Williams, Debbie D. (City Clerk's)

CPC2015-208 ATTACH 2 • LETTER 1

From: Sent: Lily Wong [wongll@telus.net] Thursday, November 26,

To:

Williams, Debbie D. (City Clerk's)

Subject:

Fwd: Application for Proposed Land Use Amendment: LOC2015-0120

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lily Wong <wongll@telus.net>

Subject: Application for Proposed Land Use Amendment: LOC2015-0120

Date: September 15, 2015 at 12:42:47 AM MDT

To: Michael.Angrove@calgary.ca

Cc: Ward04@calgary.ca

Dear Mr. Angrove,

We am writing to express our opposition to the proposed land use amendment (re-zoning) in Edgemont located at 220 Edenwold Drive NW (LOC2015-0120) from R-C1 to R-C1s. We are the owners of a house across the street from the applicant's side yard. There are several reasons we believe the re-zoning should not be allowed:

- 1. This is an "estate" neighbourhood. We pay higher taxes to live here and we paid more to live here. When we built this house over 30 years ago we did so knowing it was zoned RC-1 and would not have to contend with neighbours with an income suite. This would most definitely devalue our neighbourhood. This is not a rental area. If you look on rent <u>faster.ca</u> the only rentals in the area are executive rentals where the whole house is rented out or they are units in the condominium complex across from the strip mall. There are other areas of Edgemont that is conducive to an income suite, not here.
- 2. If the applicant is successful in getting her house re-zoned, it may encourage others in our neighbourhood to do so too. This would change the neighbourhood as a whole.
- 3. The applicant already has a massage therapy business operating in the house. We are already being lenient with this. Parking at times is an issue.
- 4. The applicant already has boarders living there. There appears to be a transient population living in that house already; we see different people coming and going all the time. Again, parking at times is an issue.
- 5. The applicant may already be renting her basement suite out. On <u>rentfaster.ca</u>. she is advertising for a 3 month minimal stay. This also encourages transient tenants. The tenants, again, can make parking an issue.
- 6. With the R-C1s designation, the applicant would be allowed to build a backyard suite as well. This would most certainly allow her to turn her single family house into a multi-unit house with a business even more so. Once she has the R-C1s designation, the number of rental units on her property is not limited.

We have lived in Edgemont for over 30 years and love this community of estate, single family homes. We would not feel comfortable living so close to a house that has so many transients, where we do not know their identity. This is especially true for families with small children. We would like to think we live in a safe

neighbourhood but having multiple short-term renters would make that more difficult to achieve. We hope you can see it from our point of view and reject the applicant's request to have her property re-zoned from RC-1 to RC-1s.

Please contact me if you require any clarification with any of the above.

Thank you for your time.

Lily and Willey Wong 8 Edelweiss Rd NW

p.s. I have cc'd Sean Chu (councillor, Ward 4)