Summary and Outcome of City of Calgary Value Management (VM) Studies (2009-2014)

Value ≈	Function		<u>†</u>	<u>†</u>	<u>†</u>	
	Resources	Cost Reduction Approach	Function Increase Approach	Compound Approach	Expand Growth Approach	

<u> </u>												A	pproach Approach	Approach
)15-048	Year	Name of Study	Sponsoring BU	Est. Investment at the time of this VM (C\$)	Phase of Project	# of Days (hours)	# of Participants	Cost of Study (C\$)	% Cost of VM of Est. Investment	Number of New Ideas Generated	Capital/ Operating Cost Avoidance/ Increase (C\$)	Total Cost Avoidance	ROI (Benefit: Cost)	Value Summary
2015-0484 ATT3.pdf	2009	Nose Creek Sanitary Sewer Management	Water Resources	77.0M	Preliminary Design	5 days (40 hrs)	15	118,500.00	0.15%	New ideas: 83 Accepted ideas: 17 (21%) for implementation	Capital cost avoidance: 13.5M O&M cost increased: 5.0M LCC decreased: 8.5M Life cycle increased from year 2035 to 2075	11%	72:1	$V = \frac{\uparrow}{\downarrow}$
	2009	NW LRT Extension (Crowfoot-Tuscany)	Transportation Infrastructure	56.0M (Station area and park n' ride)	30% Detailed Design	4 days (32 hrs)	8	65,000.00	0.11%	New ideas: 60 Accepted ideas: 18 (30%)	Capital cost avoidance: 6.1M O&M cost avoidance: 1.15M LCC decreased: 7.25M	13%	112:1	$V = \frac{\uparrow}{\downarrow}$ Creation of Automation Master Plan $V = {\downarrow}$
	2010	Automation Strategy	Water Resources	7.0M	Strategic Planning	3 days (24 hrs)	24	72,500.00	1.03%	New ideas: 154	Strategic direction, stakeholder alignment, clarified scope	N/A	N/A	Creation of Automation Master Plan
	2011	Biosolids Management Strategy (3 year short term)	Water Resources	10.0M/ year	Operational Program	3 days (24 hrs)	18	90,000.00	0.30%	New ideas: 103 Accepted ideas: 25 (24%)	3 year operational cost avoidance: 5.8M	19.33%	64:1	$V = {\downarrow}$
	2012	Organics Solid Waste Site Location Selection and Risk Analysis	Waste & Recycling Services	150.0 M (program)	Strategic Planning	3.5 days (28 hrs)	17	90,000.00	0.06%	Site location reviewed: 38 Developed: 3 Selected: 1	Capital investment: 3.26M O& M cost: 10.0 M LCC: 13.26M (lowest of all the three developed from cost and risk perspective)	Reduced Ops. Cost and Risk	N/A	$V = \frac{\uparrow}{\downarrow}$
		Overanies Site	Waste & Dagueling	150.0M (program)	Site Planning	2 days (24				New ideas: 24	Capital cost avoidance: 7.24M			V =
	2012	Organics Site Development Study	Waste & Recycling Services	45.6M (project)	(Functional Design)	3 days (24 hrs)	14	79,000.00	0.17%	Accepted ideas: 14 (58%)		16%	92:1	v -
	2012	East Calgary Landfill Cell Design	Waste & Recycling Services	6.0M	Preliminary Design	3.5 days (28 hrs)	12	63,000.00	1.05%	New ideas: 105 Accepted ideas: 20 (19%)	Capital cost avoidance: 1.73M	29%	28:1	V = -
	2013	Organics Composting Facility	Waste & Recycling Services	166.0M	Functional Design	5 days (40 hrs)	16	87,000.00	0.05%	New ideas: 60 Accepted ideas: 30 (50%)	LCC avoidance: 21.0M	12.65%	241:1	V = 1
Page 1 of 2	2013	Biosolids Dewatering Facility	Water Resources	60.0M	Preliminary Design	4.5 days (35 hrs)	18	95,000.00	0.16%	New ideas: 50 Accepted ideas: 11 (22%), for implementation	Capital cost increased: 4.1M O&M Cost decreased: 3.6M LCC increased: 500K + Rework by consultant: 100K	(1.36%) Marginal increase in LCC	(6):1; Significant functional improvement was achieved in the project	$V = \frac{\uparrow}{\downarrow}$ $V = \frac{\uparrow}{\uparrow}$

Summary and Outcome of City of Calgary Value Management (VM) Studies (2009-2014)

Value ≈	Function		<u>†</u>	<u> </u>	<u>†</u>	
value ≈	Resources	Cost Reduction Approach	Function Increase Approach	Compound Approach	Expand Growth Approach	

റ –													арргоаси Арргоаси	Approach
015-0484	/ear	Name of Study	Sponsoring BU	Est. Investment at the time of this VM (C\$)	Phase of Project	# of Days (hours)	# of Participants	Cost of Study (C\$)	% Cost of VM of Est. Investment	Number of New Ideas Generated	Capital/ Operating Cost Avoidance/ Increase (C\$)	Total Cost Avoidance	ROI (Benefit: Cost)	Value Summary
₽	2013	Quarry Park Rec. Centre	CNS	47.0M	Preliminary Design	5 days (40 hrs)	10	53,000.00	0.11%	New Ideas: 48 Accepted Ideas: 22 (46%)	Capital Cost Avoidance: 7.0M	15%	132:1	$V = {\downarrow}$
T3 ndf	2013	Rocky Ridge Rec. Centre	CNS	135.0M	Preliminary Design	5 days (40 hrs)	10	53,000.00	0.04%	New Ideas: 98 Accepted Ideas: 72 (73.5%)	Capital Cost Avoidance: 10.0M	7.40%	189:1	$V = {\downarrow}$
	2013	Rocky Ridge Rec. Centre	CNS	135.0M	Detailed Design (80%)	5 days (40 hrs)	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2013	Great Plains Rec. Centre	CNS	33.0M	Preliminary Design	3 days (24 hrs)	14	10,000.00	0.03%	New Ideas: 25 Accepted Ideas: 25 (100%)	Capital Cost Avoidance: 8.0M	24%	800:1	$V = {\downarrow}$
	2014	Seton Rec. Centre	CNS	154.0M	Preliminary Design	4 days (32 hrs)	14	35,000.00	0.02%	New Ideas: 78 Accepted Ideas: 53 (68%)	Capital Cost Avoidance: 19.9M	13%	569:1	$V = {\downarrow}$
	2014	Glenmore Dam Infrastructure Improvements	Water Resources	65.0M	Preliminary Design (30%)	4 days (32 hrs)	19	120,000.00	0.18%	New Ideas: 180 Accepted ideas: 22 (12%)	Capital cost avoidance: 15.0M (approx.) Significant reduction in schedule and service disruption	23%	125:1	V = ↑
	2014	Bonnybrook WWT Plant D Expansion	Water Resources	523.0M	Preliminary Design (15%)	5 days (40 hrs)	18	130,000.00	0.02%	New Ideas:201 Accepted ideas:40 (20%)	Capital cost avoidance: 36.5M LCC avoidance 45.0M	7%	280:1	$V = \frac{\uparrow}{\downarrow}$