrian-first design	Sidewalks are continuous on all relevant edges. Materials span driveway entries and parking access points. No drop offs or lay-bys in the pedestrian realm. Street furnishings support the pedestrian experience.	Support	
	UDRP Commentary			
	residents with concrete pads connecting the garage to the rear lane. Private patios and landscaping define the edge condition between the public and private realm. Applicant response			
	In agreement.			
	Entry definition / legibility	Entry points are clear and legible	Support	
	UDRP Commentary		-	
	Entry to each of the private residential units is clear and legible. The proposed development activates both street and avenue through meaningful points of entry.			
	Applicant Response			
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade	nent. Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to	Support	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade	nent. Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use.	Support	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary	nent. Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use.		
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary The design propose avenue at this corne	nent. Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use.	street and tunity for	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary The design propose avenue at this corne furniture and extend	Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use. It is two and three storey units at the street level, facing both the ser site condition. Private outdoor patios, at grade, provide oppor	street and tunity for the public	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary The design propose avenue at this corne furniture and extend sidewalk. Second at Applicant Response	Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use. It is two and three storey units at the street level, facing both the ser site condition. Private outdoor patios, at grade, provide opport towards the sidewalk. They offer physical and visual access to ad third level balconies also contribute to animating the façades	street and tunity for the public	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary The design propose avenue at this corne furniture and extend sidewalk. Second at Applicant Response In agreement.	Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use. It is two and three storey units at the street level, facing both the ser site condition. Private outdoor patios, at grade, provide opport towards the sidewalk. They offer physical and visual access to ad third level balconies also contribute to animating the façades	street and tunity for the public	
	In complete agreer Residential multi- level units at grade UDRP Commentary The design propose avenue at this corne furniture and extend sidewalk. Second at Applicant Response	Inclusion of two or three storey units are encouraged, particularly at street level. Private outdoor patios with access to the sidewalk are ideal. Patios are large enough to permit furnishing and active use. It is two and three storey units at the street level, facing both the set is the condition. Private outdoor patios, at grade, provide opport towards the sidewalk. They offer physical and visual access to ad third level balconies also contribute to animating the façades. At grade parking is concealed behind building frontages along public streets.	street and tunity for the public	

	A private, enclosed garage, is provided for four vehicles (one per residential unit). Street paralle parking is by permit only and a C-train station is located within 10 minutes walking distance. The panel feels this is more than adequate. Designated 'Car to Go' parking locations near-by may assist the applicant in negotiating Community support, as it could minimize Community concernaround vehicle volumes. Some exploration of pushing the garage away from the property line is encouraged as it could allow for some landscape to buffer this portion of the building. Applicant Response			
	that would further	signated Car to Go parking location near-by would be a wo support our project and the community.		
	17" to the South bu	e away from the North façade has been explored. The garag It we feel this will reduce the practicality of the rear walk ar Int enough increase in landscaping where the landscaping w	nd doesn't	
7	Parking	Ramps are concealed as much as possible. Entrances to	Support	
'	entrances		Support	
	entrances	parking are located in discrete locations. Driveways to		
		garage entries are minimized, place pedestrian environment and safety first.		
	UDRP Commentary	and safety first.		
		in this application. The parking entrance is in keeping with the	evieting context	
		nt residential properties; via the rear lane. The panel supports re		
		acity from four stalls to three stalls. This will improve the exterior		
		cade by reducing the current bump-out of the garage. Further re		
	Applicant Response	city will support the use of public transit and increase pedestria	n activity.	
		with the UDRP comments, we are electing to not pursue th	ic itom in	
		e parking concerns brought forth by the Community Associated		
	resident Me feel th	nat in due time, the planning department and CA's will begi	n considering	
		ctions to proposed developments.	ii considering	
8	Other	ctions to proposed developments.		
0	Applicant Response			
	N/A			
Urb		vide visual and functional connectivity between buildings and pl	aces ensure	
		d future networks. Promote walkability, cycle networks, transit u		
	environments.	a ration of retinerne, remote training, eyers retinerne, trainer a	oo, poaceinan	
Top		Best Practice	Ranking	
9	LRT station	Supports LRT use via legible, dedicated pedestrian	N/A	
	connections	pathways to stations with direct routes. Avoids desire lines /	1.07	
		shortcutting through parking areas.		
	UDRP Commentary	The state of the s		
		lines of this site, a LRT Station is located within a 10 minute w	alk	
	Applicant Response			
	In agreement.			
10	Regional	Supports walkability via intentional urban design	N/A	
9,0500	pathway	connections to pathway systems.	2000000	
	connections	1 2 2		
	UDRP Commentary			
	Applicant Response	<u></u>		
	N/A			
11	Cycle path	Supports cycling via intentional, safe urban design	N/A	
	connections	connections to pathway systems and ease of access to		
	AND	bicycle storage at grade.		
	UDRP Commentary			
	Applicant Response			

UDRP June 13, 2018 LOC2018-0021/DP2018-0390, LOC2017-0127/DP2017-1699

Page 3 of 7

	N/A		
12	Walkability - connection to adjacent neighbourhoods / districts / key	Extend existing and provide continuous pedestrian pathways. Extend pedestrian pathway materials across driveways and lanes to emphasize pedestrian use.	N/A
	urban features		
	UDRP Commentary		
	ODIN COMMENTALLY		
	Applicant Response		
	Applicant Nesponse		
40	Detharas	Describe weather and there was the city place along the city	0
13	Pathways	Provide pathways through the site along desire lines to	Support
	through site	connect amenities within and beyond the site boundaries.	
	UDRP Commentary		
		an exterior walkway along the side setback through the site, co	
	entryways to the gar	age, laneway and front street. Suggest the addition of gates to	discourage
	public access and e	nhance CPTED principles.	
	Applicant Response		
	In agreement. A ga	te has been added to the rear of the property to discourage	public
	access.		A. ************************************
14	Open space	Connects and extends existing systems and patterns.	N/A
	networks and	g - , -	
	park systems		
	UDRP Commentary		
	ODIN Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
15	Views and vistas	Designed to enhance views to natural areas and urban	
13		Designed to enhance views to natural areas and urban landmarks.	
	UDRP Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
16	Vehicular		
	interface		
	UDRP Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
17	Other		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
Cor	itextual Response C	ptimize built form with respect to mass, spacing and placement	on site in
		uses, heights and densities	
Top	ic	Best Practice	Ranking
18	Massing	Relationship to adjacent properties is sympathetic	Support
20.70	relationship to	and the second s	ALLONDA I DI PRODUCE
	context		
	UDRP Commentary		
		ed massing deviates from the existing residential context by pro	nnosing a third
		sensitive to the adjacent two storey structures by stepping back	
		culating the building massing on the shared side-yard elevation.	
	avenue facades project slightly into the required setback, however the panel feels that the projection on the street façade is minimal and as a corner lot, this projection does not diminish the		
	overall street perspe	ective or negatively impact the adjacent property. Visibility triang	lies for vehicles

	is not affected. The panel does feel that retracting the garage façade along the avenue would have			
	a positive impact on	the avenue perspective and elevation, allowing sufficient space	e for a	
	landscaping feature to soften the blank wall façade. Overall, the massing addresses both the street			
	and avenue, which i	s a positive urban design gesture.		
	Applicant Response			
		nt with the UDRP comments. As previously mentioned we	have elected to	
		stalls to help alleviate parking concerns from the Commun		
	Association.	stans to help uneviate parking concerns from the commun	···y	
19	Massing impacts	Sun shade impacts minimized on public realm and adjacent	Support	
19	on sun shade	sites	Support	
	UDRP Commentary			
		sed massing deviates from the existing residential context, that		
		ached garage and a backyard space, the proposed massing is		
		nd therefore does not introduce shadows onto adjacent backya	rds.	
	Applicant Response			
	In agreement.			
20	Massing	Building form relates / is oriented to the streets on which it	Support	
	orientation to	fronts.	0.5.00.5	
	street edges	WeSt8690403.5008		
	UDRP Commentary			
		This application is innovative in that it is addressing both streets	s through form	
		ner lot it fronts two streets and the design addresses both street		
		the lot it from the two streets and the design addresses both street through a duplex massing, and initiating an attitu		
		withouse massing. This solution is in fact less than what could		
		further strengthening the rationale behind support of this project		
	Applicant Response			
	In agreement.			
21	Massing		Support	
21	distribution on		Support	
21	distribution on site		Support	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary			
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel commend	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro	ve the quality of	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel commence space within the res	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie	ve the quality of ving natural	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel commence space within the res	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro	ve the quality of ving natural	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel commence space within the res	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties.	ve the quality of ving natural	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the reslight within each unit	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties.	ve the quality of ving natural	
21	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the reslight within each unit Applicant Response	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties.	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the reslight within each unit Applicant Response In agreement.	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each unit Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties.	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each unit Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
22	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each unito Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement.	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
22	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the resight within each unitable and a specific space. In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural	
22	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each unit Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response	ds the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and impro- idential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural Support	
22 23 Safe	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each unit Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response N/A ety and Diversity Processors	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realm at grade	ve the quality of ving natural Support	
22 23 Safr Ach	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the resight within each unity applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response In agreement.	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improvidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade at grade building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade	ve the quality of ving natural Support	
22 23 Saff Ach	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the resight within each unity applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improvidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade at grade commote design that accommodates the broadest range of users a cort and security at all times. Best Practice	ve the quality of ving natural Support Support and uses.	
22 23 Safr Ach	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the resight within each unity applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response In agreement.	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improvidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade commote design that accommodates the broadest range of users a cort and security at all times. Best Practice CPTED principles are to be employed - good overlook,	ve the quality of ving natural Support	
22 23 Safi Ach	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the resight within each unity applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improvidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade at grade commote design that accommodates the broadest range of users a cort and security at all times. Best Practice	ve the quality of ving natural Support Support and uses.	
22 23 Safi Ach	distribution on site UDRP Commentary The panel comment space within the res light within each uni Applicant Response In agreement. Massing contribution to public realm at grade UDRP Commentary See comment #5 Applicant Response In agreement. Other Applicant Response N/A ety and Diversity Projeve a sense of comfolic Safety and	dis the applicant's intent to provide affordable density and improvidential units. The massing occupies the entire site, while achie t and maintaining visual privacy for existing adjacent properties. Building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade building form contributes to a comfortable pedestrian realmat grade commote design that accommodates the broadest range of users a cort and security at all times. Best Practice CPTED principles are to be employed - good overlook,	ve the quality of ving natural Support Support and uses.	

	The design provides	slighting and glazing along both the street and avenue, which is	an
		the neighbourhood. This improvement lends much needed 'eye	
	for the avenue, where laneway access can provide hiding areas. All unit entry doors are visible and		
		Also see comment # 13.	
	Applicant Response		
	In agreement.		
25	Pedestrian level	Incorporate strategies to block wind, particularly prevailing	N/A
25	comfort - wind		I WA
	comfort - wind	wind and downdrafts. Test assumptions and responses via	
		Pedestrian Level Wind Analysis. Particular attention to	
		winter conditions.	
	UDRP Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
26	Pedestrian level	Incorporate strategies to prevent snow drifting. Test	N/A
	comfort - snow	assumptions and responses via Snow Drifting Analysis.	1
	COMMON - SHOW	Particular attention to winter conditions.	
	LIDDD Commenter		
	UDRP Commentary		ling proped tree
	Aithough this was no	ot discussed, the applicant may wish to identify the snow shove	ing procedures
		ssociation to address any sidewalk maintenance concerns.	
	Applicant Response		
	A condo plan and	use rules will be developed for the project, to which buyers	,
	multigenerational of	dwellers or tenants would have to follow.	
27	Weather	Weather protection is encouraged at principal entrances.	Support
	protection	Continuous weather protection is encouraged along retail /	
	5.	mixed used frontages.	
	UDRP Commentary		
	All recidential unit of	ntries have canopies to protect against weather conditions and	improve the
		itiles have cariopies to protect against weather conditions and	improve trie
	safety of landings.		
	Applicant Response		
	In agreement.		L 1.// A
28	Night time		N/A
	design		
	UDRP Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
29	Barrier free	Site access to be equal for able and disabled individuals.	Support
	design	Provide sloped surfaces 5% grade or less vs ramps.	
	UDRP Commentary		
	One of the proposed units is single storey and accommodations could be made to ramp the main		
	entry walkway. This could support the design intent of supporting a diverse community both		
	generationally and economically.		
	Applicant Response		
	In agreement.		
30	Winter city	Maximize exposure to sunshine for public areas through	N/A
		orientation, massing. Design public realm that supports	
		winter activity.	
[UDRP Commentary		
	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
31	Other		
- '	Applicant Response		
	N/A		
ı I	11//7		

Service / Utility Design Promote design that accommodates service uses in functional and unobtrusive manner. Place service uses away from and out of sight of pedestrian areas where possible. Screening elements to be substantive and sympathetic to the building architecture.

Topic	Commentary	Ranking
32 (specify)		TBD