

Applicant Outreach Summary

March 8, 2023

Project name: K22-0804 137 32 Avenue NE

Did you conduct community outreach on your application? YES or NO

If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.

Outreach Strategy

Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)

On October 17, 2022, k5 Designs conducted community outreach by placing a sign at street level detailing information on the proposed application for a land use re-designation at 137 32 Avenue NE. Next, on November 10, 2022, k5 Designs also went door-to-door delivering letters with the same information to the nearby houses in the immediate area in hopes of establishing contact and connection with the stakeholders of adjacent neighbors. Lastly, on November 14, 2022, k5 Designs sent off a letter to the community association to establish a connection and begin discussions on any concerns from the CA in regards to the project. In December 2022, we received 2 support letters from nearby residents in regards to our application. In addition, we received a letter of opposition from the community association on February 1, 2023. k5 Designs responded to the CA on March 8, 2023, addressing the concerns in regards to the application and followed up on March 15, 2023 to see if any discussions could be furthered.

Stakeholders

Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all stakeholder groups you connected with. (Please do not include individual names)

The stakeholders are the local community association, and the adjacent neighbors to the subject parcel at 137 32 Avenue NE.

What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

As of December 12, 2022, k5 Designs received two letters of support for the proposed re-designation. On February 1, 2023, K5 Designs received a letter of opposition from the Tuxedo Park Community Association (TPCA) outlining some of their concerns in regards to the application. Major concerns were in regards to the parking and increased density affecting traffic and contextual building size in regards to the bungalows in the immediate area. K5 addressed the concerns in the response letter sent on March 08, 2023.

How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

Once k5 Designs received a letter of opposition from the TPCA, k5 Designs responded with a letter addressing the issues. The concurrent DP can opt for an additional parking stall to further ease the concerns or vehicular traffic (at the discretion of the CA requesting it), and has bicycle parking stalls to promote alternative transportation methods. The location is close to Centre Street N in order to take advantage of the future Green Line, and falls within the North Hill local area plan of primarily residential housing that promotes diversified demographics and housing types.

How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

k5 Designs is in the process of discussing the concerns brought up by the CA as previously mentioned. k5 also responded to the letters of support by thanking the senders and hoping to continue contact.