

# Applicant Outreach Summary



## Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

Please complete this form and include with your application submission.

Project name: 8304 46 Ave NW

Did you conduct community outreach on your application?  YES or  NO

If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.

[Empty text box for rationale]

### Outreach Strategy

Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)

Before undertaking the project, "Community Outreach Assessment" were completed. The project's impact score is "1A". So we are implementing a direct approach to reach to community association, local residents within a 100 meters radius and also Ward Councilor Office.

On July 28th, 2023, post cards were deliver to residents within a 100 meters radius. During the process, we did door knocking and spoke with residents at home. The main concerns our office got are with regards to increased traffic, increased density, height, shadow effects, and safety. We believe those can be properly dealt with at the development permit stage.

Community association and councilor's office are also contacted for comments. No response has been received yet.

### Stakeholders

Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all stakeholder groups you connected with. (Please do not include individual names)

Immediate neighbour, local residents, community association and ward councillor office

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](http://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)



## Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

### What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

The main concerns we heard from local residents are: increased traffic, parking issues, increased density, height, shadow effects, privacy and safety.

Some people also express concerns over property value and crime.

### How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

We believe those concerns can be properly dealt with at the development permit stage.

According to R-CG bylaw, the side setback is increased to 3 meters on the side neighbouring another residential dwelling. Also even though, the maximum height is 1 meter higher, R-CG bylaw require lower height at perimeter.

### How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

Continue engage with local residents, Councillor office and community association. And better explain to local residents about proposed developments and restrictions under R-CG.

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](http://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)