From: darlenerobb@outlook.com
To: Public Submissions

Subject: [EXT] 85 MONTROSE CR NE - LOC2021-0024 - Comment from Development Map - Fri 7/9/2021 2:55:5 PM

Date: Friday, July 9, 2021 2:55:08 PM

Application: LOC2021-0024

Submitted by: Darlene Robb

Contact Information

Address: 8 Marsden Road NE

Phone:

Email: darlenerobb@outlook.com

Feedback:

I do not feel having 4 units will benefit the community. With several units being built where the old Midfield Park use to be and the re-development of the Ambassador Motel. There will be traffic problems on 16th Ave. Edmonton Trail, Centre Street, 6th Street. These developments are changing our community in many ways, not all of them in a good way. Trying to fit in as many people in every square foot is not the way to go. Thank you

From: denise-jonasson@shaw.ca
To: Public Submissions

Subject: [EXT] 85 MONTROSE CR NE - LOC2021-0024 - Comment from Development Map - Thu 7/15/2021 8:16:18 PM

Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:16:27 PM

Application: LOC2021-0024

Submitted by: Denise Jonasson

Contact Information

Address: 79 Montrose Crescent NE

Phone: 403-830-7442

Email: denise-jonasson@shaw.ca

Feedback:

I would like to the designation of that property to stay as is. Whether it is a duplex, single-detached, or semi-detached is fine.

Changing to 3-4 units and to 3 floor high is too much for this area, it does not fit in as most changes have been to duplexes, two maximum.

Our street already has problems with parking and traffic and a change would add to this problem.

From: pilgrimd@shaw.ca
To: Public Submissions

Subject: [EXT] 85 MONTROSE CR NE - LOC2021-0024 - Comment from Development Map - Sun 7/18/2021 9:33:54 PM

Date: Sunday, July 18, 2021 9:34:01 PM

Application: LOC2021-0024

Submitted by: David Pilgrim

Contact Information

Address: 80 Montrose Crescent NE

Phone:

Email: pilgrimd@shaw.ca

Feedback:

I don't think that the details given on the proposed change of use sign on the lawn at 85 Montrose Crescent NE should be approved. The number of units and size are much more than allowed by the current zoning and out of place for the rest of the neighbourhood. I think any redevelopment of the property should be within the existing zoning. Sign says to mention Bylaw 109D2021 in the comments.