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| Member | Reasons for Decision or Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commissioner <br> Tiedemann | Reasons for Approval <br> - <br> Very simple R-C1 to R-C2 application across from a school <br> and a park. This type of land use change is so small I believe it <br> should be allowed by right. While a letter provided from the CA <br> outlines a number of points of opposition, the reality is that the <br> change represented by the R-C2 designation is basically <br> imperceptible, the built form is extremely similar, the allowable <br> height is the exact same, and the number of allowable units <br> only moves from 1 to 2. This was a very easy application to <br> support. |
| Commissioner | Reasons for Approval <br> - Council approved a building of this size (10m height, 45\% lot <br> coverage) with the same fundamental use (housing) in this <br> location when it approved the R-C1 Land Use District. <br> Changing the Land Use District to R-C2 would add doors to <br> that approved building and allow two families to live within the <br> already approved building envelope across the street from an <br> elementary school and park and beside a small commercial <br> building. I see this as appropriate. <br> - Change can be difficult. Yet, blocking infill housing - <br> especially buildings that are the same size as those, which <br> Council has already approved - does not reduce demand for <br> housing in Calgary. When people fight new housing, those with <br> the least power often lose: new houses get pushed to where <br> people cannot fight them as effectively, which tends to be <br> where poorer people, often renters, live. This process <br> contributes to displacement. Council might consider how to |
| avoid displacing Calgarians. |  |
| - Mishael Manville from UCLA makes an observation that is |  |
| relevant to this application, "Zoning .. lets us decide if we |  |
| want rising land values to manifest as more housing units |  |
| (development), or more concentrated housing wealth (the |  |
| same number of units, but each one getting more expensive)." |  |

