City of Calgary

Development Charge Comparison

Presentation by:Diana Petramala, MABE
Director, Altus Group

Ammad Nopr Analyst, Altus Group



Nomenclature and Overview



- Every province has its own terminology for municipal infrastructure fees.
 - Infrastructure Charges
 - Impact Fees
 - Development Cost Levies (DCLs)
 - Development Cost Charges (DCCs)
 - Development Charges (DCs)
 - Offsite Levies
 - These fees are used to pay for new or expanded infrastructure to service the demands of new development ("Growth Pays for Growth") and they include:
 - Hard Services Water, Sewers, Roads, and Transit
 - Soft Services Libraries, Community Centres, etc.
 - The costs covered are tied to infrastructure that benefits a multitude of landowners, not a single owner. For example, infrastructure fees can be used to pay for arterial roads which cross cities (or a region) that may abut or be close to various lands, not local roads which are located only within a single subdivision.

Attachment 6



Study Objectives and Assumptions

- This study compares infrastructure charges across three (4) development scenarios that could potentially occur on one (1) hectare of land:
 - A development of 27 singles family homes (detached/semi-detached);
 - A development of 113 townhouses;
 - A development of 250 apartment units; and
 - A development of 20,000 square meters of industrial buildings.
- We only assume city-wide charges for greenfield development lands. Where a city has multiple area-specific by-laws that do not overlap, we take a range of them.
- Only infrastructure charges are included in the comparison charges for community benefits, land dedications or separated parkland fees are omitted.
- Charges effective as of August/September 2023.
- It is assumed that there are no other development agreements necessary for the proposed sites to facilitate offsite infrastructure not covered by infrastructure charges. We do not assume the costs of any on-site infrastructure that may be required to be provided by the developer as a condition of approval and or developer agreements.
- It is assumed that residential developments are for ownership market-rate units and industrial development are net new sites.



Study Objectives and Assumptions

- For cities with per unit charges, the per hectare charge was calculated by:
 - Determining a typical development scenario for each of the townhouse, single-family home, apartment, and industrial scenarios;
 - Calculating the development charges for those scenarios by applying the rate to the assumed unit count; and
 - Dividing the total development charge by the number of assumed hectares.

The scenarios are outlined in the figure below:

Development Scenario	Assumed Hectares	Assumed Unit Count	Density per Hectare
Townhouses	0.7	81 units	113
Single-Family	2.17	58 units	27
Apartments	1.0	250 units	250
Industrial	8.66	173,300 sq.m.	20,020

Attachment 6



Ontario

Housing:

- Co-op, Non-profit, Inclusionary Zoning & Affordable (not yet in-force or defined) homes are provided 100% development charge exemptions.
- Rental Housing is provided the following fee discounts
 - 3-bedroom (or more) units are provided a 25% discount
 - 2-bedroom units are provided a 20% discount

Noteworthy Exemptions

1-bedroom (or fewer) units are provided a 15% discount

Industrial

- Enlargement of an existing industrial structure by 50% or less gross floor area is exempt from development charges.
- Enlargement of an existing industrial structure by more than 50% gross floor area is only charged for the floor space exceeding 50% of the area of the existing structure.

IP2023-1264 Attachment 6



Categories

- We created six (6) broad categories of infrastructure to group what development charges pay for in the municipalities we examined. They are:
 - 1. Water, Wastewater, and Sewage
 - 2. Community Services
 - 3. Roads and Transportation
 - 4. Public Work Facilities
 - 5. Other
 - 6. Education
- We provided a further 20 sub-categories which provide a more detailed overview of the charges that make up the six (6) broad categories
- Both the broad aggregate categories and sub-categories were based on descriptions of the infrastructure that had similarities across municipalities.

Altus Group

How Municipalities Charge Levies

- The list below outlines how municipalities charge levies on new development:
 - **Toronto** per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type
 - Montreal is area specific and a function of size of development
 - Ottawa per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type, and area
 - **Mississauga** per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type, with a per hectare charge for stormwater
 - Airdrie per hectare charge, same for all developments, and area
 - Chestermere per hectare charge, same for all developments, and area
 - Vancouver per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type, and area
 - **Edmonton** a mix of per unit and per hectare charges, varies by type of development
 - Calgary per hectare charge, same for all developments
 - Okotoks per hectare charge, same for all developments
 - **Kelowna** per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type, and area, heavy industrial is charged on a per hectare basis
 - Surrey a mix of per unit, per square foot, and per hectare charges, and area
 - Penticton per unit charge, varies by development type and unit type, and area

Results



Total Development Charges Per Hectare, by Scenario, by Highest to Lowest Charges



Total Development Charges, Per Hectare, by Scenario, by Ranking

	Single	Scenario		То	w nhous	e Scenario		Α	partment	Scenario		Industrial Scenario					
Municipality	Р	rice Per Ha	Rank	Municipality	F	Price Per Ha	Rank	Municipality	F	rice Per Ha	Rank	Municipality	Р	rice Per Ha	Rank		
Missisauga	\$	3,322,329	1	Missisauga	\$	11,083,625	1	Mississauga	\$	23,276,234	1	Toronto	\$	11,420,000	1		
Toronto	\$	2,700,000	2	Toronto	\$	9,420,000	2	Toronto	\$	12,480,000	2	Mississauga	\$	7,090,302	2		
Surrey	\$	3,128,458	3	Surrey	ey \$ 7,170,867 3 Kelow na \$ 9,114,000 3		3	Vancouver	\$	5,770,715	3						
Ottaw a	\$	1,350,837	4	Ottaw a	\$	4,519,876	4	Surrey	\$	7,693,375	4	Ottaw a	\$	3,279,737	4		
Kelow na	\$	1,126,992	5	Kelow na	\$	4,119,528	5	Ottaw a	\$	6,664,750	5	Surrey	\$	1,766,715	5		
Vancouver	\$	1,010,237	6	Vancouver	\$	3,407,053	6	Vancouver	\$	4,231,011	6	Penticton	\$	1,714,074	6		
Montreal	\$	821,403	7	Penticton	\$	2,373,452	7	Penticton	\$	3,423,500	7	Kelow na	\$	1,448,415	7		
Penticton	\$	740,475	8	Montreal	\$	1,804,336	8	Montreal	\$	821,403	8	Calgary	\$	592,526	8		
Calgary	\$	592,526	9	Calgary	\$	592,526	9	Calgary	\$	592,526	9	Chestermere	\$	402,748	9		
Edmonton	\$	433,188	10	Edmonton	\$	541,829	10	Edmonton	\$	433,188	10	Edmonton	\$	399,195	10		
Chestermere	\$	402,748	11	Chestermere	\$	402,748	11	Chestermere	\$	402,748	11	Airdie	\$	381,524	11		
Airdie	\$	381,524	12	Airdie	\$	381,524	12	Airdie	\$	381,524	12	Okotoks	\$	266,854	12		
Okotoks	\$	266,854	13	Okotoks	\$	266,854	13	Okotoks	\$	266,854	13	Montreal	\$	-	13		

^{*}Based on the highest rate

Source: Altus Group Economic Consulting based on various development charge by-laws

Note: the price per hectare for Alberta municipalities does not vary between scenarios because development charges in this province are based on a per hectare fee.

Altus Analytics





Detailed Charge Breakdown - Singles Scenario

Development Charges*, S	Singles Deve	lopment,	Select C	anadian Cit	ies, Greenfi	eld Land															
	Charge per Hectare, as of September 2023																				
	-						A 2 - 12 -	01			16.1.		- 1		0.1.		01.441			B	
	Toronto	Mon	treal	Low (Inside	tawa High (Outside	Mississauga	Airdie (Based on Post	Cheste	ermere	Vancouver	Kelowr	na	Edmo	nton	Calg	ary	Okotoks	Surr	ey	Penti	icton
	(Built-Up Area)	Low	High	Greenbelt)	Greenbelt)		Annexation Land)	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High
Water, Wastewater and Sewage	450,000	_		184.356	297,351	1.536.969	143,438	100,361	245,778	524,423	178,416	178,416	59,179	65.479	288.782	330,459	127,534	686.880	793,206	345,762	450,576
Water	100,000			15,795	96,363	728,162	71,914	56,266	56,266	180,684	21,672	21,672	-	-	213,811	213,811	51,011	268,812	268,812	158,274	263,088
Wastewater	240,000	_	_	166,428	198,855	804,226	67,085	44,095	97,095	-	144,936	144,936	_	_	58,962	58,962	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater	100.000	_	_	2,133	2,133	4,581	4,439	-	92,417	_	11,808	11,808	_	_	10,209	51,886	_	112,779	174,015	_	-
Sewage	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,045	-	-	-	-	-	-	76,523	305,289	350,379	187,488	187,488
Utility Oversize Charge	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	253,694	-	-	59,179	65,479	5,800	5,800	-	-	-	-	-
Community Services	490,000	-	-	140,076	165,132	582,790	-	53,621	53,621	139,498	355,176	355,176	12,051	40,695	90,201	90,201	60,222	411,480	411,480	113,805	113,805
Fire Services	10,000	-	-	-	-	41,553	-	17,072	17,072	-	-	-	12,051	40,695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Police Services	20,000	-	-	15,768	38,556	31,746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paramedic Services	20,000	-	-	3,780	3,780	8,169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Libraries	60,000	-	-	11,475	18,333	41,553	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parks & Recreation	380,000	-	-	109,053	104,463	459,770	-	36,549	36,549	139,498	355,176	355,176	-	-	-	-	-	411,480	411,480	113,805	113,805
Parks	-	-	-	60,723	20,655	-	-	-	-	139,498	355,176	355,176	-	-	-	-	-	411,480	411,480	113,805	113,805
Recreation	-	-	-	48,330	83,808	-	-	36,549	36,549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roads, Transit and Transportation	1,630,000	-	-	744,606	801,900	1,007,232	238,086	103,349	103,349	214,623	258,240	593,400	11,456	327,014	168,891	168,891	79,098	767,151	767,151	176,094	176,094
Roads	520,000	-	-	372,033	429,327	543,962	-	-	-	70,735	258,240	593,400	11,456	327,014	12,197	12,197	-	686,340	686,340	-	-
Transit	1,110,000	-	-	372,573	372,573	149,326	-	-	-	89,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80,811	80,811	-	-
Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	313,944	238,086	103,349	103,349	-	-	-	-	-	156,694	156,694	79,098	-	-	176,094	176,094
Active Transportation	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	54,261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Work Facilities	-			-		32,401	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Other	50,000	416,396	821,403	2,862	4,428	39,493	-	-	-	131,693	-	-	-	-	2,975	2,975	-	-	-	-	
Corporate Studies	-	-	•	2,862	4,428	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth Studies	-	-	-	-	-	6,531	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Financing Costs	-	-	-	-	-	2,251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Facilities	30,000	-	-	-	-	30,710	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Housing Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98,879	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inspection Fees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,493	2,493	-	-	-	-	-
Surveys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	482	482	-	-	-	-	-
Childcare	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,813	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Social Contribution	-	208,198	547,602	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Affordable Housing	-	208,198	273,801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Education	80,000	-	-	82,026	82,026	123,444	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2,700,000	416.396	821,403	1.153.926	1.350.837	3.322.329	381.524	257.331	402.748	1,010,237	791.832	1,126,992	82.686	433.188	550.849	592.526	266.854	1.865.511	1,971,837	635,661	740,475
Total	2,700,000	410,390	021,403	1,133,320	1,330,637	3,322,329	301,324	231,331	402,740	1,010,237	191,032	1,120,332	02,000	433,100	330,049	332,320	200,034	1,000,011	1,37 1,037	033,001	740,473

^{*} Assumes no exemptions are made and all infrastructure paid for through DCs, while in some cases developers may also be asked to participate in a development agreement

Altus Analytics

^{**} Education development charges are covered by the Education Development Charge By-Law and are paid to school boards, not municipalities Source: Altus Group, Based on Individual DC By-Laws and Fee Schedule Tables

Detailed Charge Breakdown - Townhouses Scenario



	Toronto	Mo	ntreal	Otta		Mississauga	Airdie	Cheste	rmere	Vancouver	Kelov	wna	Edmo	nton	Calga	ary	Okotoks	Sur	rey	Pent	icton
	(Built-Up Area)	Low	High	Low (Inside Greenbelt)	High (Outside Greenbelt)		(Based on Post Annexation Land)	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High
	(Bailt Op Area)	LOW	i iigii	Greenbert)	Orcoriboli)		/ iniciation Land)	LOW	ı ııgı		LOW	r iigi i	LOW	1 11911	Low	ı ııgı		LOW	i iigii	2011	ı ııgıı
ater, Wastewater and Sewage	1,540,000	-	•	630,694	970,908	5,097,065	143,438	100,361	245,778	1,466,268	555,056	555,056	167,820	174,120	288,782	330,459	127,534	2,387,238	2,706,237	1,052,369	1,491,0
ater	340,000	-	-	54,267	313,251	2,419,854	71,914	56,266	56,266	645,308	69,043	69,043	-	-	213,811	213,811	51,011	979,597	979,597	481,719	920,3
astewater	830,000	-	-	569,176	650,406	2,672,631	67,085	44,095	97,095	-	462,170	462,170	-	-	58,962	58,962	-	-	-	-	
tormwater	330,000	-	-	7,251	7,251	4,581	4,439	-	92,417		23,843	23,843	-	-	10,209	51,886		278,432	429,626		
ewage	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337,948	-	-					76,523	1,129,209	1,297,014	570,650	570,6
tility Oversize Charge	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	483,012	-	-	167,820	174,120	5,800	5,800	-	-	-	-	
ommunity Services	1,680,000	-	-	481,149	539,721	1,904,635	-	53,621	53,621	571,510	1,672,287	1,672,287	12,051	40,695	90,201	90,201	60,222	1,568,101	1,568,101	346,458	346,4
re Services	20,000	-	-	-	-	135,648	-	17,072	17,072		-	-	12,051	40,695	-	-		-	-	-	
olice Services	60,000	-	-	52,341	126,207	105,274	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
aramedic Services	70,000	-	-	12,575	12,575	27,149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
braries	200,000	-	-	38,746	60,044	135,648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
arks & Recreation	1,330,000	_	_	377,487	340,894	1,500,916	_	36,549	36,549	571,510	1,672,287	1,672,287	_	_	_	_	_	1,568,101	1,568,101	346,458	346,4
arks	-	-	_	209,249	68,428	-	-	-	-	571,510	1,672,287	1,672,287	-	_	-	-	-	1,568,101	1,568,101	346,458	346,4
ecreation	-	-	-	168,238	272,466	-	-	36,549	36,549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
pads, Transit and Transportation	5,650,000	-	-	2,470,207	2,650,567	3,326,483	238,086	103,349	103,349	829,743	823,431	1,892,185	11,456	327,014	168,891	168,891	79,098	2,896,529	2,896,529	535,959	535,9
pads	1.820.000	-	-	1,236,350	1,416,597	1,775,760	-	-	-	289,794	823,431	1,892,185	11,456	327,014	12,197	12,197	-	2,615,724	2,615,724	-	
ransit	3,830,000	-	-	1,233,857	1,233,971	507,414	-	-	-	317,648	-	-	-	-	· -		-	280,805	280,805	-	
ransportation	-	-	-	-	-	1,043,309	238,086	103,349	103,349	_	-	-	-	-	156,694	156,694	79,098	-	-	535,959	535,9
ctive Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	222,302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
I P. M. I. F. W.						400.050															
ublic Work Facilities	-	-	•	-	-	106,359	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ther	210,000	921,490	1,804,336	9,516	14,501	131,115	-	-	-	539,531	-	-	-	-	2,975	2,975	-	-	-	-	
orporate Studies	-	-		9,516	14,501	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	
rowth Studies	20,000	-	-	-	-	21,708	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
nancing Costs	-	-	-	-	-	7,348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ong-term Care Facilities	100,000	-	-	-	-	102,059	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ousing Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	405,099	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
spection Fees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,493	2,493	-	-	-	-	
urveys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	482	482	-	-	-	-	
hildcare	90,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134,432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ocial Contribution	-	460,745	1,202,891	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ffordable Housing	-	460,745	601,445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ducation	340,000	-	-	344,179	344,179	517,969	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

^{*} Assumes no exemptions are made and all infrastructure paid for through DCs, while in some cases developers may also be asked to participate in a development agreement

Altus Analytics

^{**} Education development charges are covered by the Education Development Charge By-Law and are paid to school boards, not municipalities Source: Altus Group, Based on Individual DC By-Laws and Fee Schedule Tables

IP2023-1264 Attachment 6



Development Charges*, Apartment Development, Select Canadian Cities, Greenfield Land

Detailed Charge Breakdown - Apartments Scenario

Charge per Hectare, as of September 2023																					
	Toronto	Мо	ontreal	Ot	tawa	Mississauga	Airdie	Chesteri	mere	Vancouver	Kelo	owna	Edmo	nton	Calga	ary	Okotoks	Su	rrey	Pent	icton
				Low (Inside	High (Outside		(Based on Post														
	(Built-Up Area)	Low	High	Greenbelt)	Greenbelt)		Annexation Land)	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High
Water, Wastewater and Sewage	2,000,000	-	-	908,375	1,367,500	11,352,446	143,438	100,361	245,778	2,423,444	1,228,000	1,228,000	59,179	65,479	288,782	330,459	127,534	1,483,875	1,483,875	727,625	1,212,875
Water	440,000	-	-	77,750	440,875	5,506,518	71,914	56,266	56,266	1,424,000	152,750	152,750	-	-	213,811	213,811	51,011	681,313	681,313	333,125	818,375
Wastewater	1,080,000	-	-	820,375	916,375	5,841,348	67,085	44,095	97,095	-	1,022,500	1,022,500	-	-	58,962	58,962	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater	430,000	_	-	10,250	10,250	4,581	4,439	-	92,417	-	52,750	52,750	-	-	10,209	51,886	-	39,000	39,000	-	-
Sewage	50,000	_	-	_	-	-	-	_		745,750	_	-	_	_	-	-	76,523	763,563	763,563	394,500	394,500
Utility Oversize Charge	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	253,694	_	-	59,179	65,479	5,800	5,800		-	-	-	-
Community Services	2,170,000	-	-	693,750	756,875	3,692,566	-	53,621	53,621	408,613	3,699,750	3,699,750	12,051	40,695	90,201	90,201	60,222	1,270,750	1,270,750	239,500	239,500
Fire Services	30,000	-	-	74.405	-	262,235	-	17,072	17,072	-	-	-	12,051	40,695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Police Services	80,000	-	-	74,125	178,125	211,659	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paramedic Services	80,000 260,000	-	-	18,000	18,000	54,865 262,235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Libraries Parks & Recreation	1.720.000	_	-	54,250 547.375	83,875 476,875	2,901,572	-	36,549	36,549	408.613	F 2 600 750	3.699.750	-	-	_	_	-	- 1.270.750	1.270.750	239,500	239,500
Parks	1,720,000	-	-	303,000	97,500	2,901,572	-	30,349	30,349	408,613	3,699,750	-,,	-	-	-	-	-	1,270,750	1,270,750	239,500	239,500
Recreation	-			244,375	379,375	-		36.549	36,549	400,013	3,099,730	3,099,730						1,270,730	1,270,730	239,300	239,300
ne or carron				2-1-,010	010,010			00,040	00,040												
Roads, Transit and Transportation	7,310,000			3,510,250	3,760,250	6,615,348	238,086	103,349	103,349	1,013,206	1,821,750	4,186,250	11,456	327,014	168,891	168,891	79,098	1,092,063	1,092,063	259,375	259,375
Roads	2,350,000	-	-	1,760,125	2,010,000	3,432,901	-	-	-	207,194	1,821,750	4,186,250	11,456	327,014	12,197	12,197	-	897,813	897,813	-	-
Transit	4,960,000	-	-	1,750,125	1,750,250	948,878	-	-	-	647,074	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194,250	194,250	-	-
Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	2,233,570	238,086	103,349	103,349	-	-	-	-	-	156,694	156,694	79,098	-	-	259,375	259,375
Active Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	158,939	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Work Facilities	_	_	_	_	_	208,532	_		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
						,															
Other	260,000	416,390	6 821,403	13,625	20,625	264,341	-	-	-	385,748	-	-	-	-	2,975	2,975	-	-	-	-	•
Corporate Studies	-	-	-	13,625	20,625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth Studies	20,000	-	-	-	-	43,873	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Financing Costs	400.000	-	-	-	-	14,206	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Facilities	130,000	-	-	-	-	206,263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Housing Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	289,633	-	-	-	-	2.402	2.402	-	-	-	-	-
Inspection Fees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,493	2,493	-	-	-	-	-
Surveys Childcare	110,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	06 115	-	-	-	-	482	482	-	-	-	-	-
Childcare Social Contribution	110,000	208.198	8 547,602	-	-	-	-	-	-	96,115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Affordable Housing		208,198		_	_	_	-		-		_	-	_			-	_	-		-	-
Education	740,000	_		759,500	759,500	1,143,000															_
	7-70,000			7 33,300	7 55,500	1,143,000					_	_	-		-		-		-		
Total	12.480.000	416 39	6 821.403	5.885.500	6.664.750	23,276,234	381.524	257.331	402.748	4,231,011	6 749 500	9,114,000	82.686	433.188	550.849	592,526	266.854	3.846.688	3,846,688	1,226,500	1,711,750
Total	12,400,000	710,330	021,703	3,003,300	0,004,730	25,210,234	301,324	201,001	702,170	7,201,011	0,1 73,300	3,117,000	02,000	700,100	330,043	332,320	200,034	3,070,000	0,070,000	1,220,000	1,7 11,730

^{*} Assumes no exemptions are made and all infrastructure paid for through DCs, while in some cases developers may also be asked to participate in a development agreement

Altus Analytics

^{**} Education development charges are covered by the Education Development Charge By-Law and are paid to school boards, not municipalities Source: Altus Group, Based on Individual DC By-Laws and Fee Schedule Tables

IP2023-1264 Attachment 6 **Altus Group**

Detailed Charge Breakdown - Industrial Scenario

Development Charges*, Indus	trial Dovolon	nont Soloc	t Canadian	Citios Groonfic	old Land														
Charge per Hectare, as of September 2		neni, selec	t Carradian '	Cilies, Greenile	iu Laiiu														
g-																			
	Toronto	Ottaw a	Mississauga	Airdie	Chester	mere	Vance		Kelow	na***	Edmo	nton	Calga	ary	Okotoks	Sur	rey	Pent	cton
	(Built-Up Area)			(Based on Post Annexation Land)	Low	High	Industrial	Light Industrial	Light Industrial	Heavy Industrial	Low	High	Low	High		Low	High	Low	High
Water, Wastewater and Sewage	2,260,000	282,290	3,828,716	143,438	100,361	245,778	1,670,127	2,185,030	296,690	47,847	25,186	31,486	288,782	330,459	127,534	1,576,269	1,576,269	646,231	1,306,276
Water	600,000	38,788	1,836,794	71,914	56,266	56,266	730,506	730,506	33,032	5,536	-	-	213,811	213,811	51,011	748,918	748,918	240,034	900,079
Wastew ater	1,190,000	239,192	1,987,341	67,085	44,095	97,095	-	-	221,416	37,044	-	-	58,962	58,962	-	-	-	-	-
Stormw ater	470,000	4,310	4,581	4,439	-	92,417	-	-	42,241	5,267	-	-	10,209	51,886	-	87,730	87,730	-	-
Sew age	-	-	-	-	-	-	351,246	351,246	-	-	-	-	-	-	76,523	739,621	739,621	406,197	406,197
Utility Oversize Charge							588,375	1,103,278			25,186	31,486	5,800	5,800					
Community Services	540,000	163,771	277,965	-	53,621	53,621	647,211	1,212,779	245,240	18,276	12,051	40,695	90,201	90,201	60,222	-	-	-	-
Fire Services	50,000	-	152,169	-	17,072	17,072	-	-	-	-	12,051	40,695		-	-	-	-	-	-
Police Services	150,000	90,505	101,373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paramedic Services	100,000	10,774	24,424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Libraries	30,000	6,465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parks & Recreation	210,000	56,027	-	-	36,549	36,549	647,211	1,212,779	245,240	18,276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parks	-	19,394	-	-	-	-	647,211	1,212,779	245,240	18,276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recreation	-	36,633	-	-	36,549	36,549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roads, Transit and Transportation	8,140,000	2,430,712	2,652,780	238,086	103,349	103,349	685,475	1,227,989	906,485	67,560	11,456	327,014	168,891	168,891	79,098	190,445	190,445	407,798	407,798
Roads	2,760,000	1,269,228	1,728,769	-	-	-	328,179	614,959	906,485	67,560	11,456	327,014	12,197	12,197	-	125,799	125,799	-	-
Transit	5,380,000	1,161,484	500,398	-	-	-	105,549	141,292	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,647	64,647	-	-
Transportation	-	-	423,614	238,086	103,349	103,349	-	-	-	-	-	-	156,694	156,694	79,098	-	-	407,798	407,798
Active Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	251,747	471,738	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Work Facilities		-	117,232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	180,000	10,774	19,619	-		-	610,996	1,144,917	-	-		-	2,975	2,975	-	-	-	-	-
Corporate Studies	-	10,774	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grow th Studies	40,000	-	19,619	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Financing Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Housing Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	458,757	859,644	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inspection Fees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,493	2,493	-	-	-	-	-
Surveys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	482	482	-	-	-	-	-
Childcare	140,000	-	-	-	-	-	152,239	285,274	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Education	300,000	392,189	193,989	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	11,420,000	3,279,737	7,090,302	381,524	257,331	402,748	3,613,809	5,770,715	1,448,415	133,683	48,693	399,195	550,849	592,526	266,854	1,766,715	1,766,715	1,054,029	1,714,074

^{*} Assumes no exemptions are made and all infrastructure paid for through DCs, while in some cases developers may also be asked to participate in a development agreement

Source: Altus Group, Based on Individual DC By-Laws and Fee Schedule Tables

Altus Analytics

^{**} Education development charges are covered by the Education Development Charge By-Law and are paid to school boards, not municipalities

^{***} Kelow na is based on the highest values for the light and heavy industrial

Charge Per Unit, by Municipality, Ranked



Total Development Charges, Per Unit, by Scenario, by Ranking

	Singles Scenario					Tow nhouse Sc	enar	io		Apartment Scenario						
Municipality	-	Pric	e Per Unit	Rank	Municipality		Pric	e Per Unit	Rank	Municipality		Price	e Per Unit	Rank		
Mississauga		\$	122,879	1	Mississauga		\$	98,085	1	Mississauga		\$	93,105	1		
Toronto	(Built-Up Area)	\$	99,862	2	Toronto	(Built-Up Area)	\$	83,363	2	Toronto	(Built-Up Area)	\$	49,920	2		
Surrey	High	\$	72,930	3	Surrey	High	\$	63,459	3	Kelow na	High	\$	36,456	3		
Surrey	Low	\$	68,998	4	Surrey	Low	\$	60,636	4	Kelow na	Low	\$	26,998	4		
Ottaw a	High (Outside Greenbelt)	\$	49,962	5	Ottaw a	High (Outside Gree	€\$	39,999	5	Ottaw a	High (Outside Greenbelt)	\$	26,659	5		
Ottaw a	Low (Inside Greenbelt)	\$	42,679	6	Kelow na	High	\$	36,456	6	Ottaw a	Low (Inside Greenbelt)	\$	23,542	6		
Kelow na	High	\$	41,683	7	Ottaw a	Low (Inside Green	۱\$	34,830	7	Vancouver		\$	16,924	7		
Vancouver		\$	37,365	8	Vancouver		\$	30,151	8	Surrey	High	\$	15,387	8		
Montreal	High	\$	30,380	9	Kelow na	Low	\$	26,998	9	Surrey	Low	\$	15,387	9		
Kelow na	Low	\$	29,287	10	Penticton	High	\$	21,004	10	Penticton	High	\$	6,847	10		
Penticton	High	\$	27,387	11	Penticton	Low	\$	17,122	11	Penticton	Low	\$	4,906	11		
Penticton	Low	\$	23,510	12	Montreal	High	\$	15,968	12	Montreal	High	\$	3,286	12		
Calgary	High	\$	21,915	13	Montreal	Low	\$	8,155	13	Calgary	High	\$	2,370	13		
Calgary	Low	\$	20,374	14	Calgary	High	\$	5,244	14	Calgary	Low	\$	2,203	14		
Edmonton	High	\$	16,022	15	Calgary	Low	\$	4,875	15	Edmonton	High	\$	1,733	15		
Montreal	Low	\$	15,401	16	Edmonton	High	\$	4,795	16	Montreal	Low	\$	1,666	16		
Chestermere	High	\$	14,896	17	Chestermere	High	\$	3,564	17	Chestermere	High	\$	1,611	17		
Airdie	(Based on Post Annexation Land)	\$	14,111	18	Airdie	(Based on Post An	\$	3,376	18	Airdie	(Based on Post Annexation Land)	\$	1,526	18		
Okotoks		\$	9,870	19	Okotoks		\$	2,362	19	Okotoks		\$	1,067	19		
Chestermere	Low	\$	9,518	20	Chestermere	Low	\$	2,277	20	Chestermere	Low	\$	1,029	20		
Edmonton	Low	\$	3,058	21	Edmonton	Low	\$	1,693	21	Edmonton	Low	\$	331	21		

^{*} Based on the highest rate

Source: Altus Group Economic Consulting based on various development charge by-laws

Altus Analytics

IP2023-1264 Attachment 6



- Final results are not comparable or interoperable with results in CHBA Benchmarking Study.
 - The development project scenarios are different in this exercise than the CHBA Study.
 - CHBA accounted for development charges, other planning fees and charges, and building permit costs to the extent possible. This study strictly examined only development charges.
- For residential scenarios the price per hectare grows significantly as density increases for municipalities located in Ontario and BC as fees are based on a per unit basis. This penalizes density in those provinces.
 - Note: Calculations only assume ownership market units. Rental and affordable homes may qualify for additional exemptions that would make development charges more competitive between Ontario and Alberta.
- For the industrial scenario municipalities in Alberta, including Calgary, are significantly more competitive than cities in Ontario and BC in terms of development charge fees.
 - Note: Calculations do not include development agreement costs that would potentially add costs to developments.
 - Note: There are potential exemptions in specific unique cases where charges would be waived in Ontario.
- Potential next steps should the City of Calgary want to make its development charge regime even more competitive than it already is, staff should review and consider exemptions provided in Ontario that were highlighted in this presentation.

Conclusion

Prepared for:

City of Calgary

Prepared by:

Altus Group Economic Consulting

33 Yonge Street Toronto Ontario M5E 1G4

Phone: (416) 641-9500 Fax: (416) 641-9501

economics@altusgroup.com

altusgroup.com

December 5, 2023