



Public Submission

CC 968 (R2024-05)

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act* of Alberta, and/or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making and scheduling speakers for Council or Council Committee meetings. **Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes.** If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

Please note that your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes. Your e-mail address will not be included in the public record.

ENDORSEMENT STATEMENT ON TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION, ANTI-RACISM, EQUITY, DIVERSITY, INCLUSION AND BELONGING

The purpose of The City of Calgary is to make life better every day. To fully realize our purpose, we are committed to addressing racism and other forms of discrimination within our programs, policies, and services and eliminating barriers that impact the lives of Indigenous, Racialized, and other marginalized people. It is expected that participants will behave respectfully and treat everyone with dignity and respect to allow for conversations free from bias and prejudice.

First name [required] Nicole

Last name [required] Brom

How do you wish to attend?

You may bring a support person should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?

What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required] Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure and Planning

Date of meeting [required] Feb 6, 2025

What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published [here](#).)

[required] - max 75 characters 627 Heritage Dr. S.w. Development DP2024-09063

Are you in favour or opposition of the issue? [required] In opposition



ATTACHMENT_01_FILENAME

ATTACHMENT_02_FILENAME

Comments - please refrain from providing personal information in this field (maximum 2500 characters)

VEHEMENTALY AGAINST REZONING RECREATIONAL AREA.

1) Parking isn't available for all cars that will be owned by potential residents. Healy Dr. cannot accommodate extra cars because 4 cars cannot fit on street in a row and street is too narrow to allow cars going either way to pass. Alley shouldn't be used as access due to residents' garages behind their houses and city vehicles such as garbage, recycling, etc. need to get through.

Development doesn't fit into our established heritage community and there are other, appropriate lots available for high density that wouldn't impact the neighbourhood people have been living in for decades; i.e. next to existing London Towers. If necessary, a seniors' residence (low-rise) with amenities that the community can use would be more appropriate. If 9 new communities are being built, then high density and infrastructure for those areas should be considered prior to squeezing more people into an area that already lacks parking (such as St. Andrew's Church).

Recreational space: our YMCA, provided a unifying feature, not to mention promoting mental and physical health among other programs, so this development is adding nothing that would benefit Haysboro, but is beneficial only to those who make money off it (city and developer). This building will likely infringe on the privacy of residents directly behind it. The noise that will come with added vehicles and people at all hours is not conducive to the lifestyle we paid for, which is family oriented and peaceful relations with others who live here.

This particular lot should not be considered for the high density you have in mind, because it will only lead to future problems and unhappiness for all involved. The potential residents of this building will be frustrated by lack of parking for their own vehicles and those of guests, and it's obvious why current residents oppose this. I have sent an email which goes into further detail, so please read that as well.



Public Submission

CC 968 (R2024-05)

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act* of Alberta, and/or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making and scheduling speakers for Council or Council Committee meetings. **Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes.** If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

Please note that your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes. Your e-mail address will not be included in the public record.

ENDORSEMENT STATEMENT ON TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION, ANTI-RACISM, EQUITY, DIVERSITY, INCLUSION AND BELONGING

The purpose of The City of Calgary is to make life better every day. To fully realize our purpose, we are committed to addressing racism and other forms of discrimination within our programs, policies, and services and eliminating barriers that impact the lives of Indigenous, Racialized, and other marginalized people. It is expected that participants will behave respectfully and treat everyone with dignity and respect to allow for conversations free from bias and prejudice.

First name [required]

Rob

Last name [required]

Toth

How do you wish to attend?

You may bring a support person should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?

What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required]

Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure and Planning

Date of meeting [required]

Feb 4, 2025

What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published [here](#).)

[required] - max 75 characters

Public Hearing on Plannting Matters - Land Use Redesignation - LOC2024-0213

Are you in favour or opposition of the issue? [required]

In opposition



Public Submission

CC 968 (R2024-05)

ATTACHMENT_01_FILENAME

ATTACHMENT_02_FILENAME

Comments - please refrain from providing personal information in this field (maximum 2500 characters)

I completely oppose of this land use redesignation & development for this location. As a homeowner -- and the proposed redevelopment of building a higher structure that is now 25 metres versus 12 metres -- it will certainly be an attack on my privacy being adjacent to the redevelopment. I will not be able to enjoy sitting in my backyard anymore to enjoy the beauty as the tenants would be able to invade on my privacy.

10 metres height is actually too much -- never mind the the initial 12 metres.

I suspect the mature tree that is in this location too will be removed as part of this development. I wonder what Parks & Recreation feel about this?

The current infrastructure of water, power & sewer will not be able to support this development as is. My understanding is that there is a current electrical route below this location; so how do they suspect to build the foundation? Once more, the current housing development is built on a water table, which has been susceptible to flooding. Just look at the past flooding moments at the adjacent Heritage LRT station.

I would have been more open to a development that is similar to what is current located at the corner of Elbow Drive & Heritage Drive. 2-storey is more than enough.

I have seen the now "current" redevelopment, and how could this be accepted in any community -- especially with current housing?

This is is just a reimbursement/money grab for the current offerings from the Federal government to build more mixed residential housing.

With the current federal government all but doomed, I highly doubt that the new government will support such initiatives. If they do, it must be in a more considerate & environmentally supported matter; but building higher is certainly not the answer -- not to me as an adjacent homeowner.

If this current Municipal Government does not attend to this hearing properly, and review this particular case, then in the next civic election, we will be voting in the people who will. I will make certain of that as I will get the community of Haysboro, as well as the adjacent communities that would be affected. I could imagine I could easily get the buy-in of those other communities affected by this current Land Use Designation/Zoning. If need be, I will run for council myself (current spelled with a lowercase "c" with the respect they are currently garnering from the public).

I thought council is voted in to listen & support the people -- not the other way!