

## Opposition to the Proposed Land Use Amendment LOC2014-0209 at 7812 Elbow Drive SW

I/We, CHAO SALVOCES & SALVOCES, are strongly opposed to the proposed redesignation of 7812 Elbow Drive SW from R-C1 to M-C1. We ask the City of Calgary Council to refuse the proposed redesignation of 7812 Elbow Drive SW from R-C1 to M-C1.

I/We feel that:

- The proposed density does not fit within the context or character of this area of Kingsland. This
  area of Kingsland is low density residential. Higher density redevelopment should be
  accommodated as the Jack Carter and Market on Macleod sites along Macleod Trail are
  redeveloped.
- The Municipal Development Plan (MDP) identifies Macleod Trail as an Urban Corridor, land use amendments to allow for higher densities should be considered along Macleod Trail.
- The 2014 Calgary Civic Census identified:
  - > That 61% of dwellings in Kingsland are row houses or apartments. This is higher than the City rate of 31%.
  - > That Kingsland grew by 10% in population (4,358 to 4,812) between 2009 and 2014.

Kingsland has been accommodating the additional density the City would like to see in established communities. Our community already has a significant amount of multi-family housing, and anticipates more density as vacant parcels on Macleod Trail are redeveloped. Redesignating a parcel in a low-density area that does not fit the context of the neighbourhood is inappropriate.

- The proposed access off the rear lane will negatively impact area residents. This rear lane is already negatively impacted due to the number of vehicles using the lane for the Korean Church.
- An approval to this redesignation will set a precedent for an inappropriate density in this low density area. An approval will provide support for developers to redesignate single family parcels along Elbow Drive, which will drastically change the character of this streetscape, which I/we do not support.

which I/we do not support.

ANTHING HIGHERTHWA 1/2 STORCY WOULD BE TOO TALL ON ELBOW DR. EAST.

Additional Comments: Two STORCY'S, AND THE HIGHER GRADE, CAST SHADOWS ONTO THIST. PROPERTIES.

THE CAPACITY OF THE REAR LANE IS TOO SMILL TO ACCOMODATE M-CI ZOWING, AS

IT IS TOO NARROW, UNPAVED, AND NOT AN ACCESSIBLE/DESIGNATED THROUGH FARE.

We ask the City of Calgary Council to refuse the proposed redesignation. The proposed density is inappropriate in this low density area. For the above reasons, please refuse the proposed redesignation of R-C1 to M-C1.

Signed:

OF SAVOI SAVOGE

Signature

CITY OF CALGARY
RECEIVED
IN COUNCIL CHAMBER

Name:

CHAO SAUNDERS - SAVOI SAUNDERS

-JUL 2 0 2015

Address:

7819 775 SW

ITEM: CPC 2015 - 100
ROCEILE FOR COFFORAL ROCE
CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT



505 78<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta T2V 0T3

Bus: 403.255.1400 Fax: 403.255.1407

Email: admin@kingslandcommunity.ca

## **QUESTIONNAIRE**

Re: LOC2014-0209 - 7812 Elbow Drive. S.W.

An application has been proposed in Kingsland that will challenge you to think about the way that Kingsland should redevelop.

The Kingsland Planning & Development Committee would like to draw your attention to a proposed Land Use Redesignation. A redesignation approval allows for a different type of development to occur on a property. In this case, an application has been submitted to the City to redesignate the property at **7812 Elbow Drive S.W.**, (immediately south of the Retina Clinic) from R-C1 to M-C1. The details of what an M-C1 redesignation would mean to the site have been summarized in the cover letter. **All access from any redevelopment will be from the rear alley.** The type of redevelopment permitted along Elbow Drive could set a precedent for redevelopment in other areas of Kingsland including along 7<sup>th</sup> Street.

The Kingsland Community Plan (KCP) does not support this application. The KCP guides the Kingsland Community Association Board and the Kingsland Planning Committee when responding to applications.

We would appreciate it if you could take some time to answer the following questions. Your answers will be used by the Kingsland Community Association to respond to the City of Calgary regarding this and future applications, and if necessary, amend the Kingsland Community Plan. A community workshop will be held on May 30, 2015 (9:00am – 2:00pm), to discuss any future amendments to the Kingsland Community Plan. Please contact Brandy MacInnis at planninglady@hotmail.com or 403-617-0976 if you plan to attend.

1)	is the n Drive?	naximum number of units you would like to see on each si	ngle family parcel or
		1 Unit per Lot - Keep the streetscape the way it is.	
		2 Units per Lot - I like the semi-detached dwellings infills communities and think this type of development would fit Kingsland on Elbow Drive.	
		3-4 Units per Lot - A small multi-family building on one lot for the context of Elbow Drive in Kingsland.	would be suitable
		Greater than 4 units per Lot - I would support the consolid development of multi-family buildings (townhouse, row hobuildings) along Elbow Drive.	

2) My position regarding the proposed redesignation application of 7812	Elbow Drive S.W. from
S fire-C1 to M-C1 is:	
In Opposition	·::
In Support 15th 15th 15th 15th 15th 15th 15th 15t	
No concerns	
Reasons for your position (please use reverse if you require additional	space):
CURPENTLY, THERE IS LIMITED VEHICLE CAPACITY OF THE REAR ALLE	BETWEEN ELBON DAWE
(EASTSIDE) AND 7 STREET SW. ASD TO ACCOMODATE MC - 1 ZOWING	THE ALLEY FROM HORMOD
DRIVE NORTH TO 77 AVENUE IS NARROW (AND NARROWER IN C	CERTAN SPOTS) AND UNPAVEL
TO HANDLE MORE CARS AND TRAFFIC. THE ALLEY WAS ONLY DES	FOR UTILLITY ACCESS
(SEWER, H2O, ELECTRIC, CABLE TELECON) AND GARBAGE PICK-UP.	
· IF MC-1 IS APPROVED, THE ALLEY WOULD NEED TO BE UPGRA	DED TO A "ROAD" TO
HANDLE 12X THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IT WAS ORIGINALLY DESIGNED	
TO BE PAUSO, SNOWPLOWED, MOVE UTILITY LIVES, AND WIL	
TWO-WAY TRAFFIC FLOW. (OUER )	
3) Do you plan to speak at Council to state your position?	
Yes, IFNEEDED	As a second
□ No	
4) Contact Information:	77.
Name: CHAO SALWOERS OF SALVO SALWOERS	
Address: 7819 7"5T SW	
Email Address: Mr. Chadsaunders @ amail. com	
1 A	

Thank you for sharing your thoughts! Kingsland thanks you for taking the time to get involved and let us know your thoughts!

· APPROVING THIS REDESIGNATION SETS A PRECEDENT THAT IS TOO WIDE REACHING, AND BASED ON AN INDETERMINATE NON-STATUATORY DOCUMENT.
· FOR EXAMPLE, I RECENTLY (DECEMBER 2014) APPLICO TO THE CITY
FOR A PERMIT TO PLACE A GARBAGE BIN AT 7819 77 ST SW. THE
PERMIT WAS APPROVED BUT THE BIN COULD ONLY BE PLACED ON
7th STREET AS THE ALLEY WAS NOT WIDE ENOUGH FOR MY BIN.
F IT IS TOO NARROW FOR A "GOT-JUNK" BW, IT IS NOT WIDE ENOUGH
FOR MC-1 ZONING (WHERE WILL MC-1 PROPERTIES AT THEIR BWS?).
· MC-1 ZOWING AZONG THIS STRETCH OF ELBOW DRIVE WILL PROVE TO
 BE UN SUSTAWABLE OVER TIME. AS MENTIONED, THE NEED TO SIGNIFICANT
 OF TRAFFIC IS EXPONSIVE AND LOGISTICALLY CHALLENGING.
· ANTHUS TALLER THAN 12 STORES ALONG ELBOW DRIVE (BETWEEN HERITHOE
 DRIVE MO 75 THAVENUE) WOULD CAST SHABOUS ON THIST PROPERTIES.
THE GRADE IS HIGHER AND SCOPES DOWN FROM ELBOW; TALL HOMES
AND 3 STOREY DWELLINGS WOULD BLOCK OFF LIGHT FOR SEUGER MONTHS
OF THE YEAR. GEOGRAPHICAL CONSIDERATIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN
DETERMINING THE MC-1 DESIGNATION. IT IS, FRANKLY, UNSUTABLE FOR THIS BLOCK. THIS IS WHY I DO NOT SUPPORT SEMI-ATTACHED 2 STOCKY INFILLS
- interes
OPPOSITION TO THIS REDESIGNATION. THIS BLOCK IS NOT THE
RIGHT PUCE. LOOM
THANK-YOU. EM LANDUSEC Killarneyglengarry. Com
MANK-YOU.  HAD SAUNDERS  Mr. Chad Saunders @ gmail. com JUST SUCCESSFULLY DEFENTED  A SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT  A SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT  A SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT  A SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT  AND RICHMOND ROAD.
HO SAUNDERS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION THE SUCCESSFULLY DEFENTED
Mr. Chad Saunders @ omail. Com Just of Development AT
mr. chad Saunders @ gmail. com JUST SUCCESSFULLY ACT A SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT A SIMILAR DEVELOPM
MIKE A COPY OF THIS IN ON TIME, I WAS UNABLE TO MIKE A COPY OF THIS LETTER, CAN YOU PLEASE SCAN/COPY
IT AND EMAIL IT TO ME, IF IT IS NOT TOO MUCH TROUBLE?
-THANK YOU B

- · APPROVING THIS REDESIGNATION SETS A PRECEDENT THAT IS TOO WIDE REACHING, AND BASED ON AN INDETERMINATE NON-STATUATORY DOCUMENT. · FOR EXAMPLE, I RECENTLY (DECEMBER 2014) APPLIED TO THE CITY FOR A PERMIT TO PLACE A GARBAGE BIN AT 7819 7TH ST SW. THE PERMIT WAS APPROVED BUT THE BIN COULD ONLY BE PLACED ON 7th STREET AS THE ALLEY WAS NOT WIDE ENOUGH FOR MY BIN. |FIT IS TOO NARROW FOR A "GOT-JUNK" BW, IT IS NOT WIDE ENOUGH FOR MC-1 ZONING (WHERE WILL MC-1 PROPERTIES PUT THEIR BUS?) · MC-1 ZOWING ALONG THIS STRETCH OF ELBOW DRIVE WILL PROVE TO BE UN SUSTAWABLE OVER TIME. AS MENTIONED, THE NEED TO SIGNIFICATILY UPGRADE AND REPORTE THE ALLEY TO TAKE ON THE INCREASED VOLUME OF TRAFFIC 13 EXPENSIVE AND LOGISTICALLY CHALLENGING. · ANTHUS TALLER THAN 12 STOREYS ALONG ELBOW DRIVE (BETWEEN HERITADE DRIVE MO 75TH AVENUE) WOULD CAST SHABOUS ON THIST PROPERTIES. THE GRADE 13 HIOHER AND SLOPES DOWN FROM ELBOW; TALL HOMES AND 3 STORCY DWELLINGS WOULD BLOCK OFF LIGHT FOR SEUGER MONTHS OF THE YEAR. GEOGRAPHICAL CONSIDERATIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN DETERMINING THE MC-1 DESIGNATION. IT IS, FRANKLY, UNSUITABLE FOR THIS BLOCK. THIS ISWAY I DO NOT SUPPORT SEMI-ATTMEHED 2 STOREY INFILLS · I AM HAPPY TO ATTEND AND OR SPEAK AT CIM COUNCIL IN SUPPORT MORE DENSITY BUT OPPOSITION TO THIS REDESIGNATION. THIS BLOCK IS NOT THE RIGHT PURE. En Lanousec killarneyglengarry. COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION · THANK-YOU. JUST SUCCESSFULLY DEFENTED ( Ho Saunders SIMILAR DEVELOPMENT AT mr. chad saunders@gmail.com ph 403-919-3904 33THST AND RICHMOND ROAD. -GiJSMULDER, WKULMENEY. . PS- IN ORDER TO GET THIS IN ON TIME, I WAS UNABLE TO MIKE A COPY OF THIS LETTER. CAN YOU PLEASE SCAN/COPY
  - IT AND EMAIL IT TO ME, IF IT IS NOT TOO MUCH TROUBLE? -THANK YOU B