



Engineers

Minutes of Meeting

Calgary General Hospital Legacy Fund Review Committee

Recommendation Meeting

RJC No. CAL.132915.0001

Minutes of meeting held on April 03, 2023 at 9:30AM

Location of meeting: Via Teams

Recorded By: Robbe Drugmand, RJC Engineers

Distribution

*	NAME	COMPANY	INITIALS	EMAIL
*	Ali McMillan	Public Member, CGHLFRC & Bridgeland Riverside Community Association	AM	alimcmill@gmail.com
*	Agnes Szaflarski	CoC – Finance Manager	AS	Agnes.Szaflarski@calgary.ca
*	Amber Huskich	Public Member, CGHLFRC	AH	amberparillo@hotmail.com
*	Devon LeClair	Ward 9 – Chief of Staff	DLC	eaward9@calgary.ca
*	Evan Fer	CoC – Acting Manager Bridges & Structures (B&S)	EF	Evan.Fer@calgary.ca
*	Francois Bouchart	CoC – Director of Capital Priorities and Investment	FB	Francois.Bouchart@calgary.ca
*	Geoff Kallweit	RJC Engineers	GK	gkallweit@rjc.ca
*	Gian-Carlo Carra	Ward 9 Councillor	GCC	Gian-Carlo.Carra@calgary.ca
*	Heather Johnson	CoC – Director Recreation & Social Programs	HJ	Heather.Johnson@calgary.ca
*	Jonathan Boudreau	CoC – Public Spaces Delivery (PSD) Executive Advisor	JB	Jonathan.Boudreau@calgary.ca
*	Katherine Hikita	CoC – PSD B&S	KH	Katherine.Hikita@calgary.ca
	Kerensa Fromherz	CoC – Director PSD	KF	Kerensa.Fromherz@calgary.ca
*	Kyle Ripley	CoC – Director Parks & Open Spaces	KR	Kyle.Ripley@calgary.ca



	Mark Bowen	RJC Engineers	MB	mbowen@rjc.ca
*	Natalie Coombes	CoC – Customer Service & Communications	NC	natalie.coombes@calgary.ca
	Peter Phillips	CoC – PSD - Manager B&S	PP	Peter.Phillips@calgary.ca
*	Robbe Drugmand	RJC Engineers	RD	rdrugmand@rjc.ca

* denotes meeting attendance

Note: Italicized items are carry-over items from previous meetings and repeated for reference only. The date of the first meeting when item was minuted is indicated.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	ACTION
1.0	KH provided a project update on circulation and the open house. KH presented the presented bridge options, evaluation of options, cost estimates, and proposed project staging. - The project has a potential cost of \$47M (with -30% to +40% accuracy), accounting for construction (including 15% contingency), consulting fees and internal costs. - GK noted that current market trends have been incorporated into the pricing presented based on unit rates from Alberta Transportation and the City. - AS noted \$17,184k is in Reserve as of December, 2022 - GCC noted not to expect any more from Parcel sales for this project. However, there is opportunity to seek funding from the 5A Network. - AS noted that public art is allocated 1% instead of 2%.	INFO
2.0	Based on the work to date does the committee support a recommendation to the Community Development Committee (CDC) to release further funding for the project from the Calgary General Hospital Legacy Fund? Committee indicated support for a recommendation to CDC.	INFO
3.0	How much should be recommended to be released from the Fund? Committee indicated to proceed with 100% of the Fund.	INFO
4.0	The Committee's preferred option to proceed with the project are as follows:	INFO



	- Project Pathway Forward: - Option 2 - Perform value engineering on Option 1 or 2 so that the river crossing and possibly south ramp replacement can be built within the funds available within the Reserve ~\$17M - Bridge Type: - Option 2 - three-span bridge with steel girders	
5.0	Additions to the circulation list for the CDC Report - AH, AS, AM, GCC expressed interest. - Full committee to be circulated on report - AM noted she would be happy to assist in the Council Roadshow for report to CDC	KH
6.0	Annual Report to Council by the CGHLFRC June 14 Verbal presentation made in 2022, so not necessary in 2023	INFO
7.0	Appropriate time for the next meeting(s): Call meetings when decision making support is required and distribute quarterly reports for the committee to review project progress	INFO
8.0	Additional Comments: - AM noted an overlooked bonus is the broader city building, especially the connection between the C-train station and the Island. - GCC noted the previous council was very supportive of project. - KH will be updating phasing and budgets accordingly into a revised CDC report. 6m width for the full crossing was suggested by the Public Spaces Project Development team in circulation comments.	INFO

Acceptance

Note: Should there be any errors or omissions from these minutes, please notify the writer so that a clarification may be issued. The minutes will otherwise be deemed acceptable.