Note: Letter 1 had personal information and was removed from the Electronic Agenda at the request of the Author. Should you have any questions please contact the City Clerk's Office at 403-268-5831

ISC: Unrestricted Page 1 of 1

Smith, Theresa L.

From:

Claudette Antoniuk <claudette.antoniuk@gmail.com> Tuesday, August 29, 2017 12:28 PM

Sent:

To:

City Clerk

Subject: Attachments: [EXT] Sunnyside Bylaw 291D2017 Plan 24480

Sunnside rezoning pages

Please find attached my submission on the above mentioned proposal.

Claudette Antoniuk

1

RECEIVED

August 29,2017

Office of the City Clerk Box 2100 P.O.'M' Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5 2817 AUG 29 PM 1: 58
THE CITY OF CALGARY
CITY CLERK'S

Re: Redesignation of Plan 24480 Block 11, Lots 28 to 34

Dear Sir:

I am writing to voice my objection to the above mentioned proposal. The community has already voiced it's disapproval with the proposed development at an open house. Rather than listening to the residents' concerns the developer is attempting to push this development through by rezoning the block.

Here are the main reasons why it should not be approved.

- 1. <u>Parking.</u> Insufficient parking has been an issue since the LRT was built. It has only gotten worse as the city has grown.
- 2. <u>Location.</u> This development is on the main street, in the **centre the community.** The project would be an eyesore as it would be so much larger than anything else around it. Recent developments on 9A Street exceed the height and density guidelines, but those developments are close to 10 street, on the perimeter of the community.
- 3. <u>Heritage Considerations.</u> As an historical neighbourhood, the ARP specifically states that new developments should be sensitive to the existing character of the buildings.
- 4. <u>Sets a Precedent.</u> Approval would set a precedent for other developers to skirt the rules without good reason.

The ARP is a thorough document that lays a plan for the future of the community. The plan has been quite successful so far. I have lived in the community for 37 years and have witnessed the changes and can say that without it, I think the neighbourhood would have lost it's sense of community.

Sometimes a developer does not want to play by the rules. Please ask yourself, and the developer, why they cannot do so as other before them have.

Sincerely.

Claudette Antoniuk claudette.antoniuk@gmail.com

Home Address: 927 3rd Avenue N.W Calgary T2N 0J7

Smith, Theresa L.

From:

Cathryn Ironside <cathrynironside@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, August 31, 2017 9:59 AM

To:

City Clerk

Subject:

[EXT] Sunnyside Bylaw 291D2017

Cathryn Ironside 914 - 2nd Avenue NW Calgary, AB T2N 0E6

August 31st, 2017

Office of the City Clerk The City of Calgary 700 Macleod Trail SE ZOIT AUG 31 AM 9: 59
THE CITY OF CALGARY
CITY CLERK'S

RE: CPC2017-293 - Bylaw 291D2017 (LOC2017-0091, Jennifer Dobbin)

My house is immediately adjacent to 916, 2nd Ave NW, and the properties (916, 918, 920, 922 and 926) and multi-residential building development under review.

Though I am not in opposition to a new development with higher density, I do have a concern of the close proximity of the new and increased size and height of the building proposal in relation to my house and property.

The current proposal of one meter distance between my property and the new five-storey proposed structure seems ludicrously narrow, and would make for an ominously towering structure directly over and looking into my property. I am requesting the City reconsider this, and allow for a three meter space, as would have been allotted should there have been a six-storey development instead of the current five-storey plan. Otherwise, if in keeping the one meter space between, please consider reducing the new structure to the four storey building as originally planned and approved in the DRP.

Thank You for your consideration, and advocacy on behalf of neighbourly good-will and cooperation.

Sincerely, Cathryn Ironside

cathrynironside@gmail.com