



## Public Submission

CC 968 (R2024-05)

## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act* of Alberta, and/or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making and scheduling speakers for Council or Council Committee meetings. **Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes.** If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

**Please note that your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council or Council Committee agenda and minutes. Your e-mail address will not be included in the public record.**

## ENDORSEMENT STATEMENT ON TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION, ANTI-RACISM, EQUITY, DIVERSITY, INCLUSION AND BELONGING

The purpose of The City of Calgary is to make life better every day. To fully realize our purpose, we are committed to addressing racism and other forms of discrimination within our programs, policies, and services and eliminating barriers that impact the lives of Indigenous, Racialized, and other marginalized people. It is expected that participants will behave respectfully and treat everyone with dignity and respect to allow for conversations free from bias and prejudice.

First name [required]

Cody

Last name [required]

Anderson

How do you wish to attend?

You may bring a support person should you require language or translator services. Do you plan on bringing a support person?

What meeting do you wish to comment on? [required]

Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure and Planning

Date of meeting [required]

Jun 4, 2024

What agenda item do you wish to comment on? (Refer to the Council or Committee agenda published [here](#).)

[required] - max 75 characters

LOC2023-0373 and LOC2023-0374

Are you in favour or opposition of the issue? [required]

In opposition



## Public Submission

CC 968 (R2024-05)

ATTACHMENT\_01\_FILENAME

ATTACHMENT\_02\_FILENAME

Dear Infrastructure and Planning Committee,

As a concerned resident of Mount Pleasant, I am writing to express our collective concerns regarding the proposed developments outlined in case numbers LOC2023-0373 and LOC2023-0374. We respectfully request that the Council carefully consider our opposition to these projects. Our key concerns are as follows:

#### Incompatibility with Community Character:

Both proposed developments, significantly depart from the architectural landscape of our neighborhood. This departure not only impacts privacy but also disrupts the visual harmony of neighboring properties.

The removal of decades-old trees and the adjustment of the sidewalk fail to align with the established character of our street.

#### Parking and Transit Implications:

The proposed parking provisions are inadequate, with only 15 parking stalls allocated for 30 units (15 dwelling units). This deficiency is likely to strain existing street parking, thereby compromising the quality of life for current residents .

The entitlement of 3 parking permits per dwelling unit will lead to potentially 30 vehicles requiring street parking for a street that fits 30 vehicles!

Our neighborhood's distance from essential services makes it unrealistic to assume that residents will rely solely on public transit. The absence of convenient access to amenities such as grocery stores and pharmacies (30 minute walk to each) necessitates personal vehicle usage, exacerbating parking challenges.

Furthermore, the presence of bike lanes on 10th street further restricts available parking options, posing safety concerns for residents, particularly families with young children.

We, as members of this community, have made significant investments with an expectation of a quality of life in Mount Pleasant. Large-scale projects like the H-GO development, particularly the expansion from a Residential Clustered Growth (RCG) designation to an H-GO zoning, raise substantial concerns about the integrity of our neighborhood's lifestyle.

In light of these concerns, we urge the Council to consider alternative measures for these developments, such as reducing unit counts, increasing parking provisions, or implementing limits on parking permits.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Comments - please refrain from providing personal information in this field (maximum 2500 characters)