

# Calgary Planning Commission Member Comments



For CPC2022-1085 / LOC2022-0147  
heard at Calgary Planning Commission  
Meeting 2022 November 17



Member	Reasons for Decision or Comments
<p><b>Commissioner Tiedemann</b></p>	<p>Reasons for Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This application looks to redesignate the parcel from R-C1N to DC based on R-C1N to allow for a child care facility. The site is in close proximity to a number of parks and green spaces, 1.5 blocks from an elementary school, on a corner lot, close proximity to transit, this is an ideal site for this use! This land use approval just allows for the daycare USE on site, details regarding the exact number of children, outdoor place space, parking and pickup/drop off will all be determined by the province at the time of the DP approval process. Happy to support.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Commissioner Mortezaee</b></p>	<p>Reasons for Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I support the application to change the current LUD from R-C1N to DC to support a childcare service, as the site with rear lane access can accommodate a modest increase in children's capacity subject to development permit requirements and provincial childcare licensing regulations.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Commissioner Weber</b></p>	<p>Reasons for Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I supported the land use amendment as Administration did an excellent job walking Commission through their criteria for these types of applications.</li> </ul> <p>Typically I would push for these types of uses to be located in retail centres so as not to create disruption in the local neighbourhood, however the low intensity of use in this particular context appears to be incremental.</p> <p>Ultimately I do believe the City needs to be considerate of when a use goes from being a low impact home-based business to a full-fledged commercial operation. It is always difficult to strike a balance, however my advice is to typically lean towards pushing those uses to retail nodes in the interest of community harmony. Child care and religious institutions as referenced by Farhad are great examples of uses that might sit outside of the rule.</p>

<b>Commissioner Hawryluk</b>	<p>Reasons for Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This application would create a Direct Control District to allow the additional discretionary use of Child Care Service. Based on my reading of the Council-approved site selection criteria in the Child Care Policy and Development Guidelines and Administration’s summary of those Guidelines (on pages 5 and 6 of Attachment 1), I agree with Administration that “the parcel and proposed Direct Control District align with all of the applicable site selection criteria.”</li></ul>
----------------------------------	--