

# Applicant Outreach Summary

2023 April 14



## Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

**Please complete this form and include with your application submission.**

---

**Project name:** DC allowing Daycare

Did you conduct community outreach on your application?  YES or  NO

If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.

I have had several engagement sessions when we did the LOC in 2014. There were 2 trains of thought during that time. Some wanted to increase density some wanted to ensure this site remained as it. I think allowing Daycare allows the amenities that density brings and keeps the built form that was desired by the community.

### **Outreach Strategy**

Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)

Attempted to reach CA (2X) but did not receive a response

### **Stakeholders**

Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all stakeholder groups you connected with. (Please do not include individual names)

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](http://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)



## Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

### What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

### How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

Past engagement for the site helped me decide on looking at Daycare for this site in the current house.

### How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

[calgary.ca/planningoutreach](http://calgary.ca/planningoutreach)

**Engagement Rational and efforts**

**Project Overview**

The subject property is comprised of a residential parcel measuring 0.95 acres with one living dwelling (single detached). The property, itself, has a grove of aspen trees. Springbank Hill Area Structure Plan Policy 4.4 encourages the retention of trees within a development concept.

The concept is to develop an urban garden childcare and after school service utilizing the existing dwelling unit and retaining the vegetation (including the aspen tree grove). A concurrent Development Permit with the submission of the land use was considered to help ease community concerns but not pursued. The Development Permit would primarily be internal, within the existing building, with some aesthetic upgrades to the structure, and internal on-site parking and driveway expansion.

**Engagement Overview**

A fulsome engagement strategy was not considered at the time of the land use application. The decision to add daycare/after school use and not adding density are in response to current Area Structure Plan work that the Community and the City is doing. There is currently no plan to increase density at this location and this application supports that.

Following the submission of LOC2023-0101

- 6 adjacent landowners enquired directly, and several themes emerged through these discussions

<b>Themes</b>	<b>Response</b>
Parking	All drop-off and employee parking will be located on site. During the development permit phase, a parking plan will be created to ensure proper number of stalls are available while also maintaining the existing trees and vegetation
Hours of Operation (Noise)	Outside play will be limited to and adhere to the City's Community Standards Bylaw.
Increased Density and Character Urban Design	This application is not looking to increase density and will maintain and upgrade the existing building. The community of Springbank Hill and the City is working on a thoughtful mix low density and higher densities. This site is currently considered low density-built

	form and this application respects the work that is currently being done. ***In the 2015 land redesignation Calgary Planning Commission recommended higher density at this site. It is a cognitive decision to follow the current land use map and will not request a denser land use.
Destruction of trees	Every attempt will be made to ensure the retention of existing trees. It is an integral part of the urban garden concept.
Topology	Given the work on the Area Structure Plan there is no consideration to build a new building. The structure follows the existing urban fabric
Pedestrian Traffic and Safety	At the development permit phase exit and entrance into site will be upgraded. Increasing site lines. The property has a 162-foot frontage and safety will be a key element in the design considerations.

\*\*\*\*Reviewing the considerate comments these most likely addresses most comments that would be discussed if a larger engagement process was developed and held.

**Prior to Submission**

Attempts to meet/discuss with Community Association Planning Committee several attempts to meet or discuss the project with the Community Association Planning committee were made.

February 10, 2023- text sent to Community Association- information passed to head of Planning (CA)

February 13, 2023- email sent to Planning Committee to discuss plans – no response

March 21, 2023- follow up email- no response

**Next Steps**

If the land use is approved there are opportunities to engage Griffith Woods school to integrate and enhance needs into the development permit. The issues of safety can be incorporated into the design. In addition, there may be opportunities to look at a more accessible design for special needs or/and look at equity and diversity into programming.