## **Calgary Planning Commission Member Comments**



## For CPC2019-0676 heard at Calgary Planning Commission Meeting 2019 June 06



Member	Reasons for Decision or Comments
Commissioner Wooley	Reasons for Opposition     No engagement with the Community Association or the neighbours.     The concurrent Development Permit also had no engagement with the Community Association or the neighbours.     Applicant did not attend Calgary Planning Commission.
Commissioner Juan	<ul> <li>I am commenting to share my disappointment with the lack of engagement done on this item. While Planning Commission is a technical review body, I technically support the land use, however, there was a missed opportunity here to engage the community. A multi-generational living proposal would have been a great conversation to have with the community, but instead the opportunity was missed. I encourage this applicant to be in touch with the Community Association or neighbours throughout the remaining planning process.</li> </ul>
Commissioner Scott	<ul> <li>Support the proposed redesignation on the basis that the proposal represents an appropriate land use and potential development form at this location.</li> <li>In future the applicant / owner is strongly encouraged to engage with the Community Association and nearby neighbours, ideally at the pre-application stage. The fact the applicant / owner elected to not engage with the community in the pre-application phase or during circulation – despite advice from CPAG to do so – is noted as disappointing. Strongly suggest that the applicant engage with the Kingsland Community Association and surrounding neighbours prior to the Public Hearing of Council as a remedial measure. In future, the applicant (consultant) is also strongly encouraged to make an effort to appear at Calgary Planning Commission when their item is heard.</li> </ul>