

Community Association Comments

Email #1 (Jan 24, 2020):

Hi Adam,

Thank-you for reaching out. I just read through the proposal about the land use re-designation. I have discussed this issue with some board members of the CHPH.

The community Association is opposed to the land use re-designation on this particular road due to the following reason:

1) Density in this area is already high due to numerous semi-attached and multifamily dwellings with single vehicle garages and/or parking stalls. Most households in this area own 2 or more vehicles creating a contentious on street parking issue currently. Additional visitors to a backyard suite tenant will negatively impact neighbors by creating higher competition for high demand on street parking spaces either in the narrow laneway itself (which is not suitable for parking) or on the street. The community association feels this particular area is at capacity without causing more issues with the neighbors.

Best Wishes,
Talena Klypak
VP CHPH
Co-Director of Expansion and Development

Email #2 (Feb 11, 2020):

Hi Adam,

My apologies for the delay in response. We just had a board meeting which this was discussed.

The Coach Hill/Patterson Heights CA is very concerned about the level of density, traffic and parking in this particular area.

We do feel the city really needs to evaluate how much density this area can truly support. We feel density has already reached or exceeded capacity in terms of vehicle volume.

We have decided as a CA our role in this dispute is just to provide resources to both parties about actions they may go about taking.

Best wishes,
Talena
VP CHPH
Expansion and Development