

McDougall, Libbey C.

From: Smith, Theresa L.
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 3:57 PM
To: LaClerk
Subject: FW: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

-----Original Message-----

From: Ginalise Dao [mailto:vidao1972@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 3:44 PM
To: City Clerk <CityClerk@calgary.ca>
Subject: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

>
> To the City Clerk,
>
> This is my Comments on the subject.
>
>
> I want my neighbourhood to stay quite and the same as it was when i bought it.
>
> I've seen secondary suites in my old neighbourhood and parking is always an issue. More people in an area means less street parking.
>
> I have kids and I want my driveway to be clear and most specially in emergencies.
>
> I choose to live in this neighbourhood because I know it's quite and safe for my kids to play where there are not much activities going on, I work hard to live in a desirable neighbourhood like this and I don't want my property value To go down if residence starts getting secondary suites.
>
> In other words, I'm not supporting this application.
>
> Respectfully yours,
>
> Vi Dao
> (212 Brightoncrest Point SE)
> (403) 828-1235
>
>

McDougall, Libbey C.

From: Smith, Theresa L.
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 3:58 PM
To: LaClerk
Subject: FW: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

From: Chase Inocencio [mailto:chaseginocencio@yahoo.ca]
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 3:38 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

To the City Clerk,

My comments regarding the Land Use Amendment application:

Our neighborhoods weren't designed for secondary suites, we have no room for parking on our streets as it is. Our neighborhood only even have one side with sidewalk, not much for kids to play. Parking would also be a problem as we don't have much parking space along the street. People pay a price to live in a nice, quiet neighborhood and We bought our house here because it is R1 and we intend to keep it that way.

We are not in support for the application for a secondary suite specially in this quiet neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Chase Inocencio

(403) 808-8035

(Brightoncrest Point Resident)

McDougall, Libbey C.

From: Smith, Theresa L.
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 4:16 PM
To: LaClerk
Subject: FW: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE (Plan 1312260,Block 75, Lot 16)

From: Chase Inocencio [mailto:chaseginocencio@yahoo.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 3:49 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE (Plan 1312260,Block 75, Lot 16)

To the Office of the City Clerk,

My comments regarding redesignating the land located at 208 Brightoncrest Point SE.

Our neighborhoods weren't designed for secondary suites, we have no room for parking on our streets as it is. Our neighborhood only even have one side with sidewalk, not much for kids to play. Parking would also be a problem as we don't have much parking space along the street. People pay a price to live in a nice, quiet neighborhood and We bought our house here because it is R1 and we intend to keep it that way.

We are not in support for the application for a secondary suite specially in this quiet neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Chase Inocencio

(403) 808-8035

(212 Brightoncrest Point SE)

McDougall, Libbey C.

From: Smith, Theresa L.
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 7:41 AM
To: LaClerk
Subject: FW: Online Submission on LOC2017-0150

From: andrewc10@shaw.ca [mailto:andrewc10@shaw.ca]
Sent: Sunday, October 22, 2017 6:43 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Online Submission on LOC2017-0150

October 23, 2017

Application: LOC2017-0150

Submitted by: Thomas Crosby

Contact Information

Address: 227 Brightoncrest Point SE

Phone:

Email: andrewc10@shaw.ca

Feedback:

I would like to submit an opinion on the application of the secondary suite proposal for 208 Brightoncrest Point SE. As all the other homes on this street are designated as Single Dwelling occupancy; the proposal to change to R-1s from R-1 I would like to veto against it. The problem with this application however beneficial to the particular homeowner is not so to its neighbours around them. The reasons being are such as: (1) a potential increase of transient occupants to the home as renters come and go continuously, (2) an increase to the traffic density on the street, and also (3) as no definite plan of what the structure would look like at the end of this submission (i.e. changing original structure or adding extra structure) it will change the aesthetic layout of the community. Being that Brookfield Residential controls the development of their communities right down to the type of tree that is planted on each individual yard and also ensuring that house layout elevations are never side-by-side, the potential changing of a house layout either front or back I think would fall into a violation of this type of control. Another point of contention to this proposal is there are plenty of rental properties in the area with ample space for potential renters. Space also isn't at a premium in this community as compared to one of the inner city wards where people are aiming for ease of transport into the core by creating in-fills and secondary suites. I am not sure as to how some of my corresponding neighbours feel about this issue, but I have chosen to not show apathy to this issue; and I hope that this comment on the issue is at least addressed even for its face value. Thank you for your time and I look forward to seeing what the outcome of this issue is. I would hope that Shane Keating our councillor for this Ward potentially shares this opinion and is able to stand behind his constituent.

McDougall, Libbey C.

From: Horkan, Melanie
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 10:39 AM
To: McDougall, Libbey C.
Subject: FW: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

Hi Libbey,

Forwarding this to you as it came to me directly.

Melanie Horkan, BA (Hons), Dip TP
Planner 2, South Team
Community Planning
Planning & Development
The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8073
T 403.268.1774 | **F** 403.268.1997 | calgary.ca
Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail S.E,
P.O Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 2M5

*** My office hours are Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday 8am-3.15pm ***

From: Cgino Cencio [mailto:cgino71@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 7:16 AM
To: Horkan, Melanie
Subject: [EXT] 208 Brightoncrest Point SE, LOC2017-0150 (Application for Land use Ammendment)

Good Morning Melanie,

My comments regarding the Land Use Amendment application:

Our neighborhoods weren't designed for secondary suites, we have no room for parking on our streets as it is. Our neighborhood only even have one side with sidewalk, not much for kids to play. Parking would also be a problem as we don't have much parking space along the street. People pay a price to live in a nice, quiet neighborhood and We bought our house here because it is R1 and we intend to keep it that way.

We are not in support for the application for a secondary suite specially in this quiet neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Gino

(Brightoncrest Point Resident)

From: [Albrecht, Linda](#)
To: [LaClerk](#)
Subject: FW: PUBLIC HEARING - NEW BRIGHTON BYLAW 317D2017
Date: Monday, October 30, 2017 8:13:12 AM
Attachments: [NEW BRIGHTON BYLAW 317D2017.docx](#)

LINDA ALBRECHT
Administration Services Division
City Clerk's Office
The City of Calgary
PO Box 2100, Station M, #8007

T: 403-268-5895 F: 403-268-2362
E: linda.albrecht@calgary.ca

From: Cathrine Kard [<mailto:ckard1@shaw.ca>]
Sent: Saturday, October 28, 2017 5:01 PM
To: City Clerk <CityClerk@calgary.ca>
Subject: [EXT] PUBLIC HEARING - NEW BRIGHTON BYLAW 317D2017

Good Afternoon,

Please see attached letter regarding the proposed new bylaw NEW BRIGHTON BYLAW 317D2017.

Thank you

Patrick & Cathrine Kard
204 - Brightoncrest Point S.E.
Calgary, AB T2Z 5A6
(403) 875-9270
ckard1@shaw.ca

CATHRINE & PATRICK KARD
204 BRIGHTONCREST POINT S.E.
CALGARY, ALBERTA T2Z 5A6

October 28, 2017.

Office of the City Clerk
The City of Calgary
700 – MacLeod Trail S.E.
P.O. Box 2100 Postal Station 'M'
Calgary, AB T2P 2M5
cityclerk@calgary.ca

RE: NEW BRIGHTON BYLAW 317D2017

Dear Sir/Madam;

Please note, we are opposed to the above mentioned proposed new Bylaw.

Our neighbourhood of New Brighton has been well thought out designed. It is comprised of many types of homes. We have apartments, town houses, multi-family dwellings for two or four families and smaller, mid-range and larger single family homes.

We chose to purchase our home due to being built on a street and close surrounding streets of single family homes. This is the environment in which we wish to live. We are very comfortable here, even though this is a new development of less than two years old.

One of our main concerns is the very limited parking on our street for visitors, etc. We feel if our next door neighbour had renters in their basement it could limit the parking even further. We also are not in favour of the increased population density this invites.

Since there is no trial period provided to ensure such a change would work and be good for our neighbourhood and if the bylaw were to be changed, it would be almost impossible to reverse the zoning change, we cannot be in favour of this change.

We have many other minor concerns and would be happy to discuss any of them, if asked.

Please consider our thoughts and concerns when reviewing this proposed new bylaw.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathrine & Patrick Kard

204 – Brightoncrest Point S.E.

(403)875-9270

ckard1@shaw.ca