

**Smith, Theresa L.**

---

**From:** LINDA WESTBURY [linda.westbury@shaw.ca]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 9:35 AM  
**To:** City Clerk  
**Subject:** Opposition to the Re-Designation at 2052 Birch Crescent S.E.

Office of the City Clerk

I live across the street from 2052 Birch Crescent S.E. I am 84 years old and have lived in my home for 58 years and I oppose the re-designation of 2052 BIRCH CRESCENT S.E. due to the following reasons:

- Birch Crescent is already experiencing a higher volume of traffic off of 26th Street S.E. Cars speed up the crescent and ignore the Yield traffic device. This is especially compounded during higher peak driving times. The cars are turning off of 26th Street S.E. thru Birch Crescent S.E. due to the no left turn traffic device at 19th Avenue S.E. Birch Crescent S.E. is the next available left turn and quicker access into the S.E. from downtown instead of using 17th Avenue S.E. which experiences a larger volume of traffic.
- Birch Crescent S.E. has a larger population of elderly residences as does 19th Avenue S.E. which has a Senior Living Complex.
- 2052 Birch Crescent S.E. does not have enough parking for zoning to change to R-C1s. Although the driveway is long it is narrow and only accessible for a single driveway. A second residence would impact the street parking and impact residences around them. Again the elderly residences need their street parking.
- The owners of 2052 Birch Crescent S.E. do not look after their property. Adding a second residence would only compound the problem. As the driveway is large they do not shovel it or the even the small front sidewalk in the winter. This has been a serious problem for many years for the elderly accessing Birch Crescent S.E. Also, in the spring and summer they do not maintain their residence. Their grass does not get mowed regularly, dandelions in the spring are not looked after and weeds in their front yard never get maintained. The residences on Birch Crescent take pride in their yards and this residence is one of the worst. Adding an additional building would definitely compound this problem.

For the above reasons, I respectfully ask that this re-designation be denied.

Resident Owner  
June Watt Westbury  
2041 28 Street S.E.  
Calgary, Alberta

RECEIVED

2016 FEB 25 AM 9:46

THE CITY OF CALGARY  
CITY CLERK'S

**Smith, Theresa L.**

---

**From:** LINDA WESTBURY [linda.westbury@shaw.ca]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 9:14 AM  
**To:** City Clerk  
**Subject:** Opposition to the Re-Designation of 2052 Birch Crescent S.E.

Office of the City Clerk

I oppose the re-designation of 2052 BIRCH CRESCENT S.E. due to the following reasons:

- Birch Crescent is already experiencing a higher volume of traffic off of 26th Street S.E. Cars speed up the crescent and ignore the Yield traffic device. This is especially compounded during higher peak driving times. The cars are turning off of 26th Street S.E. thru Birch Crescent S.E. due to the no left turn traffic device at 19th Avenue S.E. Birch Crescent S.E. is the next available left turn and quicker access into the S.E. from downtown instead of using 17th Avenue S.E. which experiences a larger volume of traffic.
- Birch Crescent S.E. has a larger population of elderly residences as does 19th Avenue S.E. which has a Senior Living Complex.
- 2052 Birch Crescent S.E. does not have enough parking for zoning to change to R-C1s. Although the driveway is long it is narrow and only accessible for a single driveway. A second residence would impact the street parking and impact residences around them. Again the elderly residences need their street parking.
- The owners of 2052 Birch Crescent S.E. do not look after their property. Adding a second residence would only compound the problem. As the driveway is large, in the winter they do not shovel it or the even the small front sidewalk. This has been a serious problem for many years for the elderly accessing Birch Crescent S.E. Also, in the spring and summer they do not maintain their residence. Their grass does not get mowed regularly, dandelions in the spring are not looked after and weeds in their front are yard never get maintained. The residences on Birch Crescent take pride in their yards and this residence is one of the worst. Adding an additional building would definitely compound this problem.

For the above reasons, I respectfully ask that this re-designation be denied.

Resident Owners of 2060 Birch Crescent S.E.  
Lois Chambers, Debra Beitel, Linda Westbury

RECEIVED  
2016 FEB 25 AM 9:16  
THE CITY OF CALGARY  
CITY CLERK'S