Applicant Outreach Summary



Community Outreach on Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

Calgary
Please complete this form and include with your application submission.
Project name: 7217 26 Ave SW
Did you conduct community outreach on your application? XYES or NO
If no, please provide your rationale for why you did not conduct outreach.
Outreach Strategy Provide an overview of your outreach strategy, summary of tactics and techniques you undertook (Include dates, locations, # of participants and any other relevant details)
Before undertaking the project, our office completed the "Community Outreach Assessment". The project's impact score is "1A". So we take a direct approach to reach to community association, local residents within a 90 meters radius and also Ward Councillor Office.
On July 17th, our stuff did post card deliver to residents within a 90 meters radius. On July 26th, we spoke with Elio Cozzi, president of Springbank Hill Community Association. His position is that as long as the proposal is in line with new area structure plan, he has no objection.
On Aug. 10th, we also got chance to speak with Mr. Frano Cavar in Councillor Davison's office. Again Mr. Frano is generally supportive with the low density proposal. From Jan. 20, 2021 to Jan. 25th,2021 we had extensive discussion with community association for changes made to the application and received their support.
Stakeholders
Who did you connect with in your outreach program? List all stakeholder groups you connected with. (Please do not include individual names)

Immediate neighbour, local residents, community association and ward councillor office

CPC2020-1095 – Attachment 4 ISC: UNRESTRICTED



Community Outreach for Planning & Development Applicant-led Outreach Summary

What did you hear?

Provide a summary of main issues and ideas that were raised by participants in your outreach.

The main concerns we heard from local residents and community assocation are: increased traffic, parking issues, increased density, height, shadow effects, privacy and safety.

Some people also express concerns over property value and crime.

How did stakeholder input influence decisions?

Provide a summary of how the issues and ideas summarized above influenced project decisions. If they did not, provide a response for why.

The change is a mild change for a rather big lot. The access issue has been taken care of. The distance of the proposed house is quite a distance away from neighbours house.

How did you close the loop with stakeholders?

Provide a summary of how you shared outreach outcomes and final project decisions with the stakeholders that participated in your outreach. (Please include any reports or supplementary materials as attachments)

Continue enage with Councillor office and community association.

CPC2020-1095 - Attachment 4 ISC: UNRESTRICTED



#1, 3175 68th Street NW Calgary, AB Canada T3B 2J4 hone 403-542-0679 x 403-775-4171 www.horizonsurveys.ca info@horizonsurveys.ca

Monday, October 19, 2020

Angela Kiu RPP MCIP
Planner, Centre West Area
Community Planning
Planning & Development | City of Calgary

Re: Land Use Amendment at 7217 26 Ave SW from current DC (Bylaw 12Z96) to R-1.

Dear Anglea,

Here is the summary of the public outreach we did so far. This is still an ongoing process. We will continue work with CA, local residents and ward councilor to gain understanding.

Before undertaking the project, our office completed the "Community Outreach Assessment". The project's impact score is "1A". So we took a direct approach to reach to community association, local residents within a 90 meters radius and initialized the pre-application with city planner.

On July 17th, our stuff did post card deliver to residents within a 90 meters radius. During the process, our stuff did door knocking and spoke with a few residents. The area is very high end with some homes having fenced front yard and security system to gain access. The west and south side are private condo properties. The few people we talked with are not concerned with the proposal as we are only proposing two singles on a rather bigger lot.

On July 26th, we spoke with Elio Cozzi, president of Springbank Hill Community Association. His position is that as long as the proposal is in line with new area structure plan, he has no objection. He went on to asked us to reach out to adjacent neighbors.

On Aug. 10th, we also got chance to speak with Mr. Frano Cavar in Councillor Davison's office. Again Mr. Frano is generally supportive with the low density proposal. He stressed again the importance of working with community association and adjacent neighbors.

Thank You.

Yours truly,

Horizon Land Surveys Team

CPC2020-1095 – Attachment 4 ISC: UNRESTRICTED