July 20, 2019

To: Mayor Naheed Nenshi and Councillors,

- -Joe Magliocca
- -Ward Sutherland
- Jyoti Gondek
- -Sean Chu
- Jeff Davison
- Druh Farrell
- Evan Woolley

- Gian-Carlo Carra
- Ray Jones
- Jeromy Farkas
- Shane Keating
- Diane Colley-Urquhart
- Peter Demong
- Beogge Chaha



Land Use Amendment at 829 Royal Ave. SW-Loc 2018-0257

My name is Jim Kalman and I live at 828 Durham Ave SW. directly south of 829 Royal Ave.

Thank you for your time and consideration to our opposition to the proposed rezoning of 829 Royal Ave SW. By way of background, there was a Lower Mt. Royal study conducted over 2.5 years and had input from the community, residents and City Planners. The area extends from Western Canada High School to 12 St. on the west end and from Royal Ave. to 17th St. SW. While the plan might be considered old, the principles enunciated in that plan as listed below are timeless. I do not have time to read all of the guiding principles in the report but refer you to the three pages given to you. The key elements laid out in a 51 page report (and updated over time) are as follows:

- low rise medium density development
- ensure high quality which complements the character of original homes in Mt. Royal
- attractive living environment with adequate parking
- to ensure new developments respect the Community's role as a transition between higher density uses and the single family character of Mt. Royal to the south-medium density residential policy
- minimum creation of wall effect on residents
- RM 5-maximum 12 meter building height
- Strong guidelines required to ensure redevelopments of a quality and character reflective of original structures of Lower Mt. Royal and adjacent Mt. Royal.
- the building height should not unduly affect established communities

Mt Royal residents also commented that residential redevelopments should be primarily owner occupied for stability and the maximum height laid down must be enforced for new developments.

In 2008, the RM -5 (12 meter height) was amended to MC-2(16 meter height) without community consultation or regard to the rigorous constraints imposed under the Lower Mt. Royal Area Redevelopment Plan.



As you can see from the proposal to increase the proposed height of this new apartment building to 22 meters, this rezoning proposal violates ALL of the eight guidelines laid out in the Community plan.

We as a Community strongly oppose this application for a number of other reasons:

## 1. Lack of Community Engagement

Two open houses were held in Mt. Royal to outline the proposal.

Unanimous opposition was expressed at the height and density of the building and the adverse impact it would have on the surrounding dwellings in the first meeting. The Developers response was to increase the number of apartment units from approximately 45 rental units to 66 units in the second proposal. There have been no further attempts to engage with residents or the Community.

### 2. Parking Issue

This will be addressed by my fellow neighbors but is a significant issue on Royal Ave.

#### 3. The Role of Planning and Development

We have heard from several sources that City Hall has given the green light as far as increased densities and assessments in the City of Calgary. A true sign of this is City Planners increasing the allowable height of the building from 21 applied for to 22 meters. There has been no pushback from City planners despite the very strong and vocal opposition to this rezoning and the impact this tall building will have on several expensive homes to the south on Durham Ave and the impact on approximately 80 plus condos to the north.

The project will be slightly positive with respect to assessments and City taxes due to the extra 48 apartments. However, the shadow and overlook issues will have a severe negative impact on the assessments of surrounding neighboring houses and condominiums offsetting the overall assessment increase.

#### 4. Calgary Planning Commission

The redevelopment appeal process is flawed. City Planner did a one-sided presentation and there was not an opportunity to refute his arguments. Only one member of the committee asked a couple of questions with regard to the six story height of the proposed development. This matter should not be wasting the entire City Council's time although your consideration is appreciated.

## 5 Overlook, Shadow and Quality of Life Issues

The proposed development will tower over three stories above seven multi-million dollar homes to the south on Durham Ave. Future apartment dwellers would look over and down in close proximity on our decks, living areas and bedrooms. We as residents of Mt. Royal have a right to enjoy our properties and our quality of life. This proposed building will severely impact that quality of the seven houses to the south. How can one have confidence in City guidelines when buying a home with a terrific view and then to have it all blown away by a developer? Where is the right to quiet enjoyment of one's property?

Furthermore, the six storey building would dwarf the four story condo complex with 47 units to the north on Royal Ave. That building would be in shadows in the morning and from mid-afternoon on. That condo was developed about four years ago and the four storey height was adamantly defended as the maximum the City would allow. What has changed?

## 6. Existing Heights in Lower Mt. Royal

We walked all of lower Mt. Royal along Royal and Cameron Avenues which are at the base of Mt. Royal Hill and thus serve as the "buffer zone" to upper Mt. Royal. There were:

- seven two storey buildings and 24 three storey ones,
- eleven four storey buildings,
- six five storey buildings and
- Only one six storey building.

Because of the steep slope, the six storey building was only four storeys at street level and four of the six five storey buildings were three storeys at street level.

This analysis shows that there is not ONE building in lower Mt. Royal that is six storeys tall at street level and only two five storey buildings. The applicant's request and the approval of the Planning department is unprecedented and serves to create a significant height intrusion on Lower Mt. Royal. Six storeys is not a transition but a huge jump.

## 7. Opposition of Residents and the Communities of Lower and Upper Mt. Royal

We have canvassed residents within 250 meters of the proposed development and there is unanimous and strong opposition to the rezoning for the reasons set out above. We have circulated a petition and approximately 110 households have signed it. That represents close to 275 individuals.

In addition residents of Mt. Royal are concerned about the serious precedent and intrusion this development would have on their community and the flood of applications for six storey condos and apartments along the Mt. Royal escarpment. We have already heard of some approaches being made to Royal Ave residents in single family homes.

We are not opposed to redevelopment of this property but request that City Council turn this application down and ask the Developer to stay within existing laws and bylaws. The current zoning would allow a five storey building to be built. Thank you for your consideration and valued support.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim Kalman-828 Durham Ave. SW. (403-209-0960)

Submitted to City Council July 29th, 2019

To City Council:

	Name	Address	Signature
1	TOHN CASSELS	830 DAMAMAUE	
2	KENNY CHEWNG	823 DURYAN AVE	1 ento
3	him BRUCE	819 DURHAM AU	TABLER -
4	DENNIS CORNELS	N SISDURHAM AN	ESUM
5	Sarah Hall	20217 St SW)	2001
6	STEPHANIE MARTIN	726 EGYAL AVE SW	Martin
7	JARGOTMCK, NOW	506-629 BOYALAUES	mms
8	LETTE DOULY	826 DURHAM N.	L. Days
9	Cheryl Cornelson	815 Durham Awsh	826 Quel
10-	BILL MCKEW	1028 PRUSPECT	4
11	E. Sear feel	836 They havenave	Esery.
12	SUSAN NORMAN		In no
13	Kothavine Carsels	830 Dubram Avel	KIA
14	Shirley-Ame Jugus	0-1: 1	
15	Jest Turners	324 Reyard for	
16	All cassels	830 Durnum Ave	A Cassels
17	Chris Gassels	830 Durham Ave	Chr _
18	JIM KALMAN	828 Dus Kon Ave	AAllalm
19	Marilynn Kalman	828 Jun han Aven	Un Kalma
20	Molly Tonker	823 Rayal Ave	Hotun



## To City Council:

	Name	Address	Signature
1	Barbara Robison	502, 823 Roy of Ave. S.W	Bartace Rotion
2	Marchawrence Diese	50/23 Roy ( No 26)	Mary Dehora
3	Anne MKinner	506 823 R. VAI N. C. SL	angues
4	Warb Ta. t	807 813 Royal Ave Ju	Mal hot
5	Johnsfer Smith	508 823 Royal Avo SW	Shill
6	Elozabeth Cameron	409 823 Royal AVE SW	6. cabillanul
7	Kyle Schulte	406 23 Royal Ave SW	100 te
8	Cera Cindreso	407 23 Royal the Su	Candrens
9	Falad Baloch	464-823 Roy 1 A.	Elin
10	Kelly Hendricks	305-823 Regal 415	6/19/1/
11	Tom Yeoman	366 - 823 Royal au	Typingen
12	ROXANN GEDIPLO	209-823 ROYAL AKE	Ne.
13	Pau Bronke	200 823 Rom DE SS	19.
14	Megan Hodgins	208 823 Rayal Aug SW	10 magan
15	Lyndon Dinkles	206 823 Royal Avest	200
16	und my la Konerry	202-823 Ryceth	2 luctor
17	Megan Mc Carthy	#101-823 Royal Are. Sw.	Weger W. Cate,
18	Jarah Knowling	309-823 Royal Ave SW	Bel flows
19	1 1	309-823 Royal Arsw	
20		505 - 823 Royal A	

# Co

## PETITION OF OPPOSITION TO 829 ROYAL AVE REZONING

## To City Council:

We strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of 829 Royal Ave that would allow for a six storey apartment development.

	Name	Address	Signature
1	Bartlany Gardet	507, 836 Rayal Ae	250
2	Amon Jivraj	208, 836 Rayal Are	Lille
3	Dan Tyles	404, 836 Royal Ave	Holy C
4	Dun Tyles Blain Patton	307, 836 Royal Hue	the state of the s
5	Repeace beil Nevath	368, 836 Regal Are	188Munts
6	Nancy Brown	409 GBL Royal live	1920
7	Cosmin Papa	201 836 Royal Are	The state of the s
8	MINDY GUDZINSLI	201 836 Royal Aree 107, 804 18AJESH	att
9	Rocca Kettle	304 836 Royal AVC	MALO
10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	408, 736 Papel Ave	Dogwood all
11	Br. Hony Wichally	42836 Royal Ave	
12	Kelsey BoHa	410-8% Rayal Auc	XIII. Poto
13	Nick Forward.	K.8	
14	Kesin English	212-836 Royal AV	2/6:6
15	Loi. English	212 - 836 RoyallAU	Centan
16	Anchea Seu L	(07-836 REYCLAU	A
17	Yu Yhn Chem	406 356 Regal Ane	Atten
18	Tony Ein	406-851 Royal Aw	Janles:
19	Entitaries	467 - 11	
20	Kette Smuch	312,836 Royal Ne Su	V SAR
	11.114 (-4-18)	105 11	20

Please Sign

try Question

UNIT

hawligan

To City Council:

2	SIMON	WA		AA .
		9/1	2010 -8 St S.W	OK
3	ANGEL	MAK	20/0 - 8 ASU	
	David	Cinate "	11,836 Royal Ave.	12
4	Alliban	Onati	41,836 Royal Ave	for 1
5	ENANT	Bartlett	403,836 Royal Ave	Sur
6	Tacla 1	mutt.	110 836 Royal Ave,	12 lethro, TH
7	SONYA	RIPIAM	109 836 Royal Ave	Marine
8	margo	Mano	836 Royal Ave	Marco Mice
9	Andrea	Seare	107 836 Royal AR	de la
10	Echo Ch		406 836 Royal Ave sw	gdrostf
11	leanne 6	7	103.830 Royal ane	2
12	Benjamin		103,836 ROYADAK SW	Res
13	Michael	Jolie	401, 836 Royal Ave SW	3
14			405,836 Rugalaes	
15	Kelsey =	No. No.	410-836 Royal ARS	11
16			111-836 Roya 141841	
17	Minas	Jambro	40 205 336 ROYAL	let
18	MIC	halon	11 10 0	S Harrib
19	Andrel	Melient	( 36 Royal Aw	V.
20	Torden	Hildeman		Howard Halden

To City Council:

	Name	Address	Signature //
1	DERK Cos JA	1110 Tros poet Avan	Sel.
2	Carla Coriin	1110-Prospect Ave.	C.B. Cossin.
3	15000 Potra	3005 Charble SV	Sylthe
4	George W. L. Borde	1102 PROSPECT AVES.40	10-
5	FATRICIA LABORDE	1102 PROSPECT AVESU	007.
6	L. F valentine	1326 Frontenac Ave	
7	Kais Valentine	1326 Frontenac Avos	W. M.C. Valentin
8	Vira Sellishi	1112 Sydenham RdSa	Vaislest.
9	My Simon	10241 KEPERAL	e Justin
10/	,		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

## To City Council:

	Name	Address	Signature
1	TEM HENDRECKSON	58, 823 ROYAL AVE.	7466
2	Mark Kudyt	204,823 Royal Ave	market)
3	Smaren 3m:4n		200
4	Duane Schellenberry	807 19 Ave SW	THU
5	Jennifer Johnson	807 19 AVE SW	
6	, Mark Schindler	2015 75T, SW	naco
7	Tony Grace	730 Royal Ave SW	Plane
8	LAVAL ST. GERMAIN	832 DURHAM AVE SW	
9	Dr. Chander Guth	831 DorhamAuesa	Change Eles
10	1	718 ROYAL AVENIX	200
11	Bill Doherty	717 Rapellue	N.
12	HATLER WADDELL	1311- Montreal du	In Hw.
13		,	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			