

CITY OF CALGARY
RECEIVED
IN COUNCIL CHAMBER

JUL 2 0 2015

CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

July 16, 2015

Ms. Jill Sonego City of Calgary P.O. Box 2100, Station M #8076 Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5

Via Email

Re: Providence ASP - Notice of Motion regarding Brodylo family request

Dear Jill:

We are writing to express our concern for one of the possible outcomes of this Notice of Motion. The inclusion of the Brodylo lands in the Providence ASP would most certainly delay the current Providence ASP process by one year.

We understand that Brodylo's main concern is ensuring the wellbeing of the wetland contained on their lands. As a standard industry approach to all planning throughout the City, adjacent / off-site considerations are customarily taken into account. This is why new neighbourhoods have through-streets planned, shared environmental features, etc. regardless of land ownership. Our ASP team has fully considered all of the off-site conditions surrounding the Providence ASP area into our plan. This also includes all of the adjacent wetlands. High-level setbacks, adjacent land uses, and environmental systems have all been reviewed in preparing this ASP. Additionally, including these lands may not have any material effect on the timing of their development. The servicing requirements and phasing of the ASP would place the Brodylo lands at the end of the servicing requirements, regardless of being included in the ASP area.

The current ASP has undergone many seasonal-dependent biophysical assessments, historical resource assessments, T'suu Tina engagement, and groundwater monitoring programs. These studies are required prior to finalizing the ASP. Draft input from numerous departments at the City of Calgary are, in some cases, already complete to ensure the Council-directed timeline is met for a December 2015 Council date. At this point in the process, the inclusion of the Brodylo lands would materially change the studies required for the ASP and essentially force the ASP to start over.

Assigning the Brodylo lands to a future ASP, instead of the current one would pose no issues to the development timeframe or the wellbeing of the wetland. It would, however, avoid creating negative implications for the landowners in the Providence ASP and City Administration.

Sincerely,

Jay German

Vice President, Land Development