CPC2016-074
Attachment 2
Letter 1

From:Leo JanseSent:WednesdaTo:City ClerkCc:'Kathy JanSubject:Affected a

Leo Jansen [leojansen@shaw.ca] Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:57 PM City Clerk 'Kathy Jansen' Affected and opposed to application to redesignate site municipality from R-C1 to R-C1s

As a neighbour living in Edgebrook Circle, we urge you to decline the application to re-designate the site municipality located at 179 Edgebrook Circle NW, from R-C1 to R-C1s.

This is in reference to a Notice - Land Use Bylaw Amendment that was posted on a property just down the street from our residence.

The posting refers to an application under Bylaw #73D2016, being made to re-designate the site municipality located at 179 Edgebrook Circle NW, from R-C1 to R-C1s.

We have lived at 211 Edgebrook Circle NW for over 20 years. We chose to live in this area based on location, schools, amenities and because it is zoned for single dwellings (not several families living under the same roof). It is a family oriented community where children feel safe walking throughout the neighbourhood and playing outside.

Re-designating the zoning in this area to R-C1s opens up the opportunity for this community to turn their homes into multi-dwelling rental opportunities, which negatively impacts the area. This includes increased traffic and congested parking in a relatively confined Circle, greater opportunity for the influx of transients into the suites which has obvious negative implications, and the re-zoning may ultimately negatively affect the value of our properties.

Based on the City of Calgary website, the Secondary Suites Grant Program is no longer accepting applications. As funding for the Secondary Suite Grant Program is now fully allocated, no additional applications are being accepted. The website states that the program was designed to stimulate the development of <u>affordable housing</u> through the provision of incentives, and that the program has provided funding for the development 240 secondary suites. As the program is no longer accepting applications would suggest that there is presently no longer an urgent need for additional (affordable) housing.

As a neighbour living in Edgebrook Circle, we believe that we would be negatively affected by this amendment, and urge you to decline the application.

Regards Leo and Kathy Jansen 211 Edgebrook Circle NW Calgary, AB 403-547-0600 <u>leojansen@shaw.ca</u>

RECEIVED

CPC2016-074	
Attachment 2	
Letter 2	

From:	Fenpou [fenpou@telus.net]
Sent:	Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:34 PM
To:	City Clerk
Subject:	Proposed redesignation of RC-1 to RC-1s at 179 Edgebrook CI N.W.

Good Afternoon,

I am writing this in response of the plan to re designate RC-1 to RC-1s in my neighborhood. This is for the address of 179 Edgebrook CI N.W.

I do not want this re designation to proceed.

I have purchased in this area because suites are **not permitted** in **RC-1** zones. This will decrease my property value, increase traffic, parking, and possibility of ever changing tenants. Please leave the zones as they were designated.

Thank you,

D. Poulin

# RECEIVED MAR 30 PM 3: 32

2016 MAR 30 PM 3: 32 THE CITY OF CALGARY CITY CLERK'S

1

CPC2016-074 Attachment 2 Letter 3

From: Sent: To: Subject: Karl & Linda Olsen [kdolmo@shaw.ca] Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:26 AM City Clerk FW: LOC2015-0196 and Bylaw 73D2016 Opposed to Soning Change

This is for the Council meeting on April 11,

I am writing to you with hope that you will not allow a rezoning of property on our street. We are a neighborhood that has been zoned as R1 and that is why I moved to this neighborhood and street in 1997.We have been paying taxes all these years , which reflect that zoning. We are a street and a community that values family, and education. We have lived on this street and community watching our own and our neighbors children grow up together and now watching all of them turning into wonderful citizens of Calgary as they complete their final years of University and technical schools. We have a lovely, diverse vibrant street and community. Our street welcomes people that are renters, in fact over the last 9 years our next door neighbors have been a variety of families that have rented the whole home and it is occupied by renters now. Over the past 9 years we have enjoyed getting to know these families from Australia, England, Eastern Canada, and Trinidad . We feel if we change the zoning it will change the complexion of our street and community. The city has recently taken out the little child play set in our park on the street and just put grass in there. The notice said to visit the park 4 kilometers away if we wanted a play structure. This was a concern about the impact it would have on families on our street. We felt this had the potential to begin to erode the fabric of our street and community Many of us felt that this was a start of reducing the quality of life we have known and now to be considering allowing a re-zoning. It would force us to have to seriously consider leaving. I do not understand why the perceived needs of this one homeowner who wants to generate more revenue should rank higher than the interests of a community ,street that have been in this neighborhood for close to 20 years , calling it home, and contributing to the success of the neighborhood ie Sir Winston Churchill school top rankings. We are very concerned about the parking , on a regular day there can be excess on the streets that is without the addition of basement renters. This home also backs on to a green space. It is my understanding that a green space has a restrictive covenant not permitting fencing other than chain link in order to maintain the aesthetic appeal. How will this be impacted if the back yard is converted to allow independent space for the renters as well as the primary home owner? Our community has a lot of rentals available, as I mentioned many homes are rental homes and just a few blocks away are two separate condo complexes that are always featuring rental notices. Once again, I beg you to consider my appeal to you. Please do not allow this re-zoning to occur.

Thanking you in advance, Linda MacIver Olsen and Karl Olsen 219 Edgebrook Circle NW T3A 5A2 403-547-9239

> RECEIVED 2016 MAR 31 AM 8: 38 THE CITY OF CALGARY CITY CLERK'S

1

From: Sent:	Dave Moroz [morozd@telusplanet.net] Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:34 AM
То:	City Clerk
Cc:	'Dave Moroz'
Subject:	Land Use Bylaw Objection 179 Edgebrook Circle N.W.

This is in regard to the Land Use Bylaw Amendment application for re-zoning at 179 Edgebrook Circle N.W. The neighbourhood was designed, built and designated as RC-1 and should remain that way. There are plenty of higher density areas in Edgemont that are intended to accommodate multi-family residences.

I purchased this property in as an RC-1 property as it is family oriented with lots of children playing within the circle, and want it to stay that way.

I was also disappointed that the application was not <u>sent</u> to all the residences of the Edgebrook Circle/Courts so all owners could determine whether this should be approved (a notice on the lawn is not appropriate).

I am objecting to this for the following reasons.

- 1. This is an enclosed area (Edgebrook Circle) and parking for additional tenants would cause congestion.
- 2. The neighbourhood dynamics would change as renters do not have the same attitude as property owners.
- 3. This would reduce property values.
- 4. Additional traffic on our enclosed circle (lots of blind corners) would increase the opportunity for children to be hit by cars.

I truly believe there is not a good reason to change the zoning in the neighbourhood and encourage you to decline this application.

Your consideration is appreciated.

David Moroz 228 Edgebrook Court N.W. (within Edgebrook Circle) Calgary, AB T3A 5A3

403-241-3648

RECEIVED 2016 MAR 31 AM 8: 39 2016 MAR 31 AM 8: 39