



Background

- Creation of the Historic Building Management Plan - 2010
- 2. Overall portfolio condition
- 3. Dedicated funding and staff position created
- 4. Pre-qualification of historical expert teams



Investigation: alternatives and analysis

- 1. Unique collection of assets
- Unique materials, methods & trades required to maintain historic buildings
- 3. Unique teams & approaches being employed



Portfolio Prioritization Criteria

Significant Risks – health, safety, & major service disruption Financial Impact –enhancement of financial position Benefit to Users – revenue generation & community use Heritage Significance – municipal, provincial, federal designations External Funding Availability – new revenue opportunity Enhanced Productive Use – promote utilization of the asset

4



Portfolio Prioritization

- 1. Historic City Hall
- 2. Historic Beltline YWCA
- 3. Centennial Planetarium
- 4. Remaining buildings in the portfolio



Portfolio Changes

Added: Historic Alexandra School

(Google Street View)

Sold: Cecil Hotel

(Google Street View)





Presentation



Portfolio Funding & Sources

Total of \$45.6M from the following sources:

- 1. Historic City Hall budget (\$34.1m)
- 2. Sales
- 3. Philanthropic donation
- 4. CPB additional sources
- 5. Grants



Recommendations:

- 1. Endorse Prioritization Criteria
- 2. Approve \$35.67M capital appropriation
- 3. Approve allocation of \$4.435M to rehabilitation of City-owned heritage buildings
- 4. Approve Use of \$747,000 for Heritage Buildings Rehabilitation Program
- 5. Keep Attachment 2 confidential