CPC2016-023 Attachment 2 Letter 1

Albrecht, Linda

From:	Maureen Franklin [mofrank@telusplanet.net]
Sent:	Sunday, January 24, 2016 7:47 PM
То:	City Clerk
Cc:	Maureen Franklin
Subject:	35 Southland Cres SW R-C1 to R-C1s

To Whom It May Concern

We are strongly against the application to allow approval for a secondary suite at 35 Southland Crescent.

Parking on Southland Crescent is already a huge problem with the existing residents having difficulty parking on the crescent. With the opening of Starbucks and 7 Eleven there has been a huge increase in the amount of cars flying down the crescent from both of these establishments.

There has been numerous near collisions with the people speeding down the crescent narrowly missing parked resident vehicles.

It has also been extremely difficult just getting out of our own garage as of the increased traffic.

There have been delivery trucks, city trucks, other vehicles impeding on entrances to the back alley and blocking your vision just getting onto Southland Crescent.

Southland Crescent is not designed to have increased traffic let alone motorists speeding downtime crescent. The road is to narrow and at crescent with residents parking on both ides of the crescent it can be difficult just getting around.

It is unfortunate that the owner 35 Southland Crescent does not live at this residence but is allowed to apply for an application for a secondary suite. He has never lived there and just wants to have it as an income property and residents who have lived here for many years have to tolerate this.

We know first hand what it is like to live beside a property that had an illegal suite and the amount cars at that residence was unbelievable and they parked wherever even in front of our driveway

If you allow secondary suites then where will these people park when it is already a huge problem.

The Southland LRT is close by but no one rides transit as they all take their own vehicles to get around.

The owner at 35 Southland Crescent rents out his garage to a commercial company which when they are there blocks the alleyway and makes it impossible to get around to get to your garage.

There have been numerous occasions where you have to completely back all the way down and delivery trucks, city trucks blocking the exits.

You say it is only one application for a secondary suite but it is one too many.

We strongly urge you to keep Southland Crescent as a single residential dwelling.

Maureen Franklin



Smith, Theresa L.

From: Sent:	C & G Hamel [cghamel@telusplanet.net] Wednesday, January 27, 2016 3:09 PM
То:	City Clerk
Cc:	Wasser, Ezra T.; Constituent Liaison - Ward 11
Subject:	FW: Re-zoning R-C1s: Secondary Suite at 35 Southland Cres SW, Calgary, AB

Attention: Sir or Madam

It appears that the application to re-zone is continuing at the above-stated address,

We are submitting our earlier comments when the application process began. Our position has not changed, we still are **opposed**. Rental vacancy rates have increased in Calgary – I believe higher than the national average – we wish to restate, the need to put in a secondary suite seems unnecessary.

There are not many homes in our cul-de-sac and we already feel over populated, why is there a need to increase it more? We sincerely hope that the city would consider this re-zoning seriously. With a second request to submit our concerns, we feel that points we have raised will not be listened to.

Council-man Pincott is an advocate for secondary suites but his constituents don't all feel the same. Maybe he should live in our homes for a while to see the issues first hand.

Thank you,

Grant and Cleo Hamel

From: C & G Hamel [mailto:cghamel@telusplanet.net]
Sent: November 7, 2015 10:20 AM
To: 'Ezra.Wasser@calgary.ca'
Cc: ward11@calgary.ca
Subject: Re-zoning R-C1s: Secondary Suite at 35 Southland Cres SW, Calgary, AB

RECEIVED 2016 JAN 27 PM 3: 25 THE CITY OF CALGAR'S CITY CLERK'S

November 7, 2015

Attention: Ezra Wasser (ezra.wasser@calgary.ca)

RE: Secondary Suite at 35 Southland Cres SW, Calgary, AB

It has come to our attention that the owner of 35 Southland Cres SW in the community of Southwood in Calgary, Alberta, is planning to create a secondary suite in the residence.

Let us begin by stating our **disapproval of this idea**; we do not feel it will be a positive addition to our street. As residents of this street for over 20 years we have seen the neighborhood change from all homeowners to now with approximately 5 rentals. With the change from homeowner to rental properties we have seen an increase in transient tenants, a lack of pride in the property and an increase in parking problems.

Most recently, we have reluctantly accepted the shopping plaza at the top of the street and with that we had to move to permitted parking, which for those of us that do not have a garage have had to deal with the inability to park in front of our own homes.

This property is already being rented; we do not understand why it has to be rented further by way of a secondary suite. We don't wish to prevent anyone from making money but the current rental climate shows that there are plenty of vacancies in the city of Calgary. It is not necessary to increase the population density of this particular neighborhood at this time.

We would hope that serious consideration be given to the validity of this re-zoning request and consider the integrity of the neighborhood before the financial gain of the owner.

Sincerely,

Grant and Cleo Hamel 48 Southland Cres SW Calgary, AB