



**The City of Calgary**  
Report on the 2025 Audit

Presented to the Audit Committee April 23, 2026

**Dear Audit Committee members:**

We are pleased to submit this report on the status of our audit of The City of Calgary (“The City”) for the 2025 fiscal year. This report summarizes the scope of our audit, our findings to date and reviews certain other matters that we believe to be of interest to you. We are continuing to work with Administration on the outstanding matters summarized in the Executive Summary.

As agreed in our Engagement Letter (“EL”) dated July 24, 2025, we have performed an audit of the consolidated financial statements of The City as at and for the year ended December 31, 2025, in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards (“Canadian GAAS”) and expect to issue our audit report thereon dated April 28, 2026.

Our audit has been conducted in accordance with the audit plan that was presented to the Audit Committee at the meeting on July 24, 2025.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, Administration and others within The City and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We look forward to discussing this report summarizing the outcome of our audit with you and answering any questions you may have.

Yours truly,

*Deloitte LLP*

Chartered Professional Accountants

# Table of contents



## Executive Summary



## Significant Audit Risks, Areas of Focus and 2025 Matters of Interest

Significant risks - Administration override of controls

Significant risks – Revenue recognition of capital deposits

Significant risks – Tangible capital assets



## Internal Control Matters



## Significant Accounting Policies, Judgements and Estimates



## Appendices

Appendix 1 – Communication requirements and other reportable matters

Appendix 2 – Group audit

Appendix 3 – Draft version of our auditor's report

Appendix 4 – Draft Administration representation letter

Appendix 5 – Draft independence letter

Appendix 6 – Audit Committee terms of reference




# Executive Summary

## Audit Scope and Terms of Engagement

We have been asked to perform an audit of The City’s consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards (“PSAS”) as at and for the year ending December 31, 2025.

## Group Materiality



Materiality levels are determined on the basis of total consolidated operating expenditures. Our materiality for the year ended December 31, 2025 was \$149.50M (2024, \$115M).

## Significant Risks

Status

1 Administration override of controls



2 Revenue recognition of capital deposits



3 Tangible Capital Assets



 Continued from prior Year



## Outstanding Matters & Next Steps

**Receipt** of signed Administration representation letter and legal department’s confirmation letter.

**Completion** of our subsequent events review and Engagement Quality Control review.

**Approval** of the consolidated financial statements by City Council at the recommendation of the Audit Committee.

**Review** of final version of the consolidated financial statements and Financial Statement Discussion and Analysis for changes, if any.

## Executive Summary (cont'd)



### Going Concerns

Administration has completed its assessment of the ability of The City to continue as a going concern and in making its assessment did not identify any material uncertainties related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt upon The City's ability to continue as a going concern. We agree with Administration's assessment.

### Results

No restrictions have been placed on the scope of our audit. We intend to issue an unmodified audit report on the consolidated financial statements of The City for the year ended December 31, 2025, once the outstanding items referred to above are completed satisfactorily and the consolidated financial statements are approved by the Audit Committee and City Council.

# Significant Audit Risks and Areas of Focus

Risk	Audit response consistent with plan	Administration Judgement	Control testing planned	Analysis of Risk	Audit Response and Results
Administration override of controls			<b>D+I</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Canadian auditing standards include the presumption of a significant risk of fraud which can be committed through Administration override of controls.</li> <li>Administration is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to directly or indirectly manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be designed and implemented effectively.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We reviewed accounting estimates for biases and evaluated whether the circumstances demonstrate bias, if any, or represent a risk of material misstatement due to fraud.</li> <li>We tested, using data interrogation techniques, journal entries recorded that exhibit characteristics of possible Administration override of controls for the month of December 2025 and material manual consolidation entries recorded at the end of the reporting period.</li> <li>We engaged in periodic fraud discussions with certain members of Administration and others, including Chief Financial Officer, City Auditor, Chief Administrative Officer, and the Audit Committee Chair.</li> <li>We evaluated The City's fraud risk assessment and considered entity-level internal controls and internal controls over the financial closing and reporting process.</li> <li>We obtained an understanding of the business rationale for significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business or that appear unusual given our understanding of The City and its environment.</li> <li>We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.</li> </ul>



## Legend



Significant level of Administration judgement involved

**D+I:** Planned testing of the design and implementation of key controls

# Significant Audit Risks and Areas of Focus

Risk	Audit response consistent with plan	Administration Judgement	Control testing planned	Analysis of Risk	Audit Response and Results
Revenue recognition of capital deposits			<b>D+I</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Capital deposit revenue relating to government funding and private contributions is not recognized in the correct period.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We assessed the design and implementation of relevant controls.</li> <li>We applied audit procedures to the revenue recognition of capital deposits relating to both government funding and private contributions. Our testing included, on a sample basis, reviewing amounts included in the current year transactions to ensure revenue is recognized only when the PSAS revenue recognition criteria is met.</li> <li>We obtained capital deposit contracts to ensure that the revenue recorded aligns with the stipulations in the contract.</li> <li>We applied audit procedures to test the completeness of revenue by reviewing the carry-forward forms for financing deficits as at December 31 to determine if grant funding has been applied in the appropriate period.</li> <li>We applied audit procedures to off-site levies, on a sample basis, on the following areas:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Signed agreements in the year;</li> <li>Debt acquired for off-site levy projects;</li> <li>Amounts recognized into revenue in the year;</li> <li>Deferred revenue recognized into revenue in the year.</li> </ul> </li> <li>We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.</li> </ul>



## Legend



Significant level of Administration judgement involved

**D+I:** Planned testing of the design and implementation of key controls

# Significant Audit Risks and Areas of Focus

Risk	Audit response consistent with plan	Administration Judgement	Control testing planned	Analysis of Risk	Audit Response and Results
Tangible Capital Assets			<b>D+I</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completeness, existence and valuation of Tangible Capital Assets (“TCA”), work in progress (“WIP”) and capital (Fund 40) expenditures.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We assessed the design and implementation of relevant controls.</li> <li>On a sample basis, we tested TCA WIP additions to ensure these WIP additions were appropriately capitalized and accounted for as WIP.</li> <li>On a sample basis, we tested TCA WIP transfers to ensure these WIP projects were appropriately transferred out of WIP and into the correct asset class at project completion.</li> <li>On a sample basis, we tested the year end WIP balance to ensure amounts included in WIP are appropriate.</li> <li>On a sample basis, we tested capital (Fund 40) expenditures to ensure these costs were appropriately expensed or capitalized to TCA.</li> <li>We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.</li> </ul>

## Legend



Significant level of Administration judgement involved



**D+I:** Planned testing of the design and implementation of key controls

# Significant Audit Risks and Areas of Focus (cont'd)

## Areas of focus

### Related Parties (related authorities/subsidiaries/civic partners)

- We reviewed The City's accounting policies, and any changes therein related to its related parties.
- We verified that the related parties have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with The City's accounting policies and PSAS.
- We audited all material balances relating to the related parties.
- We applied audit procedures to any entities identified by Administration in 2025 as meeting the criteria for consolidation under PSAS guidance, if any. No new entities identified in 2025.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Litigation Accruals and Contingencies

- We enquired with The City's legal department and The City Solicitor to determine the status of outstanding legal matters.
- We reviewed legal correspondence from The City Solicitor and external legal counsel (if applicable) and discussed the status of outstanding legal matters with Administration and others, as necessary.
- We assessed the appropriateness of any contingent liabilities and financial statement disclosures.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Government Grants and Transfers

- We tested a sample of funding agreements to determine if the contract required financial statement disclosure.
- We tested a sample of federal and provincial transfer payments received during the year to fund specific projects.
- We reviewed the related funding agreements to ensure funds were used for their intended purpose and that revenue was recognized in the appropriate period, including the deferred revenue.
- We reviewed deferred revenue for compliance with the applicable PSAS guidance.
- We tested expenditures and ensure that the corresponding revenue has been recognized.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Property Tax Revenue

- We performed reasonability tests on property tax revenue balances.
- We reviewed and tested the property tax revenue business cycle process controls.
- We completed data analytics testing on the property tax revenues for the year ending December 31, 2025.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Reserves

- We tested expenditures charged to each reserve and agreed a sample of expenditures to invoices to verify that the transaction was within the terms and conditions approved by City Council.
- We also tested a sample of transfers between reserves, if any, to verify that the transfer was approved by City Council.
- We reviewed the completeness and accuracy of the financial statement disclosures relating to reserves.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Commitments and Contractual Obligations

- We reviewed the completeness and accuracy of the financial statement disclosures relating to The City's commitments and contractual obligations.
- We ensured that these disclosures are in accordance with PSAS guidance.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

### Valuation of registered defined benefit pension plans

- We reviewed the various inputs and assumptions used in the calculation of the pension obligation and assessed their reasonableness.
- We reviewed and tested the pension asset and obligation business cycle process controls to ensure the controls surrounding the review of the inputs and assumptions are designed and implemented properly.
- We sent and received confirmations from the external actuary.
- We obtained sufficient audit evidence to conclude that there were no material misstatements.

# Appendices



## Appendix 1 – Required communications with Those Charged with Governance

Canadian GAAS standards require that we communicate to Those Charged with Governance (Audit Committee) on the following matters:

Required communication	Refer to this report or document described below
1. Our responsibilities under Canadian GAAS, including forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements	Engagement Letter (“EL”) dated July 24, 2025.
2. An overview of the overall audit strategy, addressing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Timing of the audit</li> <li>b. Significant risks, including fraud risks</li> <li>c. Nature and extent of specialized skill or knowledge needed to perform the planned audit procedures related to significant risk</li> </ul>	Audit plan communicated on July 24, 2025.
3. Significant transactions outside of the normal course of business, including related party transactions	<p>Related party transactions are disclosed in Note 27 to the consolidated financial statements.</p> <p>Refer to Significant audit risks and areas of focus section for further details on significant transactions outside the normal course of business.</p>
4. How those charged with governance exercise oversight over management’s process for identifying and responding to the risk of fraud and the internal control that management has established to mitigate these risks	<p>We believe adequate internal controls exist to sufficiently mitigate the risk of management override of controls.</p> <p>Those charged with governance exercise (Audit Committee) oversight over Administration’s process for identification and response to the risk of fraud by establishing and enforcing a code of conduct, establishing committees to govern various aspects of operations and engaging frequently with key Administration personnel.</p>
5. Whether The City is in compliance with laws and regulations	<p>Administration is responsible for ensuring that The City’s operations are conducted in accordance with the laws and regulations applicable to The City in the jurisdictions in which it operates. The responsibility for preventing and detecting non-compliance rests with Administration. The auditor is not and cannot be held responsible for preventing noncompliance with laws and regulations.</p> <p>Our limited procedures did not identify any areas of material non-compliance with laws and regulations by The City.</p>

## Appendix 1 – Required communications with Those Charged with Governance (cont'd)

Canadian GAAS standards require that we communicate to Those Charged with Governance (Audit Committee) on the following matters:

Required communication	Refer to this report or document described below	
6. Fraud or possible fraud identified through the audit process	We are not aware of any actual or suspected fraudulent events which are material to The City's consolidated financial statements.	
7. Significant accounting policies, practices, unusual transactions, and our related conclusions	No significant departures for inappropriate accounting policies noted.	
8. Alternative treatments for accounting policies and practices that have been discussed with management during the current audit period	None noted.	
9. Matters related to going concern	Executive summary section of this report.	
10. Use of the work of specialists and experts	As planned, Deloitte and external specialists and experts assisted in the audit to the extent we considered necessary:	
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>Actuarial experts:</b> (External)</td> <td>AON Solutions Canada Inc. assisted in the assessment of the valuation of The City's pension liability.</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Actuarial experts:</b> (External)
<b>Actuarial experts:</b> (External)	AON Solutions Canada Inc. assisted in the assessment of the valuation of The City's pension liability.	
11. Consultation with other accountants	Administration has informed us that The City has not consulted other accountants about auditing or accounting matters.	

## Appendix 1 – Required communications with Those Charged with Governance (cont'd)

Canadian GAAS standards require that we communicate to Those Charged with Governance (Audit Committee) on the following matters:

Required communication	Refer to this report or document described below
12. Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit	During the course of our audit, we did not encounter any significant difficulties in dealing with Administration related to the performance of the audit.
13. Material written communications between Administration and us, including Administration representation letters	Draft Administration representation letter - Appendix 4.
14. Circumstances that affect the form and the content of the auditor's report	Draft auditor's report - Appendix 3.
15. Other matters that are significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process	No other matters to report.
16. Modifications to our opinion(s)	Executive summary section of this report.
17. Other significant matters discussed with Administration	Significant audit risks and areas of focus section of this report.
18. Matters involving noncompliance with laws and regulations that come to our attention, unless prohibited by law or regulation, including illegal or possibly illegal acts that come to our attention	We are not aware of any matters involving noncompliance with laws and regulations or illegal acts.
19. Litigation	No litigation matters to report.
20. Significant deficiencies in internal control, if any, identified by us in the conduct of the audit of the financial statements	No significant deficiencies to report.
21. Uncorrected misstatements and disclosure items	In accordance with Canadian GAAS, we request that all misstatements be corrected. Refer to Draft Administration representation letter - Appendix 4 for all uncorrected misstatements impacting the current and prior year.
22. Changes to the audit plan	The audit was conducted in accordance with our audit plan, which was communicated to the Audit Committee on July 24, 2025. We confirm that there have been no amendments to the audit scope and approach communicated in the audit plan.
23. Concerns regarding Administration competence and integrity	We do not have any concerns regarding Administration's competency and integrity.
24. Disagreements with Administration	During the current audit, we did not have any disagreements with Administration.
25. Post-balance sheet events	At the date of finalizing this report, we are not aware of any significant post-balance sheet events.

## Appendix 2 – Group Audit

The audit of The City is considered to be a group audit and therefore, we are also required to determine the scope of work required for each component audit (related authority/subsidiary) in relation to The City’s consolidated audit and group materiality.

We are auditors of all components (related authorities/subsidiaries) and issue an audit opinion on the standalone financial statements of each entity based on the component (standalone) materiality.

No restrictions have been placed on the scope of our audits of the related authorities. In performing the audits, we were given full and complete access to the accounting records, supporting documentation and other information requested.



## Appendix 3 – Draft version of our auditor’s report

### Independent Auditor’s Report

To Mayor Jeromy Farkas and Members of City Council  
The City of Calgary

#### Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of The City of Calgary (The City) which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2025 and the consolidated statements of operations and accumulated surplus, remeasurement gains and losses, cash flows and changes in net financial assets for the year then ended, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”).

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The City as at December 31, 2025 and the results of its operations, remeasurement gains and losses, cash flows and changes in net financial assets for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS).

#### Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards (Canadian GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of The City in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

#### Other Information

Administration is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information, other than the financial statements and our auditor’s report thereon, in the Financial Statement Discussion and Analysis.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon. In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information identified above and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

We obtained the Financial Statement Discussion and Analysis prior to the date of this auditor's report. If, based on the work we have performed on this other information, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact in this auditor's report. We have nothing to report in this regard.

### Responsibilities of Administration and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Administration is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with PSAS and for such internal control as Administration determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, Administration is responsible for assessing The City's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless Administration either intends to liquidate The City or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing The City's financial reporting process.

### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian GAAS, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of The City's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by Administration.

- Conclude on the appropriateness of Administration's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on The City's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause The City to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Plan and perform the group audit to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business units within The City as a basis for forming an opinion on the financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and review of the audit work performed for purposes of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Chartered Professional Accountants  
April 28, 2026

## Appendix 4 – Draft administration representation letter

[The City of Calgary letterhead]

April 28, 2026

Deloitte LLP  
700, 850 – 2 Street SW  
Calgary AB T2P 0R8

To Whom It May Concern:

Subject: Consolidated financial statements of The City of Calgary for the year ended December 31, 2025

This representation letter is provided in connection with the audit by Deloitte LLP (“Deloitte” or “you”) of the consolidated financial statements of The City of Calgary (“The City” or “we” or “us”) for the year ended December 31, 2025, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information (the “Financial Statements”) for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the Financial Statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, its remeasurement gains and losses, cash flows and changes in net financial assets of The City in accordance with Public Sector Accounting Standards (“PSAS”).

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the Financial Statements.

We confirm that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

### Financial statements

1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities as set out in the terms of the engagement letter between The City and Deloitte dated July 24, 2025, for the preparation of the Financial Statements in accordance with PSAS. In particular, the Financial Statements are fairly presented, in all material respects, and present the financial position of The City as at

December 31, 2025, and the results of its operations, remeasurement gains and losses, cash flows and changes in net financial assets for the year then ended in accordance with PSAS.

2. Significant assumptions used in making estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.

In preparing the Financial Statements in accordance with PSAS, Administration makes judgments and assumptions about the future and uses estimates. The completeness and appropriateness of the disclosures related to estimates are in accordance with PSAS. The City has appropriately disclosed in the Financial Statements the nature of measurement uncertainties that are material, including all estimates where it is reasonably possible that the estimate will change in the near term and the effect of the change could be material to the Financial Statements.

The measurement methods, including the related assumptions and models, used in determining the estimates, including fair value, were appropriate, reasonable and consistently applied in accordance with PSAS and appropriately reflect Administration's intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of The City. No events have occurred subsequent to December 31, 2025, that require adjustment to the estimates and disclosures included in the Financial Statements.

There are no changes in management's method of determining significant estimates in the current year.

We have identified all related parties in accordance with Section PS 2200, *Related Party Disclosures* ("PS 2200"). Administration has determined that such disclosure is not necessary because the transactions have not occurred at a value different from that which would have been arrived at if the parties were unrelated and do not or could not have a material effect on the financial statements. This assessment is based on all relevant factors, including those listed in paragraph 16 of PS 2200.

3. We have determined that the Financial Statements are complete as of the date of this letter as this is the date when there are no changes to the Financial Statements (including disclosures) planned or expected and City Council approval is obtained. The Financial Statements have been internally reviewed and approved in accordance with our year end close process to finalize financial statements.
4. We have completed our review of events after December 31, 2025, and up to the date of this letter. All events subsequent to the date of the Financial Statements and for which PSAS requires adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed. Accounting estimates and disclosures included in the Financial Statements that are impacted by subsequent events have been appropriately adjusted.
5. The Financial Statements are free of material errors and omissions.

We believe that the effects of any uncorrected Financial Statement misstatements pertaining to the current and comparative prior period presented, are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the Financial Statements taken as a whole. A list of the uncorrected misstatements aggregated by you is attached in Appendix A.

## Internal controls

6. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud and error.
7. We have disclosed to you all known deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting identified as part of our evaluation, including separately disclosing to you all such deficiencies that we believe to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

## Information provided

8. We have provided you with:
  - a. Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the Financial Statements, such as records, documentation and other matters;
  - b. All relevant information as well as additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit; and
  - c. Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
9. Except as listed in Appendix A, all transactions have been properly recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the Financial Statements.
10. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the Financial Statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
11. We have disclosed to you all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that we are aware of and that affects the entity and involves:
  - a. Administration;
  - b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
  - c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the Financial Statements.
12. We have disclosed to you all information in relation to allegations of actual, suspected or alleged fraud, or illegal or suspected illegal acts affecting The City.
13. We have disclosed to you all communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices and all known instances of noncompliance or suspected noncompliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing the Financial Statements.
14. We have disclosed to you the identity of the entity's related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware, including guarantees, non-monetary transactions and transactions for no consideration and participation in a defined benefit plan that shares risks between group entities.
15. We have disclosed to you all known, actual or possible litigation and claims, whether or not they have been discussed with our lawyers, whose effects should be considered when preparing the Financial Statements. As appropriate, these items have been disclosed and accounted for in the Financial Statements in accordance with PSAS.

## Independence matters

For the purposes of the following paragraphs, “Deloitte” shall mean Deloitte LLP and Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, including related member firms and affiliates.

16. Prior to The City having any substantive employment conversations with a former or current Deloitte engagement team member, The City has held discussions with Deloitte and obtained approval from the Audit Committee.
17. We have ensured that all non-audit services provided to The City have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Further, we have adhered to all regulatory requirements regarding the provision of non-audit services by Deloitte to The City in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and rules that apply to The City, including the Audit Committee approval requirements.
18. We have ensured that all services performed by Deloitte with respect to this engagement have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with its established approval policies and procedures.

## Other matters

19. The City has satisfactory title to and control over all assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets. We have disclosed to you and in the Financial Statements all assets that have been pledged as collateral.
20. We have disclosed to you all liabilities, provisions, contingent liabilities and contingent assets, including those associated with guarantees, whether written or oral, and they are appropriately reflected in the Financial Statements.
21. We have disclosed to you, and The City has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the Financial Statements in the event of noncompliance, including all covenants, conditions or other requirements of all outstanding debt.
22. We have disclosed to you all the documents that we expect to issue that may comprise other information, in the context of CAS 720, *The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements*.
23. We have provided you with final versions of the following other information:
  - a. Financial Statement Discussion and Analysis

We believe the Financial Statements and the other information are consistent with one another, and that the other information does not contain any material misstatements.

## Selection of accounting policies and recording of transactions

24. The accounting policies selected, and the application of those policies are appropriate.

25. The City's accounting policies and their method of application have been applied on a basis consistent with that of the audited Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2024, other than new accounting standards adopted in the current year.

### Administration's responsibilities

26. All transactions and events have been carried out in accordance with law, regulation or other authority.

### Employee future obligations

27. We agree with the work of our experts in evaluating the Employee Benefit Obligation and have adequately considered the competence and capabilities of the experts in determining amounts and disclosures used in the Financial Statements and underlying accounting records. We did not give any, nor cause any, instructions to be given to our experts with respect to values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not aware of any matters that have impacted the independence or objectivity of the experts.

28. Employee future benefit costs, assets and obligations, as applicable, have been properly recorded and adequately disclosed in the Financial Statements including those arising under defined benefit and defined contribution plans as well as termination arrangements. We believe that the actuarial assumptions and methods used to measure defined benefit plan assets, obligations and costs for financial statement purposes are appropriate in the circumstances. Actuarial gains and the related expenses are amortized in a systematic and rational manner over the expected average remaining service life of the related employee group.

29. We have disclosed to you any intentions of terminating any of our pension plans or withdrawing from the multi-employer plan that could result in an effective termination or reportable event for any of the plans. We have disclosed to you any occurrences that could result in the termination of any of our pension or multi-employer plans to which we contribute.

30. We have correctly accounted for the multi-employer defined benefit plans (Local Authorities Pension Plan and Special Forces Pension Plan) in which The City is a participating member. As information is not available to determine the City's share of the plans' obligations and assets of these defined benefit plans, they are appropriately reported utilizing the defined contribution method of accounting.

### Plans or intentions affecting carrying value/classification of assets and liabilities

31. We have disclosed to you all plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities reflected in the Financial Statements.

32. We have performed assessments on our known contaminated sites, including those described in Paragraph 34. Based on our Section PS 3260, *Liability for Contaminated Sites* ("PS 3260") evaluation, we have identified two sites as contaminated sites. We have recorded a liability because the contamination of the site exceeds the environmental

standard. The City is responsible or has accepted responsibility for the remediation and we believe it is expected that remediation will be required. We believe that the estimate of the liability is reasonable and is our best estimate of the amount required to remediate the sites.

33. We have identified several other sites that exceed the environmental standard for which The City is not responsible for remediation, or it is unclear if the remediation is the responsibility of The City. One of the sites relates to the known contamination of the West Village site. We do not accept responsibility for the remediation of these sites (or it is unclear who has responsibility for the remediation of these sites) and as such we have not recorded a liability with respect to remediation.

Furthermore, The City of Calgary has signed a release agreement effective November 15, 1997 (the “Effective Date”) between Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Alberta and The City of Calgary, which indicates the following in paragraph 2.01 of this agreement:

*“The Province acknowledges and agrees that the Contamination existing as of the Effective Date was not caused or contributed to by the City. The Province agrees that from and after the Effective Date it shall not initiate any Recovery Action against the City, its Council, officers, agents, employees, contractors, persons in lawful use and occupation of the Lands, or those for whom they are in law responsible for, save and except with respect to any act or omission whether inadvertent, willful, or negligent by the City, its Council, officers, agents, employees, contractors, persons in lawful use and occupation of the Lands or those for whom they are in law responsible for, which in the opinion of the Province has an adverse effect on the Contamination. Subject to any such act or omission whether inadvertent, willful or negligent, the Province releases and forever discharges the City from all Recovery Actions.”.*

34. Administration’s risk assessment process for the identification of potential contaminated sites identified a number of higher potential risk sites. In respect to PS 3260, of these sites, 31 higher risk sites have been identified. The City confirms that the liability recorded in the Financial Statements is adequate and not materially misstated.
35. Administration’s policy for the treatment and application of the liability of contaminated sites was finalized as at December 31, 2016, and there were no changes to the policy for the year ended December 31, 2025.

### Environmental liabilities/contingencies

36. We have considered the effect of environmental matters on The City and have disclosed to you all liabilities, provisions or contingencies arising from environmental matters. All liabilities, provisions, contingencies and commitments arising from environmental matters, and the effect of environmental matters on the carrying values of the relevant assets are recognized, measured and disclosed, as appropriate, in the Financial Statements.

### Work of Administration’s experts

37. We agree with the work of Administration’s experts in evaluating the environmental liability, liability for contaminated sites, and asset retirement costs and liability and have adequately considered the competence and capabilities of the experts in determining amounts and disclosures used in the Financial Statements and underlying accounting records. We did not give any, nor cause any, instructions to be given to Administration’s experts with respect to values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not aware of any matters that have impacted the independence or objectivity of the experts.

## Revenue from exchange transactions

38. We have fully disclosed to you all sales terms, including all rights of return or price adjustments.
39. All documentation related to sales transactions is contained in files which are used for accounting purposes. We also confirm that:
- a. We have disclosed to you any “side agreements” with any companies that are inconsistent with the applicable sales agreement, the customer’s purchase order, sales invoice or any other documentation contained in the files which are used for accounting purposes. For the purposes of this letter, a “side agreement” is any agreement, understanding, promise or commitment whether written (e.g., in the form of a letter or formal agreement or in the form of any exchange of physical or electronic communications) or oral by or on behalf of The City (or any subsidiary, director, employee or agent of The City) with a customer from whom revenue has been recognized that is not contained in the written purchase order from the customer or sales order confirmation and sales invoice of The City delivered to or generated by The City Corporate Finance or Supply Departments. The definition of a side agreement is not limited by any particular subject matter. For purposes of example only, any agreement not contained in the written purchase order from the customer or sales order and sales invoice of The City that relates to return rights, acceptance rights, future pricing, payment terms, free consulting, free maintenance or exchange rights would be a side agreement; and
  - b. We have disclosed to you any commitments or concessions to a customer regarding pricing or payment terms outside of the terms documented in the files which are used for accounting purposes.

## Tax revenues

40. We have appropriately recorded tax assets and revenues when they meet the definition of an asset in accordance with Section PS 1000, *Financial Statement Concepts* (“PS 1000”), when they are authorized and when the taxable event occurs. These amounts have been appropriately measured in accordance with Section PS 3510, *Tax Revenue*, and have not been grossed up for any amount of tax concessions.

## Various matters

41. We have reviewed and approved the year-end adjusting entries, including all related supporting schedules and the financial statements, and acknowledge our responsibility for their accuracy. While discharging our responsibility we may have requested your assistance or input in certain areas such as:
- a. Recording of transactions for which we have determined or approved the appropriate account classification; and
  - b. Preparing financial statements.

We acknowledge our responsibility for the above listed items and confirm that we have authorized, reviewed and approved all of the above items.

42. We have not entered into transactions with members of Council, senior officials, members of their immediate families or enterprises in which such parties have significant interest, which would require disclosure in the Financial Statements.
43. We have disclosed to you all communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or potential deficiencies in, financial reporting requirements.
44. The following have been properly recorded and, when appropriate, adequately disclosed and presented in the Financial Statements:
  - a. Losses arising from sale and purchase commitments;
  - b. Agreements to buy back assets previously sold;
  - c. Provisions for future removal and site restoration costs;
  - d. Financial instruments with significant individual or group concentration of credit risk, and related maximum credit risk exposure;
  - e. Arrangements with financial institutions involving compensating balances or other arrangements involving restriction on cash balances and line-of-credit or similar arrangements;
  - f. All impaired loans receivable; and
  - g. Loans that have been restructured to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal payments because of borrower financial difficulties.

## Investments

45. The City does not hold any investments in Master Asset Vehicle notes (which replaced third party non-bank asset-backed commercial paper).
46. All investments have been appropriately classified as either temporary investments or portfolio investments.
47. The City has used the appropriate valuation allowances to reflect the temporary investments at their net recoverable amount or other appropriate value.
48. The City believes that it has properly identified all derivative financial instruments and hedging relationships, if any.
49. With regard to The City investments, we have disclosed to you any events that have occurred and facts that have been discovered with respect to such investment that would indicate impairment of the investment's value.
50. The City has assessed its portfolio investments and believes that the impairment of these investments is other than temporary. The City has written down the investment to recognize the loss.

51. Investments made during the year and held at the balance sheet date have been made in accordance and compliance with the Municipal Government Act.
52. All City of Calgary government organizations have been appropriately classified as government component, government business organizations, government business-type enterprises, government not-for-profit organizations and other government organizations and have been appropriately recorded based on this classification.
53. Administration has performed an assessment of other organizations (Civic Partners and City Partners) with which The City has fiscal relationships and has determined that these organizations are not required to be consolidated with The City.
54. With regard to The City's investment in ENMAX Corporation, we have disclosed to you any events that have occurred and facts that have been discovered with respect to such investment that would affect the investment's value as reported in the Financial Statements.
55. With regard to the fair value measurements and disclosures of certain assets and liabilities, such as investments, we believe that:
  - a. The completeness and adequacy of the disclosures related to fair value are in accordance with PSAS;
  - b. No events have occurred subsequent to December 31, 2025 that require adjustment to the fair value measurements and disclosures included in the Financial Statements;  
and
  - c. They appropriately reflect Administration's intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of The City when relevant to the use of fair value measurements or disclosures.

### Deficiencies in internal control

56. We have communicated to you all deficiencies in internal control of which we are aware. We have disclosed to you any change in The City's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the current year that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, The City's internal control over financial reporting.

### Communicating a threshold amount

57. We understand that the threshold used for accumulating misstatements identified during the year was \$7,475,000 for purposes of Appendix A. Misstatements below this amount have been considered clearly trivial.

## Segment Disclosures

58. With regard to segment disclosures, we believe the activities grouped as segments, as disclosed, are appropriate to meet the objectives of Section PS 2700, *Segment Disclosures*.
59. In identifying segments, we have considered the definition of a segment and other factors, including:
- a. The objectives of disclosing financial information by segment;
  - b. The expectations of members of the community and their elected or appointed representatives regarding the key activities and accountabilities of the government;
  - c. The qualitative characteristics of financial reporting as set out in PS 1000 and Section PS 1700, *General Objectives of Financial Statements; Local Governments*;
  - d. The homogenous nature of the activities, service delivery or recipients of the services;
  - e. Whether the activities relate to the achievement of common outcomes or services as reflected in government performance reports and plans;
  - f. Whether discrete financial information is reported or available; and
  - g. The nature of the relationship between the government and The City (within the reporting entity).

## Government transfers

60. We have disclosed to you all correspondence relating to government transfers that The City has had with the funding body.
61. We have assessed the eligibility criteria and determined that The City is an eligible recipient for the government transfers received.
62. We have assessed the stipulations attached with the funding and have recognized the revenue in accordance with meeting the stipulations required.
63. All government transfers that have been recorded as capital deposits give rise to an obligation that meets the definition of a liability. Those liabilities have been properly recorded and presented in the Financial Statements.
64. All authorized transfers that have been expensed have been transferred to recipients who have met the eligibility criteria.

## Tangible Capital Assets (“TCA”)

65. TCA have been recorded properly and consistently according to the standards in Section PS 3150, *Tangible Capital Assets*.
66. Contributed TCA have been appropriately recorded at fair value, unless fair value is not reasonably determinable, and in such case, have been recorded at an appropriate nominal value. All contributed TCA have been appropriately disclosed.

67. We have assessed the useful lives of TCA and have determined all TCA contribute to The City's ability to provide goods and services and therefore do not require a write-down. If applicable, we have identified that there are various TCA which no longer contribute to The City's ability to provide goods and services or have future economic benefits that are below the net book value of the tangible capital asset and have therefore written down this asset to its residual amount and expensed the charge in the statement of operations.
68. We assessed the impairment and useful life of Water Assets, and based on our analysis we have determined no changes are required to the useful lives and the net book value of this particular TCA class.

### TCA work in progress costs

69. We have assessed TCA Work in Progress ("WIP") costs in accordance with internal policy and PSAS section 3150 to determine if any costs are required to be written off. If applicable, WIP costs have been written off if they do not continue to meet the requirements of capitalization.
70. We have assessed the WIP specifically related to the Green Line LRT project ("Green Line"). Based on our analysis and taking into consideration all facts and circumstances through to April 28, 2026, The City and the Government of Alberta are continuing to collaborate on a future design of the Green Line, which is expected to be completed early 2027. We believe that all costs related to the Green Line WIP balance at December 31, 2025, are not impaired until the future design of the Green Line is approved by The City. We believe that these costs are valid for any current or future iteration of the Green Line design, and no circumstances have come to the attention of Administration up to April 28, 2026, that would meet the requirements for any write down of these costs.

### Notes, loans and receivables

71. The City is responsible for determining the appropriate carrying amount of loans and accounts receivable, as well as estimates used to determine such amounts. Administration believes that the carrying amounts recorded and disclosed are appropriate.
72. We have identified to you all forgivable loans and have appropriately reflected these amounts including any required allowances in the financial statements. These loans are secured by The City's encumbrance on the title of the related property.

### Accumulated Surplus

73. Reserves and surplus accounts are correctly recorded, and all transactions comply with the purposes approved according to relevant legislation and City Council authorizations.
74. In accordance with established policy, for all self-supported business units, any levies received in the year are recorded as revenue in the Statement of Operations and are transferred to the Utility Sustainment Reserve at the end of the year. These funds are utilized from the reserve in the future years to pay for debt servicing costs specific to the levy projects.

## Revenues and deferred revenues

75. Revenues and deferred revenues are recorded accurately. Specifically:
- a. Revenues are not overstated and deferred revenues are not understated. These inaccuracies result if financial statements record externally restricted transfers/contributions as revenue before the transferor's/contributor's stipulations are met; and
  - b. Revenues are not understated and deferred revenues are not overstated. These inaccuracies result if financial statements record externally restricted transfers/contributions as deferred revenue, not as revenue, after the transferor's/contributor's stipulations are met.

## Related entities

76. The City has completed a review of all related entities and confirms that all entities that should be consolidated into The City's Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2025, have been included.

## Capital deposits

77. All capital deposits give rise to an obligation that meets the definition of a liability. Those liabilities have been properly recorded and presented in the Financial Statements. Specifically, in certain circumstances, The City may receive funds from developers which are not necessarily allocated for a specific project but can be utilized by The City on broader basis for development, but if not spent, are refundable to the developer. Those funds are properly recorded as capital deposits until such time as they are spent or refunded.
78. The funding sources for all current year expenditures have been analyzed to ensure that they have been accurately applied depending on the restrictions of the specific sources. All financing surpluses/deficits and funding shortfalls have been investigated to ensure they are allowable or have been appropriately replenished with available funding sources and financing has been applied.

## Assets

79. The City has recognized all assets, which do not fall within the scope of other standards, only when the requirements in Section PS 3210, *Assets* ("PS 3210") have been met. For those assets which do not meet the recognition criteria in PS 3210, the City has appropriately disclosed details of such unrecognized assets in accordance with PS 3210 in Note 26 of the Financial Statements.

### Contingent assets

80. The City has identified all contingent assets in accordance with Section PS 3320, *Contingent Assets* and, when the occurrence of the confirming future event is considered likely, appropriately disclosed these items in the financial statements.

### Contractual rights

81. The City has identified and disclosed all contractual rights that will result in both an asset and revenue in the future, once the terms of the contract or agreement are met, in accordance with Section PS 3380, *Contractual Rights*.

### Inter-entity transactions

82. The City has recognized all transactions involving the transfer of assets or liabilities between public sector entities in accordance with Section PS 3420, *Inter-entity transactions* ("PS 3420").

83. The City has recorded all inter-entity transactions properly at exchange or carrying amount in accordance with the criteria in PS 3420.14-.22.

84. The City has disclosed all inter-entity transactions in the notes to the Financial Statements whether or not such transactions are recognized in the Financial Statements, in accordance with PS 2200.

85. The City has not recognized any amount in the Financial Statements in respect of shared services received for which no costs are allocated by the provider.

### Restructuring transactions

86. The City has identified all potential restructuring transactions, as defined in Section PS 3430, *Restructuring transactions* ("PS 3430"). The City has determined that PS 3430 has no impact on the Financial Statements based on Administration's assessment.

### Financial instruments - general including derivatives

87. The City has properly identified all financial contracts that meet the definition of a derivative in Section PS 3450. The City has also properly identified all embedded derivatives included in other non-derivative contracts and determined whether these embedded derivatives need to be separately accounted for as described in Section PS 3450.

The fair values of all derivatives have been determined based on quoted prices in an active market or by using financial models that we believe are the most appropriate models for valuing such instruments and that incorporate market data and other assumptions that we have determined to be reasonable and appropriate at December 31, 2025.

88. For all derivatives and any other financial instruments measured at fair value at December 31, 2025, fair value has been estimated using quoted market prices if the instrument trades in an active market, as set out in Section PS 3450.

Where the instrument is not traded in an active market, the City has used valuation techniques that it believes are most appropriate for valuing such instruments. We believe our valuation techniques make maximum use of observable inputs.

The City has identified and disclosed in the notes to the Financial Statements all significant assumptions used in determining fair value and have included the appropriate disclosures relating to the fair value level of all financial instruments carried at fair value.

89. The following have been properly recorded and, when appropriate, adequately disclosed and presented in the Financial Statements:

- a. the other than temporary impairment of financial assets;
- b. provisions for loans receivable.

90. Changes in fair value of financial instruments in the fair value category have been properly recorded in the statement of remeasurement gains and losses as a remeasurement gain or loss until the financial instrument is derecognized.

91. The City has offset a financial asset and a financial liability with the net amount being reported in the statement of financial position. The City is able to offset these instruments as it has met the following criteria in accordance with Section PS 3450:

- a. currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognized amounts; and
- b. intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

92. The City has identified and disclosed all details of any defaults of principal, interest sinking fund or redemption terms related to loans payable, including the carrying amount of such loans and whether the default was remedied or renegotiated before the date the financial statements were completed.

### Asset retirement obligations

93. We have identified and disclosed to you all liabilities that the City has a legal obligation to incur retirement costs in relation to a tangible capital asset.

94. With respect to the City's tangible capital assets, we have recognized and recorded the best estimate of the amount required to retire a tangible capital asset (or component thereof) at the Financial Statement date based on the information available at that date.

95. We have reviewed our estimates of the carrying amount of a liability for an asset retirement obligation at the Financial Statement date and have reflected any changes in these estimates to the timing and amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows or the discount rate, as part of the cost of the related tangible capital asset or due to the passage of time as an accretion expense.

96. We have disclosed any uncertainties affecting the measurement of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in accordance with Section PS 2130, *Measurement Uncertainty*.

## Revenues

97. The City has adopted Section 3400, *Revenue*, as of January 1, 2024, on a prospective basis and has recorded any transitional adjustments, as appropriate. We have appropriately identified all transactions with performance obligations and transactions without performance obligations in accordance with Section 3400, *Revenue*.
98. For each performance obligation identified, we have appropriately determined whether the goods or services are considered to be distinct that would require separate accounting by assessing whether the good or service is capable of being distinct and whether the promise to transfer the good or service is distinct in the context of the transaction. For those performance obligations that are considered distinct, we have appropriately determined whether revenue should be recognized over time or at a point in time by assessing when control of the benefits associated with the goods or services passes to the payor.
99. For transactions with no performance obligations, the City has appropriately recognized revenue when it has the authority to claim or retain an inflow of economic resources and identifies a past transaction or event that gives rise to an asset. The City has appropriately measured revenue on transactions with no performance obligation at its net realizable value.
100. For consideration received from a payor that the City expects to refund some or all of that consideration to the payor, the City has appropriately determined the likelihood of having to provide a refund and has recognized a refund liability based on its best estimate taking into account all circumstances known as at December 31, 2025.
101. For transactions with significant concessionary terms, the City has appropriately applied a measurement technique that results in its best estimate of the transaction price as at December 31, 2025.
102. The City has appropriately calculated the transaction price for non-cash consideration based on the fair value of the goods or services received adjusted by the amount of any cash or cash equivalents transferred.
103. We have disclosed to you and in the Financial Statements information about significant judgments and assumptions we have made (and changes to those judgments and assumptions) in determining:

- a. uncertainties affecting the measurement of revenue recognized;
- b. the identification of multiple components in hybrid transactions;
- c. the identification of performance obligations;
- d. the timing of satisfaction of performance obligations;
- e. the transaction price and the amounts allocated to performance obligations;
- f. obligations for refunds and other similar obligations;
- g. arrangement with a payor who receives advantageous terms and conditions that another payor would not receive for a similar transaction.

Yours truly,  
The City of Calgary

---

David Duckworth, Chief Administrative Officer

---

Les Tochor, Chief Financial Officer & General Manager of Corporate Planning and Financial Services

---

Aaron Brown, City Treasurer & Deputy Chief Financial Officer

---

Cathy An, Deputy Director, Corporate Finance

---

Todd Rathie, Finance Manager, Corporate Financial Reporting

Appendix A Summary of uncorrected financial statement misstatements

The City of Calgary

Year ended December 31, 2025

Uncorrected misstatements impacting December 31, 2025

\$ (000's)	Assets DR (CR)	Liabilities DR (CR)	Accumulated Remeasurement (Gains)/Losses DR (CR)	Accumulated Surplus DR (CR)	(Income) Expense DR (CR)
<b>2025 uncorrected misstatements identified in 2025:</b>					
Unrecorded accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	(16,900)	-	-	16,900
Investments income			14,600		(14,600)
<b>2024 uncorrected misstatements rollover impact on 2025:</b>					
Investments income	-	-	(39,300)	39,300	-
<b>2024 misstatements corrected in 2025:</b>					
Overstatement of accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	-	-	8,309	(8,309)
Investments Fair Value			11,700	(11,700)	
Tangible Capital Assets (TCA) understated				(12,400)	12,400
<b>Total Factual</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(16,900)</b>	<b>(13,000)</b>	<b>23,509</b>	<b>6,391</b>

Uncorrected misstatements impacting December 31, 2024

(000's)	Assets DR (CR)	Liabilities DR (CR)	Accumulated Remeasurement (Gains)/Losses DR (CR)	Accumulated Surplus DR (CR)	(Income) Expense DR (CR)
<b>Prior year misstatements impacting 2024:</b>					
Unrecorded accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	(8,309)	-	-	8,309
Investments Fair Value	11,700		(11,700)		
Investments Income			(39,300)	45,200	(5,900)
Tangible Capital Assets (TCA) understated	12,400				(12,400)
<b>Total Factual</b>	<b>24,100</b>	<b>(8,309)</b>	<b>(51,000)</b>	<b>45,200</b>	<b>(9,991)</b>

Appendix B  
Summary of uncorrected disclosure misstatements  
The City of Calgary  
Year ended December 31, 2025

None identified.

## Appendix 5 – Draft independence and fees

April 28, 2026

The Audit Committee and City Council  
The City of Calgary

We have been engaged to audit the consolidated financial statements of The City of Calgary (The City) for the year ended December 31, 2025.

You have requested that we communicate in writing with you regarding our compliance with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence as well as all relationships and other matters between The City, our Firm and network firms that, in our professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence. You have also requested us to communicate the related safeguards that have been applied to eliminate identified threats to independence or reduce them to an acceptable level.

We applied the ethical requirements that are relevant to audits of financial statements in Canada as applicable to audits of financial statements of non-listed entities.

In determining which relationships to report, we have considered relevant rules and related interpretations prescribed by the appropriate provincial regulator/order and applicable legislation, covering such matters as:

- (a) Holding a financial interest, either directly or indirectly, in a client.
- (b) Holding a position, either directly or indirectly, that gives the right or responsibility to exert significant influence over the financial or accounting policies of a client.
- (c) Personal or business relationships of immediate family, close relatives, partners or retired partners, either directly or indirectly, with a client.
- (d) Economic dependence on a client.
- (e) Provision of services in addition to the audit engagement.

We confirm to you that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, the firm and, when applicable, network firms have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence.

We have prepared the following comments to facilitate our discussion with you regarding independence matters arising since April 29, 2025, the date of our last letter.

We are not aware of any relationships between the Member Firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and their respective affiliates (collectively, the “Deloitte Entities”) and The City and its affiliates, or persons in financial reporting oversight roles at The City and its affiliates, that, in our professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on independence, that have occurred from April 30, 2025 to April 28, 2026.

The total fees charged to The City during the period covered by the consolidated financial statements were as follows:

Audit services	\$2,663,307 (2024, \$2,653,727 )
Non-audit services	\$158,767 (2024, \$652,517)

We hereby confirm that we are independent with respect to The City in accordance with the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Alberta as of April 28, 2026.

This letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, City Council, Administration and others within The City and is not intended to be and should not be used for any other purposes.

Yours truly,

Chartered Professional Accountants

## Appendix 6 – Audit Committee terms of reference

As the external auditors of The City, we have the privilege of assisting in the fulfillment of your responsibility to follow the Terms of Reference for The City of Calgary’s Audit Committee contained in the Audit Committee Bylaw 33M2020. The following table outlines our involvement in the fulfillment of specific terms of reference and any reports that we have issued that assist in this process.

Bylaw 33M2020	Description	Related Deloitte Involvement
5(f)	Pre-approve all audit and non-audit services performed by the External Auditor and further provide that: (A) the Chair can pre-approve additional audit or non-audit services performed by the External Auditor, up to \$75,000 total annually and must report those approvals to the Audit Committee; and (B) on an annual basis, total fees for non-audit services performed by the External Auditor shall not exceed 60% of the total audit fees approved for The City of Calgary consolidated audit, including all subsidiary and related entity audits.	All audit and non-audit services are presented to the Audit Committee for pre-approval prior to the commencement of such work. Fee information included in the 2025 Audit Service Plan presented by Deloitte on July 24, 2025. The Independence letter included as Appendix 5 of the Year-end Report presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting, includes the fees of all services performed.
Schedule A, 2(a)	Reviews and oversees the integrity of the Annual Financial Statements and recommends their approval to Council.	Report of the Independent Auditor on the consolidated financial statements and the Year-end Report to be presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting.
Schedule A, 2(b)	Reviews and discusses the City’s compliance with financial reporting procedures with Administration and the External Auditor.	Report of the Independent Auditor on the consolidated financial statements and the Year-end Report presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting. Letter of recommendations (if applicable) to be presented by Deloitte at the June 11, 2026 meeting.
Schedule A, 2(c)	Engages Administration and the External Auditor in candid discussions regarding issues that may alter judgment or affect the quality of the reporting process and search for insight into the results.	Participation and attendance by Deloitte at Audit Committee meetings throughout the year.
Schedule A, 2(d)	In consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and External Auditor, review and discuss significant new accounting standards and financial reporting developments to understand any material impact on financial results. A detailed analysis, prepared by Administration, on the implications of any changes, as well as the progress made in the adoption of new accounting standards, may be requested.	NA there were no new accounting standards impacting the 2025 financial statements.

<b>Bylaw 33M2020</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Related Deloitte Involvement</b>
Schedule A, 2(e)	Maintains open lines of communication with the External Auditor and Administration.	Participation and attendance by Deloitte at Audit Committee meetings throughout the year.
Schedule B, 1(c)	Requires the External Auditor to express an opinion on The City's consolidated financial statements, in accordance with professional standards.	Communicated in the Year-end Report presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting.
Schedule B, 1(a)	Prior to the commencement of the annual external financial audit, reviews the audit plan and estimated audit fees with the External Auditor and discusses the timing and extent of audit procedures, materiality, significant audit risks and areas of audit focus and overall audit strategy. This report is to be forwarded to Council for information.	2025 Audit Service Plan presented by Deloitte on July 24, 2025.
Schedule B, 1(b)	In conjunction with Administration's presentation of the annual financial statements, receives and reviews the External Auditor's annual audit report. This report is to be forwarded to Council for information.	Report of the Independent Auditor on the consolidated financial statements and the Year-end Report presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting.
Schedule B, 1(d)	Receives and reviews the External Auditor's Management Letter(s), and reviews Administration responses, and forwards, either in full or in summary, to Council for information. Through query, confirm that any recommendations made by the External Auditor are addressed by Administration in a timely manner.	Administration recommendation letter to be presented by Deloitte at the June 11, 2026 meeting.
Schedule B, 1(e)	Must meet with the External Auditor, in the absence of Administration, at least quarterly.	Closed meetings held with Deloitte at Audit Committee meetings throughout 2025 and 2026.
Schedule B, 1(h)	Receives and reviews the External Auditor's annual independence letter. Through query, confirm the process by which the External Auditor maintains their independence and objectivity.	Communicated in the Year-end Report presented by Deloitte to the Audit Committee at the April 23, 2026 meeting.

## About Deloitte Canada

At Deloitte, our Purpose is to make an impact that matters. We exist to inspire and help our people, organizations, communities, and countries to thrive by building a better future. Our work underpins a prosperous society where people can find meaning and opportunity. It builds consumer and business confidence, empowers organizations to find imaginative ways of deploying capital, enables fair, trusted, and functioning social and economic institutions, and allows our friends, families, and communities to enjoy the quality of life that comes with a sustainable future. And as the largest 100% Canadian-owned and operated professional services firm in our country, we are proud to work alongside our clients to make a positive impact for all Canadians.

Deloitte provides industry-leading consulting, tax and legal, financial advisory, audit and assurance, and risk advisory services to nearly 90% of the Fortune Global 500® and thousands of private companies. We bring together world-class capabilities, insights, and services to address clients' most complex business challenges.

Deloitte LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership, is the Canadian member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited. Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

To learn more about Deloitte Canada, please connect with us on [LinkedIn](#), [X](#), [Instagram](#), or [Facebook](#).

© Deloitte LLP and affiliated entities.

