Applicant Outreach Summary

2023 November 10



Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

	Calgary	(<u>Ö</u>)				Appii	cant-led Out	reach Sum	Ш
	Please comple	te this fo	rm and inc	lude with	your ap	plicati	on submission.	3	
	Project name:	Saddle	Ridge - Ce	ell D Outli	ne Plan	& Land	d Use Amendm	ent	
	Did you conduc	t commur	nity outreac	h on your	applicati	on?	✓ YES or [NO	
If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.									
		view of y					ctics and techniq other relevant de		
	- Cell D. Throu dialogue with r adjacent lando as the propose feedback and c and December landowners. W	gh our e residents wners. T ed outline question 15, 202 /ith conn or update	ngagemer . We starte The e-mails e plan and s. The date 1. Follow a ections es es. Meeting	nt strategy ed our en s included land use es the e-r up phone tablished gs were a	y, we we gagement d backgr districts mails we calls we , we we also helo	ere able ent with round a s. The e ere sen ere alse re perio	e south portion of e to establish a two sets of e-n and status of the e-mails also wel t on were Octob o made to each odically contacto wo of the adjace	continuous nails sent to the project as will comed any per 14, 2021 of the ed by	ne ell
	Stakeholders Who did you co with. (Please do					ist all s	takeholder group	s you connecte	ed
	are participating were contacted not contacted likely to be imp	ng in the d through is the fur pacted. V dge Con	outline pla n e-mail ar therest aw Ve also dic	n proces nd/or thro ray from t I not have	s. Of the ugh a pl he prop e e-mail	remai none co osed o phone	ners. Eight of the ning eight land of all. The one land utline plan and information for as a result of the	owners, sever downer that w would least them.	1

calgary.ca/planningoutreach



Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

No issues of concern were raised by any of the adjacent landowners. The applicant did discuss coordinating dedication of 82 Avenue NE with the adjacent landowners.

The Community Associate identified how they preferred that the numbered streets be changed to names with the "Saddle" suffix. In that regard, we changed the street names accordingly. They raised no other issues.

How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

The project team was driven to work with adjacent landowners to achieve a significant future dedication 82 Avenue NE due its importance in ensuring servicing for the area. In this regard, we were able to expand the ownership group from the original six to eight.

How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

One additional project update will be sent to the adjacent landowners and the Community Association now that the outline plan is found acceptable to City administration in lead up to CPC and Council.

calgary.ca/planningoutreach