C2021-0373 Attachment 7

Privacy Program Strategic Plan, 2021-25 One-page Summary

CURRENT STATE		PLAN		FUTURE STATE
Program Area	Score*	Initiatives	Priority/Term	Key Success Indicators
 PROGRAM GOVERNANCE Program Accountability and Authority Internal Service Providers Policies and Procedures Communication, Training and Awareness 	2	[1] Delegation Order Revision	High / Short- Term	 Number of incidents of faulty action or decision-making due to redundant or unclear roles. FOIP Coordinator and City compliance actions and decision-making meet identified standards.
	2 3	[2] Service Provider Contract Revision	Medium / Short-term	 Number of service provider services and contracts impacting privacy are identified and include requirements clauses. Number of service providers meeting or exceeding audit assessment standards.
	3 3 3	[3] Standalone Privacy Policy and Procedure	High / Short- term	 Number of adverse privacy incidents due to lack of understanding or knowledge of standards. Number of staff demonstrating an adequate understanding or knowledge of policies and standards. Degree to which policies and standards cover required privacy policy areas.
		[4] Communication, Training and Awareness Development	Medium / Mid to Long-Term	 Effective knowledge of key privacy concepts, requirements and processes across City. Number of communications resources published and distributed. Percentage of targeted staff trained.
		[5] Performance Metrics Development	Medium / Mid- Term	 Coverage of performance indicators across privacy program areas. Increased number of business units establishing indicators, targets, baseline data and annual data. Degree to which business unit initiatives and indicators are integrated into existing performance programs.
II. PERSONAL INFORMATION COLLECTION, USE AND DISCLOSURE		[1] PIA Follow-up and Auditing	Medium / Mid- Term	 Degree to which audit framework is integrated into existing project management. Number of deficiencies rectified as compared to deficiencies outstanding. Number of PIA follow up audits completed, based on identified targets
 Limiting Collection Notification - Identifying Purpose Use and Disclosure Consent Employee Information Authorized Representatives Research Performance Metrics 	2 2 2 4 2 3 2	[2] Track and Assess Personal Information Collected	Medium /Long - term	 Number and percentage of PIBs and personal information collection assessments completed and re-evaluated by departments annually. Number of unauthorized collection flows. Number of opportunities to de-identify and anonymize personal information implemented.
		[3] Inventory and Review Collection Notices	Medium / Mid- Term	 Number and percentage of collection notices identified, evaluated, and revised as required. Collection notices clearly provide the collection authority, purpose and resource for further information.
		[4] Mandatory Privacy Training for all City Staff	High / Short and Mid-term	 Number and percentage of identified staff trained at assigned level. Number of adverse privacy incidents due to lack of understanding or knowledge of policies and standards. Degree to which privacy and security are integrated into operational decision-making.
 III. RIGHT OF ACCESS AND CORRECTION 1. Access and Correction Process 2. Proactive Dissemination/ Routine Disclosure 3. Performance metrics 	4	[1] Access and Privacy Website Development	Medium / Short-term	 Efficiency and timeliness of access and correction requests and responses. Number of requests resolved by reference to the website and other highlighted options.
	3 3	2] Departmental Access and Sharing Standard and Registry	Medium /Long- Term	 Number of requests resolved by reference to disclosure registry. Number of formal access requests. Efficiency and timeliness of departmental responses to requests for information.
 IV. SECURITY AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT 1. Policies, Procedures, and Guidelines 2. Information Management and Retention 3. Personal Information Banks 4. PI Systems and Privacy Impact Assessments (PIAs) 5. Performance Metrics 	3 4 2 3	[1] Review Information Management and Security Policy	Medium / Mid- Term	 Number of adverse security incidents due to lack of understanding or knowledge standards. Number of staff demonstrating an adequate understanding or knowledge of policies and standards. Degree to which policies and standards cover required security policy area
		[2] Personal Information Registry (PIR)	Medium / Long- term	 Number of PIBs identified. Degree to which PI location, information flows, authorities, security and retention are effectively tracked. Efficiency and timeliness of PIR capture.
	2	[3] PIA Follow-up Process	Medium / Mid- Term	 Degree to which follow-up framework is integrated into existing project management. Number of deficiencies rectified as compared to deficiencies outstanding. Number of PIA follow ups completed, based on identified targets
		[4] PIA Education and Training	High /Mid-term	 Number and percentage of identified staff trained at assigned level. Number of non-compliant projects and systems due to lack of assessment. Degree to which privacy and security are integrated into system development and operational decision-making.

