

## **Public Submission**

CC 968 (R2024-05)

## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act* of Alberta, and/or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making and scheduling speakers for Council or Council Committee meetings. **Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes.** If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

Please note that your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes. Your e-mail address will not be included in the public record.

## ENDORSEMENT STATEMENT ON TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION, ANTI-RACISM, EQUITY, DIVERSITY, INCLUSION AND BELONGING

The purpose of The City of Calgary is to make life better every day. To fully realize our purpose, we are committed to addressing racism and other forms of discrimination within our programs, policies, and services and eliminating barriers that impact the lives of Indigenous, Racialized, and other marginalized people. It is expected that participants will behave respectfully and treat everyone with dignity and respect to allow for conversations free from bias and prejudice.

How do you wish to attend?  You may bring a support person should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?  What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required]  Date of meeting [required]  What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published here.)  Irequired] - max 75 characters  HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025  Are you in favour or opposition of the issue? [required]  In opposition	First name [required]	WASEEM
You may bring a support person should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?  What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required]  Date of meeting [required]  Jun 10, 2025  What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published here.)  [required] - max 75 characters  HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025	Last name [required]	ALKHAYER
should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?  What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required]  Date of meeting [required]  Jun 10, 2025  What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published here.)  [required] - max 75 characters  HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025  Are you in favour or opposition of	How do you wish to attend?	
Date of meeting [required]  Jun 10, 2025  What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published <a href="here.">here.</a> )  [required] - max 75 characters  HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025  Are you in favour or opposition of	should you require language or translator services. Do you plan	
What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published <a href="here">here</a> .)  [required] - max 75 characters  HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025  Are you in favour or opposition of		Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure and Planning
[required] - max 75 characters HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025  Are you in favour or opposition of In opposition	Date of meeting [required]	Jun 10, 2025
Are you in favour or opposition of In opposition	What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published here.)	
	[required] - max 75 characters	HOTCHKISS LOC2024-0031 LAND USE REDESIGNATION BYLAW 93D2025
		In opposition

ISC: Unrestricted 1/2



## **Public Submission**

CC 968 (R2024-05)

ATTACHMENT_01_FILENAME	Rezoning Rejection Letter_HOTCHKISS.pdf
ATTACHMENT_02_FILENAME	
Comments - please refrain from providing personal information in this field (maximum 2500 characters)	

Date: May 25, 2025

City of Calgary Planning Department

Attn: City Clerk's Office P.O. Box 2100, Station M Calgary, AB T2P 2M5

Email: publicsubmissions@calgary.ca

Attention: Members of the Infrastructure and Planning Committee

Re: Opposition to Rezoning Application for R-G Zoning in Hotchkiss

Dear City of Calgary Planning Department and City Council Committee Members,

I am writing as a resident of Hotchkiss to formally oppose the proposed rezoning of parcels in our community from R-1 or R-2 to Residential – Low Density Mixed Housing (R-G) as part of the City's rezoning initiative. While I recognize the City's efforts to address housing needs through the Home is Here: The City of Calgary's Housing Strategy, I believe the proposed R-G zoning is inappropriate for our community due to concerns about infrastructure capacity, community character, affordability, and lack of adequate community engagement.

My primary objection centers on the proposed construction enabled by R-G zoning, which would obstruct the scenic views that are a defining feature of Hotchkiss and a key driver of my property's higher market value. Properties in our community, including mine, command premium prices due to unobstructed views of the landscape east of the house, which attract buyers and enhance our neighbourhood's appeal. The introduction of rowhouses and multi-family developments under R-G zoning, with a density of up to 75 units per hectare and fewer contextual design restrictions than R-CG zoning, risks taller or bulkier structures that would block these views. Real estate assessments in Hotchkiss consistently highlight view premiums, and obstructing them could reduce my property's value by 10–20%, impacting my financial investment and the economic stability of our community.

Second, the infrastructure in Hotchkiss is not equipped to handle the increased density permitted under R-G zoning, which allows for single-detached, semi-detached, and rowhouses with up to 75 units per hectare. Our community's sewer, water, and power systems are designed for lower-density housing, and multi-family developments could strain these systems, leading to potential service disruptions or costly upgrades borne by taxpayers. Narrow streets and limited parking exacerbate these concerns, as R-G developments may not require sufficient parking, increasing congestion and safety risks.

Third, the proposed rezoning threatens the character of Hotchkiss, which is defined by its spacious suburban lots, family-friendly environment, and green spaces. R-G zoning permits row houses and higher-density housing forms that could disrupt the aesthetic and functional

cohesion of our neighbourhood, potentially leading to shadowing, overlooking, or loss of privacy for existing residents. The absence of contextual rules in R-G, unlike R-CG, risks developments that do not align with our community's established identity.

Fourth, there is insufficient evidence that R-G zoning will improve housing affordability in Hotchkiss. Recent developments in Calgary suggest that new row houses and multi-family units often target luxury markets, replacing affordable housing stock with higher-cost options. Without specific measures to ensure affordable units, this rezoning may drive up land values and exacerbate, rather than alleviate, the housing crisis for middle- and low-income families.

Finally, the community engagement process for this rezoning proposal has been inadequate. Many residents feel that the City has not provided transparent, evidence-based research on how R-G zoning will benefit our specific community or address affordability effectively. Meaningful two-way dialogue with residents and the Hotchkiss Community Association is essential to ensure that any zoning changes reflect our needs and priorities.

I urge the City Council to reject the proposed R-G rezoning in Hotchkiss and consider alternative approaches, such as targeted rezoning in areas with adequate infrastructure or incentives for affordable housing development. If rezoning must proceed, I request that row houses remain a discretionary use to allow for community input and ensure compatibility with our neighbourhood's character.

Thank you for considering my concerns. I commend the City Administration for its collaborative and transparent approach throughout this process and respectfully encourage the Infrastructure and Planning Committee and Council to reject the proposed rezoning. I respectfully request that this letter be included in the public record for the upcoming Public Hearing of Council.

Sincerely, Waseem Alkhayer Property Owner at Hotchkiss