

Applicant Outreach Summary

2025 January 27



Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

Please complete this form and include with your application submission.

Project name: Belmont Fieldhouse and Library

Did you conduct community outreach on your application? ☒ YES or ☐ NO

If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.

Outreach Strategy

Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)

Outreach included:

- Engagement by others that contributed to Land Use designations.
- Engagement by others that contributed to the 2021 Revised Capital Investment Strategy
- Consulting with an internal CoC Engage Planner.
- Soliciting community feedback from the DP notice posting on site along 210 Avenue.
- Soliciting community feedback via the electronic DP posting and website.
- Availability to respond to 311 inquiries (no 311 requests to date).
- Providing updates at design milestones to the ward 13 and 14 offices.
- Publishing material on project website
(<https://www.calgary.ca/planning/parks-rec/belmont-fieldhouse-and-library.html>).

Affected Parties

Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all groups you connected with.
(Please do not include individual names)

- Belmont Community members.
- Ward 13 and 14 offices.
- City of Calgary public.

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](https://www.calgary.ca/planningoutreach)



Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

Response to the proposed project have been positive. Outreach comments have aligned to project delivery rather than project scope. For example, there has been a high interest in the timeline for recreation and library service delivery. In particular, the development community in the community of Belmont has sought specific information on timelines from the ward offices. To date, no negative comments have been communicated to the project team that would create a scope issue to address.

How did input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

To respond to the community requests for project delivery information, we have, periodically and as-requested, provided the latest information to those offices for the purpose of keeping the development community and public up to date on progress. We are also maintaining a public project specific website that community members and the development community can refer to for updates.

How did you close the loop ?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with those who participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

Outcomes and project decisions have been communicated to the ward offices via direct communications, and to the community via the project specific website (<https://www.calgary.ca/planning/parks-rec/belmont-fieldhouse-and-library.html>).

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](https://www.calgary.ca/planningoutreach)