## Summary of Stakeholder Feedback

Administration conducted extensive engagement with industry, community associations, and community members. Based on the feedback received Administration began formulating what a new use may look like in terms of definitions and rules. A final meeting was held with community and industry representatives present to discuss what definitions and rules would be critical to future amendments. Feedback from this meeting was taken in to consideration to finalize the proposed recommendations as summarized in the table below.

|  | Industry   | Communities  | Administration  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 150 sq m public area                     | Supportive   | Concerned with size in<br>neighbourhood districts  | Allowing a larger public area in the CN districts may be feasible if accompanied by rules to mitigate impacts.  |
| 1.7 stalls per 10 sq m of<br>public area | Supportive   | Opposed  | This rate is currently applied to Small<br>restaurants in C-N1and C-COR1<br>districts. C-N2, C-C1, C-COR2, C-COR3<br>would be affected by the new rate. C-<br>C2, C-R1, C-R2, C-R3 would not be<br>affected as they use a standard blended<br>rate. |
| Permitted or Discretionary               | Supports a permitted use   | Supports a discretionary<br>use  | If all Administration's recommendations<br>are adopted a permitted use is<br>appropriate.   |
| Minors always allowed                    | Generally not opposed  | Supportive   | Critical to safeguard from devolving in to bars and nightclubs.   |
| No entertainment area                    | Generally not opposed –<br>supportive of prohibiting<br>certain forms and genres of<br>entertainment | Generally not opposed –<br>supportive of prohibiting<br>certain forms and<br>genres of entertainment | Removing entertainment area<br>addresses restaurants functioning like<br>bars and nightclubs issues however<br>prevents what may be considered low-<br>impact entertainment opportunities.  |
| Mandated closing times                   | Not supportive   | Generally supportive   | Administration typically abstains from<br>this level of involvement, however it may<br>assist integrating restaurants into<br>neighbourhoods and safeguard from<br>devolving in to bars and nightclubs.   |
| Interface rules                          | Supportive of 45 m rule<br>removal   | Opposed to removing 45<br>m rule   | 45 m rule is consistently relaxed by the<br>Development Authority and has never<br>been used in isolation to refuse a<br>development. Rules for openings,<br>entrances and venting should remain.   |