

# Applicant Outreach Summary

August 8, 2023



## Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

**Please complete this form and include with your application submission**

**Project name:**

Did you conduct community outreach on your application?  YES or  NO

If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.

### Outreach Strategy

Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)

Two rounds of outreach attempts has been made for this application.

Feb 22, 2023 (During Time of Land Use Amendment Application) - Emails has been sent to inform both Community Associations and Councilors Office informing them of the upcoming application.

July 16, 2023 (Informing groups of DTR Amendments) - Emails has been sent to inform both Community Associations and Councilors Office of the changes to the site plan based on response from the community.

Aug 07, 2023 Letter to neighbors (+/- 20 letters) - Letters informing immediate neighbors of the site of the site amendments submitted at DTR Response. Email address has been left for neighbors to start a dialog and provide comments regarding the land use amendment.

### Stakeholders

Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all stakeholder groups you connected with. (Please do not include individual names)

- Mount Pleasant Community Association
- Ward 7 Office
- Immediate Neighbors of the Site

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](https://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)



## Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

### What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

There were no replies to either emails sent as of Aug 07, 2023 from Community Association or Ward 7.

Have yet to receive correspondence from neighbors as this letter has only recently been sent out. Will keep file manager updated on responses.

### How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

Based on DTR comments, community members has raised concerns about the original building configuration. They raised concerns with the density proposed, privacy issues, height, and the vehicular traffic that this development may bring to this street. We have taken their comments seriously and strive to want to development something that will be welcomed to the neighborhood. Therefore, we have reconfigured our site plan to a conventional four-unit row house with four laneway garages with basement level secondary suites. The unit count has been reduced by two and the building footprint has since also been reduced by 8%. The proposed set back and depth of the units is in alignment with the neighboring homes and the building height has been amended to be complimentary to the adjacent sites, we envision a typical two-storey development. The client strongly feels that we have made significant compromises and addressed the concerns of the community.

### How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

Site plan has been significantly revised to address comments from the community. Applicant reconfigured the site and reduced the number of units by 2. Revisions were made to the site plan as part of DTR comments and a new round of community outreach efforts in July/Aug 2023 was done to inform all parties of the changes.

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](https://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)