

## A DEVELOPMENT TOO FAR RICHMOND GREEN LAND SALE

## **WRONG ROAD**

2017 2017 Division 2: Mixed Use - General (MU-11#h#d#) District

(2)

The Mixed Use - General District is intena

Part 14: Mixed Use Districts

purpose 1365 (1) Mined Use - Ceneral District is mended to: Mined Use - Ceneral District is mended to: the located along commercial streage where both residential uses and commercial uses are supported a grade facing the commercial streage. THE MU-1 ZONING DOES NOT APPLY TO A NON-COMMERCIAL STREET SUCH AS SARCEE ROAD.

# WRONG LOCATION

Urban Structure

A

THIS TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT BELONGS ON A MAIN STREET OR WITHIN AN ACTIVITY CENTRE. THE AREA AROUND RICHMOND GREEN IS NEITHER.

## WRONG MANDATE

The Key Directions for Land Use and Mobility are:

established and greenfield communities.

3. Direct land use change within a framework of

6. Develop a Primary Transit Network.

Activity Centres and Main Streets.

4. Link land use decisions to transit.

7. Create Complete Streets.

8. Optimize infrastructure.

5. Increase mobility choices.

2. Provide more choice within complete

1. Achieve a balance of growth between

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN **KEY DIRECTION #3 "DIRECT LAND USE CHANGE WITHIN A** FRAMEWORK OF ACTIVITY CENTRES AND MAIN STREETS."





## AN EXCESS OF COMMERCIAL AND MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT ACROSS THE CITY

## **WRONG PRIORITY**

EXTENSIVE TRACTS OF RAW LAND REMAIN UNDEVELOPED IN CURRIE BARRACKS AND ADJACENT TO THE WESTBROOK LRT STATION



# WRONG (NO) CERTAINTY

## SO MANY UNKNOWNS

- WATER RESOURCE'S NEEDS
- ROAD ALIGNMENT
- ACTUAL AMOUNT OF GREEN
  SPACE
- CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT

- REMEDIATION COSTS
- MASTER PLAN REQUIREMENTS
- BASEBALL'S LOST HOURS
- CANADA LANDS BUY-IN



## **JUST PLAIN WRONG**

PROPOSED

### AMENDMENTS TO CFB WEST MASTER PLAN

- RESIDENTIAL  $\neq$  MIXED USE
- THEY CAN'T HAVE IT BOTH WAYS



### **Public Submission**

City Clerk's Office

In accordance with sections 43 through 45 of Procedure Bylaw 35M2017, the information provided may be included in the written record for Council and Council Committee meetings which are publicly available through <u>www.calgary.ca/ph</u>. Comments that are disrespectful or do not contain required information may not be included.

### FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act of Alberta, and/ or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 230 and 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making. Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council agenda. If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

1	have read and understand that my name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council agenda.	Мy
	mail address will not be included in the public record.	

First name (required)	James
Last name (required)	Dunne
What do you want to do? (required)	Submit a comment
Public hearing item (required - max 75 characters)	Richmond Green
Date of meeting	Jun 21, 2021
Comments - please refrain from providing personal information in this field (maximum 2500 characters)	When I first heard about the proposal to sell off part of our precious park I thought it couldn't be true. Who would want to decrease the space available to people in the midst of a large city? The reason for this, I am told, was that the city had closed the golf course to save money. The logical connection here escapes me. Surely if you are already saving money there is no need to sell off park space? On the subject of the golf course I was struck by a remark of one councillor at the finance meeting. He said that the users of the park should be happy because the city was adding 22 acres to the park. The problem here is that the golf course, the grass and trees have always felt like they were part of the green space, visually and psychologically. No addition here! Perhaps, the city wants to increase density and tax income from the area. Look south of the park and you see 20 to 30 thousand people living where the grassland of Currie Barracks once stood. Look east along 33 Ave and you see the condos and apartments in Marda Loop area. Walk two blocks to Richmond Road and you see single family houses replaced by six unit developments. Density and city tax income surround this precious park already. The contaminated city works yard is a liability and cannot be relied on for anything but problems. The golf course area will probably have to remain as it is, grass and trees surrounded by a fence to secure the water supply. When I walk to the park from my house I am welcomed by a feeling of green space, grass and trees. Building on this area will destroy this psychological and visual effect and will seriously diminish the park and the neighborhood for ever. I think it is clear that the vast majority of the people who live around the park and use it

DISCLAIMER

1/2

This document is strictly private, confidential and personal to its recipients and should not be copied, distributed or reproduced in whole or in part, nor passed to any third party.

Jun 21, 2021

1:03:15 PM



### **Public Submission**

City Clerk's Office

are opposed to this proposal. What good reason will you give if you vote to override their wishes We like this precious place as it is. Please don't destroy it. James Dunne Rutland Park resident

DISCLAIMER This document is strictly private, confidential and personal to its recipients and should not be copied. Jun 21, 2021 distributed or reproduced in whole or in part, nor passed to any third party 1:03:15 PM

2/2



1

### **Public Submission**

City Clerk's Office

In accordance with sections 43 through 45 of Procedure Bylaw 35M2017, the information provided may be included in the written record for Council and Council Committee meetings which are publicly available through www.calgary.ca/ph. Comments that are disrespectful or do not contain required information may not be included.

#### FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PROTECTION OF PRIVACY ACT

Personal information provided in submissions relating to matters before Council or Council Committees is collected under the authority of Bylaw 35M2017 and Section 33(c) of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act of Alberta, and/ or the Municipal Government Act (MGA) Section 230 and 636, for the purpose of receiving public participation in municipal decision-making. Your name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council agenda. If you have questions regarding the collection and use of your personal information, please contact City Clerk's Legislative Coordinator at 403-268-5861, or City Clerk's Office, 700 Macleod Trail S.E., P.O. Box 2100, Postal Station 'M' 8007, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M5.

I have read and understand that my name and comments will be made publicly available in the Council agenda. My

### email address will not be included in the public record. First name (required) Fern and Sig Last name (required) Slotboom What do you want to do? Request to speak, Submit a comment (required) Public hearing item (required richmond green park max 75 characters) Date of meeting Jun 21, 2021 1- Today cities are trying to green up spaces, and Calgary wants to rip out existing parkland. This regional park has been in use for decades. 2- Lucky for us that this council was not in office when Glenmore park and other parks were created, or we would have development there too. 3-This city is great because of our parks. 4-The city closes down lanes for increased public use of parks and pathways. You want to make parks smaller and rip them out to increase density. SHAME ON YOU! 5-Perhaps those of you on this council not seeking reelection should not vote on this matter. You have no skin in the game. This needs to be presented as an election debate. Do you really think citizens today will vote for an individual who wants to rip out parkland has a chance to be reelected.??!! Maybe have a plebiscite? 6- Finally, Richmond Green Park is heavily used by all ages and surrounding commu-Comments - please refrain from nities. The city has all of Garrison to develop. providing personal information in Richmond Green Golf course should remain open for golf for the public. People in this field (maximum 2500 Calgary are putting an emphasis on being outdoors. It is one of the cheaper recrecharacters) ational operations to run and to use. This course is one of the only small golf course in Calgary and is used by children, seniors and schools. Don't start taking away recreational opportunities form Calgarians. There is a reservoir under this land and it has been recently redesigned when the city had to redo the reservoirs. Let us use this land as a golf course. We paid for it and have supported it for decades. DISCLAIMER

This document is strictly private, confidential and personal to its recipients and should not be copied. distributed or reproduced in whole or in part, nor passed to any third party.

1/2

Jun 21, 2021

2:03:50 PM



### **Public Submission**

City Clerk's Office

I question the details regarding closing the course. I don't believe it was under used. I think that the city has been trying to get this land around the park for development for years. If this was Stanley Park lets see how much outrage there would be! Or is this the first of many land development grabs!

Please keep Richmond Green Golf course going for people now and future generations Try getting a Tee time anywhere?! Sincerely, Fern and Sig Slotboom

DISCLAIMER

This document is strictly private, confidential and personal to its recipients and should not be copied, distributed or reproduced in whole or in part, nor passed to any third party.

Jun 21, 2021

2:03:50 PM