## Calgary Planning Commission Member Comments



For CPC2023-0314 / LOC2022-0090 heard at Calgary Planning Commission Meeting 2023 April 06



Member	Reasons for Decision or Comments
Commissioner Tiedemann	• It was very interesting to see two back-to-back applications (at CPC, not at council) is such close proximity to each other in Eau Claire. This project is also in an incredible location that deserves to see a best-in-class style re-development. While it is a shame to see the closure and elimination of a large recreation facility in our core, I was encouraged to see the applicants plan for a mixed use re-development with a large residential component. Bringing additional people into the core to live will help to add vibrancy to this part of our city and will ensure that the businesses in the surrounding area continue to thrive I was happy to support this application.
Commissioner Hawryluk	<ul> <li>Planning Commission had a wide-ranging discussion about this application, including recreation in the inner city. I thought I would share that Commissioner Weber, who tends not to write comments, voted against the item because he could not picture what this Direct Control would produce and thought it should go back to Administration for more work. I believe his comment was that "good enough isn't good enough." I tend to trust Administration on applications of this scale, so I supported this application.</li> </ul>