Applicant Outreach Summary



Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

Project name:	LOC2023-0288
Did you conduc	t community outreach on your application? YES or NO
If no, please pro	ovide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.
	tegy rview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you ude dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)
may be impact addition, renove to keep all options a remediation effection falls in minor in nature step was communed to step was concluded to step was conclude	er 25, 2023 we distributed letters to approximately fifty (50) neighbours that ted by the proposed LOC of the subject site. Our intent to construct an vate or repair the existing dwelling. The re-designation from R-C2 to R-CG ions open pending a thorough evaluation of the structure to determine are available. This enables the use of the 2 ST NE side yard in our fort of the dwelling. As the house was to remain, and as the proposed into the minor addition house category, less than 40 M2, the effect was e. We received no responses from the adjacent neighbours and our next municating with the CA (late September 2023). Constructive interactions ed with the community association representatives yielding positive ther communication was sent October 16, 2023 to Ward 7 Councillor's rm letter was received from same without specific commentary. Since tentary or no commentary was the result of the above communications we talk a satisfactory process was completed.
	es nnect with in your outreach program? List all groups you connected with. include individual names)
drop-off to eac	(50) adjacent neighbours to the west, east, north and south by a letter ch resident, corresponding directly with the Crescent Heights Community and contacting/notifying the Ward 7 Councillor's office.

calgary.ca/planningoutreach



Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

Some of the initial commentary was based on assumptions not put forth in the design proposal. General concerns regarding privacy, building height, parking provision, lane condition, tree canopy, waste receptacles, and water management with no specific issues identified. Condition of the property (age), which is an area of interest that we would like to improve. The concerns of the Crescent Heights Community Association were positively addressed in the in the e-mail interactions.

How did input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

We used the inputs to focus on the design attributes which will be attended to at the Development Permit Application stage. None of the issues raised were Land Use Amendment issues.

How did you close the loop?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with those who participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

Repeated follow-up e-mails with the Crescent Heights Community Association and the City of Calgary Planning Department will allow for the refinement of the building design to be presented at later stages.